Bulletin No. 10 Vol No. XIX

Chicago

Industrial

Chess

League

I **Unicago**Founded 1957

Inaustrial uness

League USCF Affiliate

July - August 1976

BULLETIN STAFF

Paul E. Weston	Western Electric Co.	983 – 3605
Irv Rosenfeld	6161 N. Hoyne	346-3282
	Chicago, Ill. 60645	(Ext. 24)
Newman Guttman	Bell Telephone Labs	690 -313 3
	Naperville, Ill. 60540	
Rick Shaff	Senior Division	983 -3 352
Terry Andresen	Junior Division ·	875-7977
Ned Anderson	Junior Division	945-2525
	•	(Ext. 2428)
Lee Sheridan	Amoco Chemicals	420-5594
	Irv Rosenfeld Newman Guttman Rick Shaff Terry Andresen Ned Anderson	Irv Rosenfeld Chicago, Ill. 60645 Newman Guttman Rick Shaff Terry Andresen Ned Anderson Senior Division Junior Division Junior Division

Table of Contents

Ratings and Team Rosters
Ratings Chairman's Report
Business Meeting Report20-23
President's Report
Senior Division Report25
Senior Division Standings25
Junior Division Report26-27
Banquet Report28-29
Members' Games30
Attachment A

Notice: Business Meeting Announcement can be found in the President's Report.

			RF	TING5
mil accomm	Tropas	maria tradition	Y. 7.1	PA. 1 PA. 1 P

FLAYER		TEAM	RATING	DIV		PLAYER		TEAM	RATING	DIV
AGPAWA	• T	ZMNLS	1546	4	•	CIBA	۶F	SRS-A	1469+	4
ALDORT	. L	TTY	1575	4		CONWAY	• F	ZNITH	1564+	4
ALOJADO	, M	CHRGR	1640	. 3						
						COX	• M	WARDS	1662#	4
AMUNISEN	• F	CTI	1285*	4		COX	∍ ℝ	MTRLA	1544+	3
AMDERSON	, D	DRGMS	1787	8		DAILIDKA	• Z	PRCON	1682	4
ANDERSON	5.1	to to the first	4			w			a	
	* M	SARAL	1793	4		DALEY	• J	ROOKS	1329	8
ANDRESEM	• T	SRS-B	1735	4		DARGIS	• R	COCAN		4
HNDREWS	, C	KN6\$4	1583≎	4		DAS	, 15	KNGHT	1534+	8 .
AMGELES	• P	MSNTO	1619#	4		DASSIE	٠K	ZNITH	1526◆	4 .
APPELMAN	* E	PAWNS	1674	8 :		DAVIS	• B	RRD-B	1193+	4
ر پر پسر سے سر میں رہی ہ	en.	ye. par ,								
ASTROM	* 🖯	DRGMS	1774			DAVIS	* J	FNBNK	1494+	4
BAKER	* Ĺ.	KMGHT	1584	8		DEBOTH	• 🚽	ILBEL	1679+	8
EARAM	* R	RRD-B	1315	4		DECMAN	, \$	BSHPS	1436	8
BECK	• M	ROOKS	1476	₽ [DEE	, M	AMOCO	1391	8
BENEDEK	* R	ROOKS	2142	8		DELEON	» M	PRCON	1652#	4
	٠			,						·
BENSON	• 5	DRGMS	1864	;		DESJARDINS	ЕJ	CPCIL	1336+	4
- BERRY	• 5	ROOKS	1826	S		DEVER	• D	BSHPS	1586	8
BINGLE	ال و	KMGHT	1599	Ē		DMY	•\$	PRCON	1362+	4
BLAZIE	ال و	KNGMN	1690+	\$		DOBBINS	• 5	RRD-A	1689	• 4
BRAZEAL	TD	SARAL	1265	4						
PROCES	, 117	onmal.	TCDD	**		DOBR.	• K	CHRGR	1742	:
BRENDA	, C	DRGMS	1731	8		ZUMAMOG	, H	ROOKS	1694+	8
BROSI6	• A	SIGND	1753	4		DRAGEL	• G	KMGHT	1355	8
BROTSOS	أياو	EXCAL	1657	4		DUNWORTH	, J	CTI	1861	4
BROZOVICH		KMGIN	1757	8					1758	
						DURSTON	* []	KNGHT		8
BUCHANAN .	•R	FNBMK	1564	4		DUZINSKAS	± R	CMC	1298•	4
BUELL	۰K	ILFEL	1640	8		DYKSTRA	, D	GATX	11910	. 4
BUERGER	• E	EXCAL	2072	4						
BUJNOCKI	, E					EL BANHAWY		DRGNS		4
		WARDS	1321*	4		ELLIOTT	, kl	KNGMN		:
BURBA	·• K	BSHPS	1496	8		ERTEL	* M	HAR-B		4
BURK	۶R	RRD-A	1425	4	•	FABIJONAS	, R	COCAN	1771	4
BURKE	1	and market	-d - The same same case	:. >****			***- \$	يس رحي وسيو ور ور رجي	س وسمد پس	
	*	AMOCO	1223*	8		FAJFAR	·DJ	AMOCO		8
BYCOWSKI	• M	ITT-4	1484*	4		FAJKUS	ال. و	KNGIN		8
CAIME	• R	RRII-A	1596	4		FARMER	ال. و	KNGMN	1232:	8
CAMPBELL	• T	SRS-A	1526*	4		FEDER	• H	PAMAZ	1347	8
CAPPELLE	• E	SARAL	1405	· 4		FEILER	* K	FNBNK	1770+	4
		•			-					

//						
RH	ORIGINAL.	1	N	G	5	
					_	

		/				.	NG5				
	PLAYER		TEAM I	ZZ RATING	DIV	1 * 1	PLAYER	6.04	TEAM	RATING	DIV
	GASTELU GHAVIMI GILLHAM GILMER GOLLA	*E *R *C *J	FNBMK PROON ABDOK TTY SRS-B	1783 1648 • 1523 • 1561 1901	4 4 4 4		LANGE LANTZ LATIMER LAUTH LEBEN	,H ,C ,E ,R	RALND TTY SRS-B ILBEL RRD-B	1143 + 1265 1870 1493 1511 +	4 4 4 9 4
	GDNCHARDFF GRDIC GREEN GREEN GREENSPAN	• C • D • H	MTRLA CHSNT PAWNS SARAL MTRLA	1849 1356# 1502* 1464# 1611	8004 904 90		LEE LEMS LICHT LIDDELL	•RJ •B •J •B	KNGMN AMOCO SRS-C CHRGR WARDS	1454+ 1628 1527 1409+ 1794+	8 8 4 8 4
	GRIGGEL GRUBER HANSEN HARRINGER HENNING	*R *R *R *R	MTRLA TTY CHSNT KNGMN MTRLA	1382* 1469 1510* 1482 1631	8 4 8 8 8		LOGELIN MACKEY MADISON MAGLIC MAKS	• W • A • D • R	ILBEL RRD-B ABDCK KNGHT MTRLA	1563 1476: 1305+ 1653 1417	8 4 4 8 4
.=*	HERNANDEZ HERSCHBRGF HUFF JACKSON JACOB		COCAN RRD-A SIGND KNGHT MTRLA	1398+ 1810+ 1429+ 2027	4 4 4 8	· .	MANGUARCHE MARSELOS MCANANY MCGEE MCKEE	M•6 U• 0• U•	ILBEL DRGNS CHSNT SARAL PAWNS	1194# 1698 1364 1229* 1157*	\$ \$ \$ \$ 4 \$
	JACOBS JACOBSEN JOHNSON JONES KARLOVICS	*N *FM *J *J	TTY AMDCO IRGNS CHSNT RALNII	1865 1370+ 1915# 1483+ 1773	4 8 8 8 4		MENARD MENGELIS MERGILI MIHAILOVIO MILLER	*J *A ,6	DRGNS EXCAL CTI KNGHT ZNNLS	1836+ 1872 1493 1993 1567	8 4 4 8 4
	KARPLUS KEITH KELLOGG KESKE KIMBLER	*H *6 *K *R *C	PAWNS ILBEL BSHPS ARC-4 CHRGR	1146+ 1664 1467 1764 1541	00040		MOYLAN MUDDE MUIR MYSLINSKI NAAMI	*K *J *L *S	RALND CPCIL ARC-4 DRGNS PRCON	1673+ 1296+ 1653 1549+ 1400+	4 4 4 8 4
	KINSELLA KLEIN KLEIN KLEMM KLING	,6 ,8 ,J ,J	BSHPS SIGND SIGND TTY RALND	1456 1433* 1206 1459 1235#	© 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		MELLETT NELSON MEUMARK MYMAN OCCOMY	,H ,S ,J ,W	SHWMS FNBNK MTRLA FNBNK WARDS	1420* 1445* -1743 1815 1416*	4 4 8 4

MOREPS

PPAN

1050

RH	71	N	G	5	

Alabama in	/5				RAT	ING5	,	·		
-	PLAYER		TEAM	RATING	DIV	PLAYER		TEAM	RATING	DIV
			KMGIN CPCIL SIGND KMGIN ILBEL	1998* 1613 1276* 1991 1329*	8 4 4 8 8	SLOAN SNDARARAMI SOBUN STAMM STEJSKAL	.W N.R .U .V	TTY CHSNT DRGMS CHRGR CPCIL	1503+ 1682+ 1622 1578 1369+	4 8 8 8 4
	PLAISANCE	, M , D , J , T	ROOKS CHSNT AMOCD SHWMS TTY	1692 1451+ 1299* 1362+ 1328+	8 8 8 4 4	STENSAKER STEPANEK STREETS STUHLBARG STYS	, L , I , D , B	SIGND KNGIN KNGHT PRCON PRCON	1470 1738* 2091 1562* 1864	4 8 8 4 4
	RADAVICIUS REENTS REINHARDT	*R *E *W *V	SHWMS CHRGR PAWNS AMOCO PAWNS	1345+ 1623 1265# 1245# 1524	4 8 8 8 8	SUDOMSKI SUND SUTARIA SUTULA SWANSON	•B •W •B •T	CMC KNGMN COCAN SRS-C RRD-A	1260# 1549* 1316* 1758# 1657	4 8 4 4 4
<u>u</u> e	RICHEY ROBERTS	,R ,C ,U ,R ,J	SRS-A KNGMM RRD-B MTRLA RALND	2258 1414+ 1265+ 1337+ 1277+	4 8 4 8 4	SWIDERSKI SZATMARY TABOAS TACON TEGEL	•M •F •A •H	CHRGR SARAL ROOKS ILBEL TTY	1371 1337 + 1230 : 1643 2009	8 4 8 8 4
	ROLEWICZ ROSEM ROSEMPELD ROTHSCHILD ROY		SRS-C CHSNT EXCAL GATX AMOCO	1626# 1522 1895 1279# 1275◆	4 8 4 4 8	THOMAS THOMPSON TINDER TITUS TOMCZUK	• J • L • C • R • Z	KMGMN BSHPS SHWMS TTY PAWNS	1398 1200# 1414 1200# 1209#	8 4 4 8
		• R	KNGHT CHSMT PRCON BSHPS AMOCO	1752 1337 : 1784: 1523: 1838	8 9 4 9 9	TOMLINSON TUMALAD TURULA UHERKA ULICKEY	•H •L •P •K	RALND PRODN PAWNS ROOKS WARDS	1331+ 1532+ 1172+ 1416+ 1583+	4 4 8 8 4
	SCHWARTZ SCOTT SEDLOCK	*R *S *G *E *R	ZMMLS SRS-A ABDCK ILBEL KMGIN	1774 1704 1208# 1713 1543	4 4 4 8 8	VELECKIS VICK VIKRIKAS VITERI VIVIAN	•E •H •T •M	PAWNS MTRLA GMBTR PRCON GATX	1502 1532* 1601 1536 1405*	8 8 8 4 4

RHTING5

PLAYER		TEAM	RATING	IIV				
WOZTAW	•R	AMOCO	1391*	S				
WATTERS	* R	CHSNT	1428◆	Ξ :		MOST		JWEI)
WENDORF	• F	CHRGR	1508	8			/ERS	
WESCUTT	* R	CHRGR	1324+	8		HAMI		CHANGE
· WESTERLUM	D,L	RRD-B	1344*	4		EL BANHAW	7.5E	227
						KARLOVICS	* S	210
						ANDRESEM	• T	155
WESTON	• J	AMOCO	1499#	8		TOMLINSON	* H	152
WESTON	, P	KM5MM	1325+	8		GREEN	* D	148
WETTERSTER		CHRER	1427	8		CHEM	٠ ل و	131
WHOWELL	• bl	KM6S4	1243+	4		STAMM	* V	130
WILSON	٠Ĥ	HOWE	1634	4		RENNER	• T	118
			 .	-		ROBINSON	, E	113
						SWIDERSKI	• M	109
WILSON	• II	DRGNS	2095	8				
WILSOM	* R	PAWNS	1820	8				
WOLCOTT	• 5	ABDCK	1582	4				
WOLLENBER!		ILBEL	1650	8				
WOM6	• P	CTI	1955	4	ì			
The Soud W. P. France	, ,	- · · ·	ale at the tar	•		•		
yoons	• B	SARAL	1377#	4		RA*	TING	CLASS
_WRIGHT	• R	CPCIL	1474#	4				
YANG	, p	ITT-4	1472	4		MARK	MO.	OF GAMES
YAPP	• B	SHWMS	1513	4		#		5 - 9
YOUNG	• L	ILBEL	1373♦	8		<i>π</i> . ¥) - 24
						none		or more
						wome	<u> </u>	OT WOLE
YUKNAVECH	• R	KNGIN	1526+	8				
ZABERZNSK	I.W	MTRLA	2152*	8				
ZECHIMATI	ل, و	ARC-4	1458+	4				
ZIELINSKI	• D	ABDCK	1284*	4				
AND MANAGEMENT OF			•					
	····					**		

SEMIOR DIVISION B I G T E N +

2142 BENEDEK * R WILSON • II 2095 STREETS , D 2091 2027 ٠...ا WARREN JACKSON 2027 ال. و MIHAILOVIC.S 1993 1991 PEHAS , Ĥ 1991 KUCERA * D 1864 BENSON • 6 GONCHARDEF , N 1849

JUNIOR DIVISION BIG TEN

REYES	• R	2258
BUERGER	• E	2072
TEGEL	, F	2009
WONG	• F	1955
50LLA	• F.	1901
ROSENFELD	• I	1895
MEMGELIS	٠Ĥ	1872
LATIMER	• E	1870
STYS	• A	1864
DUNWORTH	• 🕽	1861
\$ 100 t minimum 11 t		/

TEAM...ABDCK

4-MAN DIVISION

	-	PLAYER			SEA:	SONAL .	
PLAYER	-	MUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
BADER	• S	447	Ű+	3	1	Ü	3.0
GILLHAM	* C	358	1523+	4	. 6	1	4.5
LIMUNOVIC	• F	546	Ũ+	Ű. ·	Ũ	1	0.5
MADISON	• D	143	1305◆	Û	1	0	0.0
ORT	, G	355	1724+	3	<u>~</u> .	≘	4.0
SCOTT	* G	445	1208#	4	4	Û.	4.0
WOLCOTT	• G	142	1582	3	5	3	4.5
ZIELIMSKI	• II	144	1284◆	1	7	3	2.5

TEAM...AMOCO

MDIZIVIO MAM-8

		FLAYER		Mark Mark	- SEAS	OMAL .	··· ···
PLAYER		NUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
BURKE	اا	490	1223#	2	3	Q	2.0
DEE	* M	34	1391	æ	6	2	3.0
FAJFAR	• DJ	476	1376#	1	5	1	1.5
FRAZIER	* Ĥ	470	0+	0	2	Û	0.0
JACKSON	* [i]	499	()+	0	1	Ű	0.0
JACOBSEN	• FM	475	1370◆	Û	3	Q	0.0
KIAMG .	* bills	477	Û+	Œ.	3	Ű	0.0
LEE .	, RJ	33	1622	2	3	2	3.0
MC GIMM	• D	582	Ũ+	1	1	Û	1.0
PIASYNSKI	ال. و	537	1299#	2	3	1	2.5
REINHARDT	* V	37	12450	2	4	1	2.5
ROY	5 II	36	1275+	1	<u></u>	Û	1.0
SCHMIDT	• T	491	Ü+	1	Ü	Ũ	1.0
SCHROEDER	• H	208	1838	2	Ü	Û	2.0
SELLMAN	• T	495	Ü+	1	2	0	1.0
SHERIDAM	·LA	205	1615	2	4	Ē	3.0
VRTIS .	• J	500	Ú+	Ü	0	. 0	0.0
WATSON .	• R	35	1391+	. ≥ '.	2	0	2.0
WESTON	• J	419	1499#	3	4	Ũ	3.0

TEAM...ARC-4

4-MAN DIVISION

		** .					
		PLAYER			- SEA:	SONAL .	
PLAYER		MUMBER	RATING	WINS	LUSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
KESKE	• F:	30	1764	4	5	1	4.5
MUIR	· • L	31	1653	3	4	3	4.5
PAAUWE	, 14	322	1331◆	5	2	1	5.5

TERMBSHPS	e-984	DIVISION
PLAYER BURBA ,K CATANIA ,F DECMAN ,S DEVER ,D KELLOGG ,K KINSELLA ,6 SCHARPING ,R SHIRKEY ,L TEWARI ,K THOMPSON ,L WARD ,C	PLAYER NUMBER RATING 248 1436 330 1222 77 1436 75 1586 76 1467 249 1456 78 1523* 74 1578 583 0+ 80 1200* 247 1620	
TEAMCHRGR	MAM-8	DIVISION
PLAYER ALOJADO ,M DOBR ,K KIMBLER ,C LICHT ,J RADAVICIUS,E STAMM ,V SWIDERSKI ,M WENDORF ,F WESCOTT ,R WETTERSTEN,H	PLAYER NUMBER RATING 325 1640 232 1742 38 1541 404 1409+ 27 1623 40 1578 28 1371 39 1508 43 1324+ 41 1427	SEASONAL WINS LOSSES DRAWS POINTS 5 1 4 7.0 6 2 5 8.5 2 4 4 4.0 2 1 0 2.0 6 2 0 6.0 9 2 0 9.0 8 3 1 8.5 4 2 3 5.5 3 3 1 3.5 4 4 0 4.0
TEAMCHSNT	S-MAN	DIVISION
PLAYER BANKS , B GRDIC , C HALAS , W HANSEN , R JOMES , J KINDT , W LEE , W MCANANY , J PFEFFER , D ROSEN , J RUSTWICK , J SHEBER , J SNDARARAMN R WALZ , J WATTERS , R YEH , K	PLAYER NUMBER RATING 575 0+ 487 1356* 588 0+ 68 1510* 425 1483* 538 0+ 573 0+ 69 1364 70 1451* 65 1522 71 1337* 421 1309* 421 1309* 421 1309* 421 1309* 421 1309* 421 1309* 421 1309* 421 1309* 421 1309* 421 1309* 421 1309*	SEASONAL WINS LOSSES BRAWS POINTS 0

TEAM	CMC	4-14611	DIVISION
, , , , ,			

		PLAYER	•		SEAS	SONAL .	+ -
PLAYER		MUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
DUZINSKAS	* R	109	1298+	Ũ	2	i	0.5
KIMCEK		. 585	Ü+	0	Û	Ü	0.0
MATTHEWS.	• D	110	0+	0	0	0	0.0
SUDOMSKI	• B	111	1260	0	3	0	Ü.Ü
WIENCEK	• D	520	ű+	0 -	1	Ü	0.0

TEAM...GOCAN

4-MAN DIVISION

		PLAYER			SEAS	SOMAL -	
PLAYER		MUMBER	RATING	WIMS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
DARGIS	• R	439	1564	3	1	3	4.5
FABIJONAS	• R	397	1771	9	3	Q.	9.0
GAILUS	9 lal	399	1387◆	1	3	0	1.0
GARZA	• F	513	Ũ÷	Ü	1	Ŭ	0.0
HERMANDEZ	٠R	398	1398*	3	3	0 (3.0
SUTARIA	• B	426	1316◆	:	Ξ	2	4.0
WENDELL	, E	553	Ŭ+	Û	0	Ü	0.0

TEAM...CPCIL

4-MAM DIVISION

		PLAYER		unu min m	SEAS	SOMAL -	
PLAYER		NUMBER	RATING .	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
DESJARDINS) . J	256	1336*	5	2	1	5.5
DRABIAK	٠.	554	0+	1	1	Q	1.0
GEIGER	, E	525	0+	1	2	0	1.0
MUDDE	٠, ا	368	1296#	₽	1	Ü.	2.0
PARMERTER	• \$	266	1613	3	4	()	3.0
STEUSKAL	ا. •	257	1369*	1	3	Ž	2.0
URBAS	• B	524	Ű+	1	1	1 .	1.5
WRIGHT .	* R:	395	1474#	1	1	1	1.5

TEAM...CTA

4-MAN DIVISION

		PLAYER			SEA:	SONAL -	
PLAYER		MUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
DAVIS	• C	551	Ď+	0	Ð	0	0.0
HAWKIN	• B ·	579	Ŭ+	0	0	Ü	0.0
MATTHEWS	أ. و	432	. Ű +	0	3	Û	0.0
MC NÉIL	* T	563	Ű+	0	Ũ	Ü	0.0
PARKER	, LX	578	Ü+	1	0	Ũ	. 1.0

to the transfer of the late of the first the late	- 	UIVIGIUN	
PLAYER AMUNDSEN ,R DUNWORTH ,J MERGILI ,G PETERSON ,P WONG ,P	PLAYER NUMBER RATING 104 1285* 102 1861 103 1493 517 0+ 277 1955		WS POINTS 3.0 6.0
TEAMDRGMS	I MAM-8	OIVISION	
PLAYER ANDERSON ,D ASTROM ,R BENSON ,G BRENDA ,C EL BANHAWY,GE GUTTMAN ,N JOHNSON ,J MARSELOS ,N MENARD ,J MYSLINSKI , SOBUN ,J WILSON ,D	PLAYER NUMBER RATING 15 1787 16 1774 14 1864 19 1731 407 1703 201 0+ 529 19150 18 1698 328 1836* 202 15490 20 1622 199 2095	5 4 1 4 3 1 4 2 4 4 1 1 8 0 1 3 1 0 6 2 0 3 3 2 1 3 1	WS POINTS 5.5 4.5 6.0 4.5 8.5 3.0 6.0 4.0 9.0
TEAMEXCAL	4-MAN I	, MÜISIVIC	·
PLAYER BROTSOS ,J BUERGER ,E EDWARDS ,S MENGELIS ,A ROSENFELD ,I VOLPE ,M	PLAYER NUMBER RATING 167 1657 300 2072 287 0+ 181 1872 101 1895 168 1395	SEASONA WINS LOSSES BRA 8	WS POINTS 8.5
TEAMFNBNK	4-MAN I	DIVISION	
PLAYER BUCHANAN ,R CHAPLIK ,H DAVIS ,J FEILER ,K GAFFIGAN ,T GASTELU ,E	PLAYER NUMBER RATING 343 1564 558 0+ 534 1494* 124 1770* 512 1474* 125 1783	SEASONA WINS LOSSES DRA 1 0 0 0 2 0 5 1 0 0 1 0 2 4 5 7 3 1	WS POINTS 1.0 0.0 5.0 0.0

enantition and the target DENHA DIATZION PLAYER --- SEASOMAL -- --PLAYER MUMBER RATING WINS LOSSES DRAWS POINTS AL BRECHT 118 ال. و 0+0 Q. Ü 0.0DOLL • 6 566 0+ 0 1 0 0.0 DYKSTRA • D 440 1191# 1 1 1.0 FLORES , R 535 0+ Ü 0 0.0HAMSEM · RX 565 0+Ü Ú 0.0 KOHLER s 🏖 345 **∏**+ Ű 0 Û 0.0ROGALSKI ال. و 3 267 0+ Ĥ Ü.Ü ROTHSCHILD, J 518 1279# 1 8 1 1.5 VIVIAN * (5 117 1405 Ü 10 0.5 ZIMMER ٠.,١ 119 TEAM...HAR-B 4-MAN DIVISION

		PLAYER		···· ··· ·	SEAS	SOMAL -	
PLAYER		MUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	ROINTS
BRAGGER	• T	532	. Ü+	Ũ	Ü	Ð.,	0.0
ERTEL	* M	337	1317#	ֶ וֹ	7	1	0.5
HEMRIKSON	• S	531	Û+	Ü	1	Ò	0.0
MOSMHOL	• T	562	Ŭ+	Ü	Ü	Ũ	Ũ.Ũ
RADCLIFFE	• G	533	ű+	1	1	Ũ	1.0
RAVERA	• H	580	(j+	Û	Ũ	Ü	0.0

TEAM...HOWE 4-MAN DIVISION

PLAYER			SEASOMAL					
	PLAYER		MUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
	DECKER	• K	529	Ü+	0	- 2	0	0.0
	HARDER	9 包 。	515	0+	0	1	Ü	Ü.Ü
	LILLIĖ	• D	514	Ũ +	0	3	0	0.0
	SCHOOLER	• B	530	0+	Ĭ,	1	Ü	0.0
	MILSON	٠Ĥ	430	1634	3	1	ň	3.0

TEAM...ILBEL 8-MAN DIVISION

		PLAYER			೧೯۵%	SONAL -	
PLAYER		NUMBER	RATING	. MINS.	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
BUELL	·*K	195	1640	5	3	3	6.5
CHRISTOPHE	₹• L	461	1531*	2	. 4	4	4.0
DEBOTH	. 1	204	1679+	2	€	£	3.0
DOWNS	- 11	576	Ú+	Ü	1	Ű	0.0
ESTABROOK	۶R	485	()+ .	2	0	. 0	2.0
GARCIA	* R	577	.∯+	0	0	0	0.0
KEITH	• G	192	1664	3	8	Û	3.0
LAUTH	• R	196	1493	5	3	Ž	6.0
LOGELIM	s [j]	194	1563	5	1	1	5.5
MANGUARCHA	4 . N	486	1194:	Ü	2	0	0.0
PETERS	, ,	198	1329+	Ď	Ē	Ü	0.0
Company manager	, .m.	4 112 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	2 774 77		, ter,	, w	* * *

	•	PLAYER			SEA:	SOMAL .	···
PLAYER	?	MUMBER	RATIMG	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
BYCOWSKI	* M	. 56	1484	4	3	Ü	4.0
GLASS	* M	549	Ű÷	Û	ñ	Ű	0.0
KOULIS	* J	548	∐+	1 .	Š	Ü	1.0
DELRICH	• 🗟	386	1627*	ŝ	3.	ä	
PETRIK	, F	459	Ü+	ñ	ž	Ö	4.0
WEBER	. • S	570	ű+	'n	i	-	0.0
YANG	, P	54	1478	5		Û	0.0
			T I I	.	3	Ú	5.0

TE	iii ht	L/F	41.	ĽŧΤ

8-MAN DIVISION

		PLAYER			- SEAS	ONAL -	
PLAYER		MUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
BAKER	, L	226	1584.	2	4	3	3.5
BARHORST	ا. •	540 .	0+	1	Ē	Ú	1.0
BINGLE	ب و	227	1599	4	3	Ũ	4.0
CAMAIONI	9	545	0+	0	1	Ü	Ü.Ü
CERITELLI	* (d)	55	1 590◆	1	Ę	1	1.5
DAS	* E	82	1534◆	2	2	Ü	2.0
DRAGEL	y (5	(<u>=</u> 13 <u>=</u> 1	1355	5	4	1	5.5
DURSTON.	₹ C	224	1758	Û	Ē	Ũ	0.0
JACKSON	₹ J	218	2027	6	a	4 .	8.O
MAGLIC	* R	536	1653	1	4	Ü	1.0
MIHAILOVI	D•S	217	1993	1	Ũ	4	9.0
OCKERS	, S	223	1593	4	4	2	5.0
PURHDIT	٠Ĥ	556	Ú+	Ð	Ü	Ũ	0.0
RUDZITIS	• E	225	1758	2	2	1	2.5
STREETS	• I	416	2091	2	. 1	1	2.5

TEAM...KNGIN

8-MAN DIVISION

		PLAYER			SEA:	SDNAL .	
PLAYER		MUMBER	RATING	WIMS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
BROZOVICH	ل و	47	1757	- 5	3	4	7.0
FAJKUS	y 🤳	25	1555	æ	4	1	2.5
KUMRO	, D	24	1691	4	5	1	4.5
PARKER	7 L.	417	1998*	6	1	4	8.0
PEHAS	٠Ĥ	231	1991	<u></u>	1	1	5.5
SHAFF	٠E	46	1543	5	7	2	6.0
STEPANEK	, I	331	1738*	, 3	1	Œ	4.0
VELBEL	•Ĥ'	319	Ú+	. 1	Ë	Û	1.0
WARREM	الي و		2027	2	Ď.	6	5.0
YUKNAVECH	* R	479	1526*	7	4	1	

NOISIVIG MAM-8

PLAYER BLAZIE , ELLIOTT , FARMER , FISH , HARRINGER , LEE , RICHEY , SUND , THOMAS , TURCANY , VONDRASEK , WESTON ,	M 244 J 54 R 233 R 49 R 245 R 245 J 29 574 5	RATING 1690+ 1738 1232# 1575+ 1482 1454+ 1414+ 1549+ 1398 0+ 0+	56245431210	LOSSES 32123242405	ONAL - DRAWS 2 4 0 1 3 1 1 1 1 0 0 0	PDINTS 6.0 8.0 2.0 4.5 6.5 4.5 2.5 1.0 0.0
₩ESIUN →	51	1325*	5	3	1	5.5

TEAMKN5S4	* .	4-MMM	DIVISION			
	PLAYER			SEA:	SONAL .	
PLAYER .	MUMBER	RATING	WIMS	LOSSES	DRAMS	PDINTS
ANDREWS .C	501	1583#	⊉ -	3	Ũ	2.0
MC MANIGAL.6	403	Ü÷	0	2	Û	0.0
STOCKWELL ,L	502	0+	0	3	· 1	0.5
WHOWELL .W	296	1243*	1	4	1	1.5
ZARN •R	297	Q+	1	2	0	1.0

TEAM...MSNTD 4-MAN DIVISION

		PLAYER	. J		SEA:	SONAL -	
PLAYER		NUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
ANGELES	• F	120	1619#	3	Ü	0	3.0
CRUZ	* F	521	Ű+	Ü	0	1	0.5
GALLAGHER	• S	401	Ű÷	. 0	1	Ü	0.0
LEANO	9 (s)	552	0+	Ð	Ũ	o	0.0
MADRIDEJOS	* T	550	Ű+	. Ű	0	0	0.0
MENDEZ	, S	522	0+	2	Ū	0	2.0
PETRIE	• K	402	0+	Û	3	Ü	0.0

		PLAYER			SEA:	SOMAL .	
PLAYER		HUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
COX	, R	323	1544◆	2	용	1	2.5
DRURY	• D	541	1144	1	1	Ū	1.0
GEHRKE	• ¥	542	94	1	1	Ũ	1.0
GILES	• T	498	#	1.	2	Ü	1.0
GONCHAROF	- M + -	208	1849	Z.	3	€,	5.0
GREENSPAN	• F	210	1611	O	4	1	0.5
GRIGGEL	• R	230	1382+	1	1	Ø	1.Ŭ
HEMNING	ل.و	214	1631	4	5	1	4.5
JACOB	• C	387	1508◆	2	5	1	2.5
MAKS	ال و	296	1417	1	:3:	. 0	1.0
NEUMARK	ال و	209	1743	⊕ -	1	Ū	0.0
DGASAWARA	→ R:	213	1654	. 1	4	5	3.5
ROBINSON	• F	494	1337*	3	5	=	4.0
SERWAS	• Y	544	Ü+	- Q	Ē	Ű.	0.0
THOMPSOM	. LE	E 543	0+	1	1	0	1.0
AICK	• H	457	1532*	1	3	O	1.0
ZABERZNSKI	[• b)	211	2152+	Ŭ	Ú	1	0.5

TEAM...PAWNS 8-MAN DIVISION

	PLAYER		, m	SEAS	MAL .	
	NUMBER	RATIN5	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
, E	221	1674	Ī	3	Ü	3.0
, H	84	1347	5	3	0	5.0
* I	424	1502◆	7	4	1	7.5
* R	497	Ü+	₽	1	Ü	2.0
* H	241	1146+	Ü	4	Ü	0.0
. •	90	1157+	æ	€.	[]	2.O
, (d	571	1265#	1	4		1.0
* T	. 86	1524	6	3	Ü	€.0
* L	584	Ũ÷	0	1	! [[0.0
* ¥	394	Ú+	E	1	Ð	2.0
* Z	572	1209#	Ũ	1	Ü	0.0
• F	242	1172#	1	4	Ø	. 1.0
5 E	83	1502	6	3	1	6.5
• [4]	81	1573	1	Œ	3	2.5
• B	492	Ũ +	Ŭ	0	Ñ	0.0
*R	220	1820	3	æ	2	4.0
	,HDRHUWTLYZPEWB	NUMBER ,E 221 ,H 84 ,D 424 ,R 497 ,H 241 ,U 90 ,W 571 ,T 86 ,L 584 ,Y 394 ,Z 572 ,P 242 ,P 242 ,W 81 ,W 81 ,B 492	NUMBER RATING ,E 281 1674 ,H 84 1347 ,D 424 1502* ,R 497 0* ,H 241 1146* ,U 90 1157* ,W 571 1265* ,T 86 1524 ,L 584 0* ,Y 394 0* ,Y 394 0* ,Z 572 1209* ,P 242 1172* ,E 83 1502 ,W 81 1573 ,B 492 0*	NUMBER RATING WINS ,E 221 1674 3 ,H 84 1347 5 ,D 424 1502* 7 ,R 497 0* 2 ,H 241 1146* 0 ,U 90 1157* 2 ,W 571 1265* 1 ,T 86 1524 6 ,L 584 0* 0 ,Y 394 0* 2 ,Y 394 0* 2 ,F 242 1172* 1 ,E 83 1502 6 ,W 81 1573 1 ,B 492 0*	NUMBER RATING WINS LOSSES ,E 221 1674 3 3 ,H 84 1347 5 3 ,D 424 1502* 7 4 ,R 497 0* 2 1 ,H 241 1146* 0 4 ,U 90 1157* 2 6 ,W 571 1265* 1 4 ,T 86 1524 6 3 ,L 584 0* 0 1 ,Y 394 0* 2 1 ,Y 394 0* 2 1 ,P 242 1172* 1 4 ,E 83 1502 6 3 ,W 81 1573 1 2 ,B 492 0* 0 0	NUMBER RATING WINS LOSSES DRAWS ,E 221 1674 3 3 0 ,H 84 1347 5 3 0 ,D 424 1502* 7 4 1 ,R 497 0* 2 1 0 ,H 241 1146* 0 4 0 ,J 90 1157* 2 6 0 ,W 571 1265* 1 4 0 ,T 86 1524 6 3 0 ,L 584 0* 0 1 0 ,Y 394 0* 2 1 0 ,Y 394 0* 2 1 0 ,P 242 1172* 1 4 0 ,E 83 1502 6 3 1 ,W 81 1573 1 2 3 ,B 492 0* 0 0

TEAM...PROON 4-MAN DIVISION

		PLAYER			SEA:	SOMAL -	
PLAYER		NUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
DAILIDKA	, 2	279.	1682	Ξ	2	Ú	2.0
DELEON	* P1	308	1652#	4	E	Ü	4.0
DMY	• S	413	1362*	3	3	0	3.0
GHAVIMI	* R	503	1648+	Ë	3	1	6.5
KOZACKI	ال و	353	1850	7	2	2	8.0
LEE	* ¥	458	ŋ+	Ç)	1	Ŭ	Ü.U
IMAAM	• \$	281	1400+	Û	1	Ü	0.0
SAIFULLAH	. M	122	1734#	5	=	Ü	5.0
STUHLBARG	• D	526	1562#	5	Ä	ñ	5 ň

PLAYER

BARAN

DAVIS

LEBEH

MORVEY

CARLSON

NUMBER RATING

1315

1521*

1193+

1511+

1

2

Ü

4

346

427.

347

113

* E.

ال. و

E

* F

WINS LOSSES DRAWS POINTS

4

Ü

2 4 2

Ð

1

Ü

2.0

2,0

0.5

4.0

	DI CATO	SEASONAL
	PLAYER	
PLAYER	MUMBER RATIO	
KARLOVICS •S	169 1773	
KLING •R	590 1235	
LAMGE .H	409 1143	1 2 0 1.0
LOPEZ D	*	+ 0 1 0 0.0
	172 1673	
MOYLAN • K	·	
ROELKE ,J	171 1277	
TOMLIMSON .H.		
WEINER .A	560	it1. Q1. Q
		•
TEAMROOKS	8-Mf	M DIVISIOM
	PLAYER	SEASONAL
PLAYER	NUMBER RATIO	G WINS LOSSES DRAWS POINTS
BECK ,M	222 1476	
BENEDEK ,R.	216 2148	
BERRY ,G	219 1826	
DALEY , J	95 1329	
DOMANUS ,H	465 1694	• 7 3 0 7.0
FROST . B	333 1177	
KROHN • V	423 1816	
KUCERA ,D	327 1991	
PETERSON , M	238 1698	5 4 1 5.5
TABOAS •A	332 1230	* 2 3 0 2.0
UHERKA • K	87 1416	* 2 3 0 2.0 * 2 6 1 2.5
YOUNG .C	589 (+ 1 0 0 1.0
	ÿ = -	
		•
	•	
TEAMRRD-A	4-141	N DIVISION
•		
	PLAYER	SEASONAL
PLAYER	HUMBER RATIN	
BERES ,R	428	+ 2 0 1 2.5
BURK ,R	271 1425	5 3 3 6.5
CAIME .R	270 1506	
DOBBINS •6		
	581	
HERSCHBRGR * W		
SWAMSON .H	415 1657	5 1 1 5.5
•		
		· ·
TEHMRRD-B	4MF	N DIVISION
•	•	
	PLAYER	SEASONAL

		PLAYER			SEAS	SONAL	<u> </u>
PLAYER		NUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
AMDERSON	, N	132	1793	Ē	4	5	4.5
BRAZEAL	, TD	376	1265	Ē	EET.	Ű	2.0
CAPPELLE	• E	510	1405	Z	it	1	2.5
GREEN	• H	504	14640	4	Ξ	1	4.5
HERREN	• D	505	0+	Ü	į Ū	Ü	Ū.Ū
HERZMANN	• K	357	<u>"</u>	Ü	Ō	Ū	Ŭ.Ū
MOGEE	* 5	135	1229*	4	5	Ű	4. Ū
SCHOLL	y (5	506	0+	1	Ũ ·	Ü	1.0
SIVULA	• B	133	1453		7	1	≟.5
SZATMARY	• E	134	1337+	2	2	Ü	2.0
WOODS	• B	509	1377#	2	5	Ü	2.0
YOUNG -	ل و	527	Û÷	Ü	Ü	Û	0្. 🗓

TEAM...SHWMS

4-MAN DIVISION

		PLAYER		Albert, brode stee	SEA:	SONAL -	··· ··· ···
PLAYER		NUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	FOINTS
GIVINS	, E	555	0+	Ŭ	Û	·Ú	0.0
MAYES	• D	568	ij+	Q	E	1	0.S
HELLETT	• H	519	1420◆	1	Ū.	Ü	1.0
PLAISANCE	• T	268	1362+	Ξ	3	1	2.5
POKUSA	• R	365	1345*	3	2	Ē	4.0
SIMANTEL	. L	261	1270	· 7	2	· Û	7.0
TIMDER	. [260	1414	2	. 7	0	2.0
YAPP	• B	259	1513	0	Z	Ü	0.0

TEAM...SIGND

4-MAN DIVISION

		PLAYER			- – SEAS	SOMAL .	··· ··· ··· ···
PLAYER		NUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
ANDERSON	*K	561.	.0+	1	1	0	1.0
BDDIE	ا. •	379	0+	Û	Ē	()	Ü.Ü.
BROSI6	• Ħ	137	1753	4	5	Э	5.5
FRANK	• R	444	() +	Ũ	1	Ũ	ü. O
HOSKIMS	* E	381	0+	1	3	Ü	1.0
HUFF	₹ S	140	1429+	3	7	. 1	3.5
KLEIM	۶Ĥ	166	1433◆	1	2	Ü	1.0
KLEIN	٠, ا	165	1206	1	Ē	Ü.	1.0
MCNOWN	y 💭	318	0+	Ũ	0	Ü	0.0
PASTURCZAK	GRU	406	1276+	3	4	1	3.5
PEREZ	• A	547	Ü+	Ü	1	Ü	0.0
RIBALDO	* D	507	Ũ +	0	Ũ	Ü	0.0
STENSAKER	• L	138	1470	3	4	5	5.5
HUTTMONN	. D	⊏್್	ñ.	ñ	гı	ñ	ű ń

4-MAH DIVISION

		PLAYER			SEA:	SONAL .	
PLAYER		NUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
CAMPBELL	∍ T	1.05	1526*	5	8	2	6.0
CIBA	* F	107	1469*	8	3	4	10.0
REYES	* F.	128	2258	16	Ũ	Ű	16.0
SCHWARTZ	* 2	130	1704	4	6 .	5	6.5

TEAM...SRS-B

4-MAN DIVISION

		PLAYER			– – SEAS	SOMAL .	
PLAYER		HUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
ANDRESEN	• T	131	1735	13	Đ	1	13.5
GOLLA	, R	488	1901	9	4	3	10.5
KUCH	, F	106	1640*	6	3	4	8.0
LATIMER	• E	129	1870	8	6	1	8.5
MORTON	• B	567	0+	1	1	0	1.0
STECK	• L	591	Ü÷	Ũ	1	Ũ	0.0

TEAM...SRS-C

4-MAN DIVISION

		PLAYER			SEA:	SOMAL .	···· ···· ····
PLAYER		NUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
LEM3	• B	455	1527	4	3	1	4.5
PAOLIMI	• H	557	. Ü+	Ü	1	O	O.O
ROLEWICZ	• F	481	1626#	2	1	2	3.0
STONE	, E	274	Ũ ÷	7	0	Ũ	7.0
SUTULA	, T	273	1758#	2	4	Q	2.0

TEAM...TTY

4-MAN DIVISION

	•	PLAYER	. *.		- SEAS	SOMAL -	·
PLAYER		MUMBER	RATING	WINS	LOSSES	DRAWS	POINTS
ALDORT	. L	155	1575	0	3	5	2.5
GILMER	• 🤳	288	1561	Ē.	5	Z	7.0
GRUBER	• D	156	1469	2	2	1	2.5
JACOBS	* P4	356	1865	1	1	0	1.0
KLEMM	ل و	377	1459	2	3	Ü	2.0
LANTZ	• 0	483	1265	Ű	1	Ü	Ŭ. O
POKORNY	3 M	305	1328*	2	1	0	2.0
SLOAM	y Id	310	1503+	iÜ	5	0	10.0
TEGEL	, F	154	2009	9 -	1	2	10.0

TEAM...WARDS 4-MAN DIVISION PLAYER - SEASONAL - -PLAYER NUMBER RATING WINS LOSSES DRAWS POINTS 3 4 Ü BUJNOCKI 188 1321 • 3.0 * B 2 559 1662# ŀ 8.5 COX • 11 1794* LIDDELL . • B 306 , R ñ 1 LITHK 523 ű+ 0.0 LUCAS * K 516 ñ+ Ŭ 0.5 DOCOMY • B 187 1416# 5.0 2 ŭ PORTER • A 564 ű+ 1 2.5 1583+ ULICKEY * K 186 3.0 4-MAN DIVISION TEAM...ZNITH PLAYER SEASONAL PLAYER NUMBER RATING WINS LOSSES DRAWS POINTS 473 1 3 ñ AMARA * I Û÷ 1.0 * F 177 1564+ 2 1 2 3.0 CONWAY DASSIE - K 178 1526+ 1 4 ű 1.0 17 REAGAN • D 179 Π÷ 1.0 Ű÷ WALTHERS , D 508 1.0 4-MAN DIVISION - SEASONAL -PLAYER WINS LOSSES DRAWS POINTS NUMBER RATING PLAYER 2 9.0 2 350 1546 ASPAWA • T 10 1 10.5 1544+ • .] 149 CHEM 1 3 ĬΙ 1.0 148 1315 FOGELSON * M 6 3

1567

1774

147

146

, P

y R

MILLER

SCHULMAN

4

5.5

7.0

Rating Chairman's Season Summary, 1975/76 - L. A. Sheridan

In the 1975/76 season, about 400 players participated in CICL match play. Last year we had about 480 players. About 100 players received a player number for the first time.

Kumro's Giant Killers (by L.A.S.)

- R. Ghavimi "slew" E. Buerger with a rating difference of 502.
- J. Henning "destroyed" V. Krohn with a rating difference of 324.

Big Ten upsets require that the loser be currently listed in the Big Ten when the game was played and the rating difference must be 300 or more.

Other Upsets

- W. Whowell beat L. Muir with a rating difference of 533.
- L. Simantel beat R. Caine with a rating difference of 418.

16

13

12

- 10

10

B. Woods beat J. Brotsos with a rating difference of 343.

Undefeated Players (7 or more games)

Junior

R. Reyes, Sears "A"

T. Andresen, Sears "B"

J. Chen. Zenith-Niles

W. Sloan, TTY

S. Karlovics. Zenith-Raul.

I. Rosenfeld, EXcaliburs

Junior R.Reyes, Sears "A" T. Andresen, Sears "B" A. Mengelis, Excaliburs G. Stone, Sears "C" Top Point Getters	16/0/0 13/0/1 8/0/1 7/0/0	Senior G. El Banhawy, Dragons S. Mihailovich, Knights R. Benedek, Rocks J. Warren, WE King's Indians	8/0/1 7/0/4 4/0/6 2/0/6
Junior R. Reyes, Sears "A" T. Andresen, Sears "B" S. Karlovics, Zenith-Raul. J. Chen, Zenith-Niles R. Golla, Sears "B" I. Rosenfeld, Excaliburs (three others at 10.0)	16.0 13.5 12.5 10.5 10.5	Senior G. Berry, Rooks S. Mihailovich, Knights J. Sobun, Dragons V. Stamm, Chargers (five others at 8.5)	9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0
Most Wins			

Senior

8

8

V. Stamm. Chargers

G. El Banhawy, Dragons

M. Swiderski. Chargers

G. Kinsella, Bishops

G. Berry, Rooks

Mo	st	Draws

o un to c			
R. Schulman, Zenith-Niles L.nAldort, TTY N. Anderson, Sara Lee T. Gaffigan, 1st Nat'l Bank S. Schwartz, Sears "A"	6 5 5 5 5	R. Benedek, Rooks N. Goncharoff, Motorola J. Warren, King's Indians K. Dobr, Chargers D. Kucera, Rooks	6 6 6 5 5
L. Stensaker, Signode J. Zechinati, ARC-+	5 5	R. Ogasawara, Motorola	5

The following page contains a chart of the distribution of ratings in the CICL. This was compiled in April when there were 254 players active in the 1975/76 season. The overall average rating of 254 players was 1544. The largest single grouping was 1401 to 1500 - it represented 18% of the total players considered.

The Western Electric club at Lisle attempted a 7-minute team speed chess tournament. The format was changed because of lack of participation.

7 - Minute Speed Tournament 6-3-76


Place	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1. J. Brozovich, Indians	X	1	1	1	1	0	1	. 5
2. V. Stamm. Chargers	0	X	0	1	1	1/2	1	3 1
3. C. Dobr, Chargers	0	1	Х	0	0	1	1	3
4. W. Elliott, Kingsmen	0	0	1	X	1	0	1	3
5. M. Swiderski. Chargers	0	0	1	0	X	1	1	3
6. R. Shaff, Indians	1	2	0	1	0	X	0	$2\frac{1}{2}$
7. R. Harringer, Kingsmen	0	0	0	0	0	1	X	1

The evening was originally planned as a 4 or 5 team tournament with games similar to the regular season format. However only seven players showed, so we had a round robin and a lot of fun. Seems like many of the games played afterwards had opposite results from above. I would encourage another such match before the fall season if anyone out there will organize it.

Rick Shaff

Sentor

Total Active 254



Active Ratings as of April 15, 1976

C I C L Business Meeting June 24. 1976

Meeting opened at 7:15 P.M., Amoco Research Center, Naperville.

Members present:

Doug Anderson - Bell Labs

Ned Anderson - Sara Lee

Terry Andreson - Sears, Roebuck

David Baurac - Argonne

Greg Berry - A.N.L.

Terry Brazeal - Sara Lee

Joe Brozovich - W. E.

Michael Dee - Amoco

Nik Goncharoff - Motorola

Phil Yang - I.T.T.

Duane Satterlee - International Harvester

Rick Shaff - W. E.

John Sloan - Fermi Labs

Walt Sloan - Teletype

Ron Walker - Fermi Labs Charlie Ward - Argonne

Lou Aldort - Teletype

Paul Weston - W. E.

Gary Wolcott - Formerly of A.B. Dick Co.

Larry Young - Illinois Bell Dick Zielinski - A.B. Dick

Following the introduction of the members in attendance, it was announced that there would be no treasurers report at this time as Gary Benson was away on vacation.

A motion was made and carried, to accept the minutes of the Spring business meeting as published in league bulletin No. 8, Vol. XIX.

Discussion was held regarding proposed amendment; CICL "Policies & Rules"; vested rights proposal I.C.2. A motion was made and carried to change the wording of the amendment to: " must be a former employee retired or laid off by that company after a minimum of 5 years service."

The membership then entered into the very serious subject of; restructuring the league into all 6-person teams thus abolishing the present and long prevailing system of 8-person (senior) and 4-person (junior) divisions.

The discussion opened with Paul Weston presenting his survey of the league members in regards to the above subject. The results of the survey were based primarily on these questions:

- 1. How many years has your company been in the CICL?
- 2. How many members of your chess organization were active in CICL competition in the 1975-76 season?

3. Would you support the CICL if the league adopted a system of all 6-person teams?

The answers to these questions were highly favorable toward the new concept with only a couple of member teams responding negatively.

Paul added that the new universal structure would eliminate the "separation" existing in the league due to the present 2 division structure. He then presented a map of team locations and pointed out that the separation is also geographical with most of the senior division isolated in one area (west suburban) of the total CICL geographical picture. Someone commented that without Argonne and the Bell System, there wouldn't be a senior division. Paul added that the 6-person structure would give the league more stability, as turnover in the junior division is quite high. If companies "had to work harder" to get enough players to enter a 6-person team, they would be less likely to drop out. The playoffs under the proposed system would be centralized. Finally, the total number of teams in the league would remain about the same after anticipated 4-person teams withdraw. Nik Goncharoff stated that while he was against the re-structure idea when it was first presented, he now agrees with the concept but has reservations about adopting the system without a trial period. He then made a motion that the 6-person team structure be tried for one year as a test and adopt or drop the system dependent on its success or failure. Before voting on the motion a general discussion of the plan was held with the biggest concern being how many junior division teams would be lost when it came time to make the decision to join and what are the dangers of raising the threshold of the junior division teams from 4 to 6 players. Lou Aldort asks if, the rich get richer and the poor get poorer; 8-person teams drop 2 weaker players, 4-person teams must add 2 weaker players. On the positive side it was emphasized that the new system would give unity to the league. something non-existant in the past and very importantly, increase the stability of CICL which in the past was the burden of the senior division due to the high turnover in the 4-person division. Greg Berry, speaking for Jim Brotzos, explains that the position of captain for Alumni teams would become more difficult due to having to contact more persons in numerous locations. Greg than emphasized the importance of league stability and how the new system would provide that. He then suggested voting on Nik Goncheroff's motion.

At this point a number of proxies were presented and immediately the question arose as to whether proxies constituted voting power. Following a brief discussion a vote was taken and while minor confusion prevented tabulating the actual count, the decision was clearly in favor of acceptance of proxy votes.

A vote followed to ammend the motion for the universal 6-person team league structure. The tally was in favor of the proposed set-up by 19 - 11. This was followed by a vote to adopt the proposal for the 1976-77 season. The vote was affirmative by a clear 24-1 count, so; present and future members, welcome to your 6-person team in the CICL!

The next question was that of individual team dues. The motion was made to require \$18.00/Team entry fee.* Motion was carried unanimously by a 20-0 vote.

The divisional structure of the league was not determined at this time. Responsible parties would have to work out this matter as this monumental change certainly requires a good deal of analysis as to division and sectional alignment not to mention what teams will join in this alignment. Speaking of that issue, applications for new teams entrance into the league was accepted. The following new companies or new team additions from existing member companies were accepted unanimously to the CICL:

Fermi Labs
International Harvester
ANL Monarchs
Illinois Bell

In addition, the Kitchens of Sara Lee requested and received permission to utilize Bill Vicks, an outside contractor but employed at said company for 14 years, on the Sara Lee Chess Team.

In regards to team alignment, Lou Aldort points out that due to the strength of the present or future Alumni Teams, thus their affect on the final standings, consideration ought to be given to Alumnis' competitors records as applied to the playoffs, especially where a "wild card" concept is employed.

Appointment of committee chairmen. The following are the appointees to those positions necessary for the successful functioning of the league.

- 1. Publicity Paul Weston
- 2. Industrial Tornado Steve Decman
- 5. Banquet Jim Gehrke. Lee Thompson (speed tourney)
- 4. Telephone match with CCLNY Open
- 5. Awards Open
- 6. Nominations of Officers Joe Brozovich, Nik Goncharoff, Larry Young.
- 7. Entertainment None
- 8. Speed Chess Tournament None
- 9. Special League Natches None
- 10. Rating Chairman Terry Andreson

New Business:

Terry Andreson made a motion to eliminate highest rated player trophy. Vote carried unanimously. He then made a motion to - - - set up a committee to study awarding a most valuable player award or trophy (to a player, or players who displayed outstanding talent in league competition such as; most points on a given "board"). Motion carried unanimously. Committee appointed to work out this matter were: Ned Anderson, Terry Andreson, Greg Berry, Fred Ciba and Rick Shaff.

Charlie Ward then took the floor to present the modifications and amendments to, RULES OF TEAM COMPETITION. These proposals were given to all in session with the intent that all league players be acquainted with the contents and respond if any questions arose.

The final business was the discussion on the list of proposals presented by Jim Brotsos at the CICL Spring 1976 Business meeting. These issues, at least for the present time, were tabled with the exception of the proposal for a "Commemorative Tournament"; that we name our annual CICL tournament in honor of a past officer, founder, etc. A motion was made and passed to adopt this proposal.

Before closing this meeting, Lou Aldort recommends another meeting prior to the start of the next season. This would be to discuss team alignment, schedules, etc. The location of said meeting would be determined by League President, Greg Berry.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:51 P.M.

Gary B. Wolcott Secretary, CICL

President's Report

At our June business meeting the league overwhelmingly adopted the 6-man team concept for next season. There will be another business meeting on August 19th at Amoco Research Center in Naperville. The meeting will start at 7:00pm. The major item on the agenda will be discussion of the league structure for the trial season.

I have prepared two different structures to be considered at the meeting. Anyone preferring an alternate structure should be prepared to make a proposal at the business meeting.

Structure I (4 Divisions)

North - 10 Excaliburs	East - 9 Sears - 3	South - 8 R.R.D 2	West - 12 Argonne - 4
T.T.Y.	1st National Bank	Continental Can	B.T.L 2
Procon	Montgomery Wards	C.P.C.	Western Electric - 2
Signode	Harris Trust	Sherwin Williams	Amoco - 2
Sara Lee	G.A.T.X.	W.E. Hawthorne	Fermi Labs
Zenith-Niles	I.B.T2	Int'l Harvester	College of DuPage
A.B. Dick		Argonne - 1	
I.T.T.		-	
Zenith-Rauland			

Structure II (5 Divisions)

Motorola

			•
North - 8	South - 6	Near West - 8	Far West - 8
EXcaliburs	R.R.D 2	Argonne - 3	Argonne - 2
T.T.Y.	Continental Can	Western Electric - 2	B.T.L 2
Procon	C.P.C.	Int'l Harvester	Amoco - 2
Signode	Sherwin Williams	Zenith-Rauland	Fermi Labs
Sara Lee	W.EHawthorne	College of DuPage	Motorola
Zen1th-Niles		_	
I.T.T.	East - 9 (Same as	in Structure I)	

A method of qualifying teams will be a problem in either structure. In Structure I, the number of teams in each division is unequal so it would not be fair to allow 2 teams from each division to make the playoffs. In Structure II, there is an obvious problem if it is desired to have 8 teams in the playoffs (for a 3 round Swiss playoff). We should also discuss a method of qualifying teams for the playoffs. I favor a method which would allow the 1st place team from each division to make the playoffs, the remainder of the teams should be determined by a wild card procedure. I also believe that the top 2 teams in each division should receive trophies, independent of whether the second place team qualifies for the playoffs.

The playing schedule associated with each structure: for example, in Structure I, the West division could play a single Round Robin or, in Stucture II, the South section could play a double Round Robin.

There will be a vote on the revised Rules of Team Competition. These rules can be found in attachment A at the end of this bulletin.

I would like to solicit help from the chess playing community as a number of important committee chairmen are still needed. Hopefully, someone from the East division can volunteer for this important job. We only have three division chairmen. With 4 or 5 divisions we will need 1 or 2 more division chairmen to fill the void. Volunteers should call me, Gre Berry at 739-7711, ext. 5056.

senior division report...by rick shaff

Congratulations are due to the trophy winners, the Dragons, Chargers, Rooks, and Kingsmen. They received their awards at the banquet, along with 4-man division. Slavko Mihailovic of the Knights and Vern Stamm of the Chargers, each with a nine point season for their teams, received a *Most Valuable Player* certificate. Congratulations also to Gamal El Banhawy for most improved player.

senior division standings

Final		ection: "A"		•	•
Team	Match <u>Points</u>	Won	Lost	Draw	Game Points
BTL Dragons	11	11	0	0	61
ANL Rooks	7	6	3	2	48 ½ ,
WE King's Indians	4 1 /2	4	6	1	45
Ill Bell Tel	4	4	7	0	43 } .
ANL Knights	4	4:	7	0	43 1
Motorola	2	2	9	. 0	30 ½

Dragons vs. Rooks: BRENDA defeats Peterson, adj. game result.

Section "B"

Team	Match Points	<u>Won</u>	Lost	<u>Draw</u>	Game <u>Points</u>
WE Chargers	9 1	9	1,	1	55 1
WE Kingsmen	7 2	7	3∿	1	51
ANL Bishops	7	. 6	3	2	51
ANL Pawns	4	3	6	2	42 1
AMOCO	3	2	7	2	29 1
BTL Chessnuts	2.	1	8	2	341

junior division report.../y terry andresen

The following is an extra board match that had not been reported: S. Edwards 0 M. Volpe 1

This year's Junior Division playoffs consisted of 10 teams playing in a 3 - round swiss tournament. Sears demonstrated its chess prowess by not only finishing 1-2 in the Junior Division, but the Sears "B" team finished 3-0 by winning 11 of their 12 playoff games.

Pre-Playoff Standings

	Section	<u>V</u>	L	Pct.
1. R.R.D. "A" 2. Sears "B" 3. Zenith-Rauland 4. Sears "C" 5. Sears "A" 6. Teletype 7. Continental Can 8. Procon 9. Zenith-Niles 10. Amoco	South Loop "A" West Loop "B" Loop "A" North South North North West	9 ¹ / ₂ 10 7 ¹ / ₂ 5 7 7 6 7 7 5	1 1 1 2 4 4 5 5 4	•950 •909 •837 •713 •636 •625 •600 •583 •583

**	*	
Ho	1131	1

		HOURG				•	
Board	1			Board 2	3		
R.R.D. "A"	1 1/2	Teletype	$2\frac{1}{2}$	Continental Can	0	Sears "B"	4_
G. Dobbins	0	F. Tegel	1	R. Fabijonas	0	R. Golla	1
W. Herschberger	0	J. Gilmer	1	R. Hernandez	0	E. Latimer	1
H. Swanson	1/2	L. Aldort	1/2	R. Dargis	0	T. Andresen	1
R. Caine	1	W. Sloan	o T	W. Gailus	0	F. Kuch	1
R. Burk	$\overline{0}$	R. Titus	1	•		•	-
Board	3			Board 1			
Zenith-Rauland	2	Procon	_2_	Zenith-Niles	2 2	Sears "C"	1 1 2
S. Karlovics	1	R. Ghavimi	0	R. Schulman	1	A. Paolini	0
K. Moylan	0	J. Kozacky	1	P. Miller	1 2	P. Rolewicz	1/2
H. Tomlinson	0	A. Stys	1	T. Agpawa	1	G. Stone	0
J. Roelke	1	L. Tumalad	0	J. Chen	0	T. Sutula	1
R. Kling	1	S. Dmy	ō	•			
		~		Round 2	2		
Board	5			Board	ī		
Sears "A"	_2	Amoco	2	Sears "B"		Teletype	1
R. Reyes	1	R. Keske	.0	R. Golla	0	F. Tegel	1
S. Schwartz	1/2	L. Muir	1/2	E. Latimer	1	N. Jacobs	0
T. Campbell	i de la constanta de la consta	J. Zechinati	121	T. Andresen	1.	L. Aldort	0
F. Ciba	0	N. Paauwe	1	F. Kuch	1	J. Gilmer	0
				B. Morton	0	W. Sloan	1
		•		L. Steck	0	R. Titus	ī
Board	2			Board	ર્વ		*
Zenith-Niles	1	Zenith-Rauland	3	Procon	1 1 1/2	Sears "A"	$2\frac{1}{2}$
R. Schulman	0	S. Karlovics	1	A. Stys	0	R. Reyes	1
P. Miller	0	K. Moylan	1	J. Kozacky	1/2	S. Schwartz	$\frac{1}{2}$
T. Agpawa	0	H. Tomlinson	1	7. Dailide	Λ້	M	

						•	
Board	4.			Board	_5	·	
Amoco		R.R.D. "A"		Sears "C"		Continental Can	<u> </u>
R. Keske	0	G. Dobbins	ļ	B. Lems	O	R. Fabljonas	1
L. Muir	0	H. Swanson	1.	P. Rolewicz	1	W. Gailus	0
J. Zechinati	1212	R. Caine	\$	G. Stone	1 F	** ***	OF
N. Paauwe	2	R. Burk		T. Sutula	1F		OF
		P.A.	und 3				
Board	1	110	<u> </u>	Board	2		
Sears "B"	4	Zenith-Rauland	0	Sears "A"	 2 }	R.R.D. "A"	1 ½
R. Golla	1	S. Karlovics	0	R. Reyes	1	G. Dobbins	0.
E. Latimer	1	K. Moylan	Ŏ	S. Schwartz	<u>.</u>	R. Caine	1/2
T. Andresen	1	H. Tomlinson	Ŏ	T. Campbell	. 0	R. Burk	1
F. Kuch	4	J. Roelke	o o	F. Ciba	: 1	C. Williams	ō.
r • nucis	<u>.</u>	O N HOOLAG	•	r a Grad	- J.	The Production of the Producti	•
Board	3			Board	4		
Teletype	4	Sears "C"	0	Procon	1	Zenith-Niles	3
F. Tegel	1	B. Lems	0	N. Deleon	0	R. Schulman	1
N. Jacobs	1	P. Rolewicz	0	L. Tumalad	0	P. Miller	1
J. Gilmer	1	G. Stone	0	D. Stuhlbarg	1	T. Agpawa	ō
W. Sloan	1	T. Sutula	0	M. Saiffulah	ō	J. Chen	1
						•	
•		Bo	ard 5				
		Amoco	4P	Continental Can	OF	•	
		Final St	andings			•	

<u>Place</u>	<u>Team</u>	<u>Section</u>	W	L
1	Sears "B"	Loop "A"	3	0
2	Sears "A"	Loop "A"	2 ½	1/2
3	Teletype	North	2	1
4,	Zenith-Niles	North	2	1
5	Zenith-Rauland	West	12	1 ½
6	Amoco	West	12	1 2
7	R.R.D. "A"	South	1	2
8	Sears "C"	Loop "B"	1	2
9	Procon	North	$\frac{1}{2}$	2 1 /2
10	Continental Can	South	0	3

The following game was played on Board 1 in the championship match between Zenith-Rauland and Sears "B".

S. Karlovics (White) vs. R. Golla (Black)
Zenith-Rauland Sears "B"

	white	BTack						
1.	P-K4	P-KN3	10.	P-KR4	N-R4	19.	KR-K1ch	K-B2
2.	P-Q4	B-N2	11.	0-0-0	NxBch	20.	B-B4	_Q_ Q2
3∙	n-Qb3	P-Q3	12.	BPxN	B -Q 2	21.	B-N3	B-R3
4.	В-КЗ	N-KB3	13.	, P-K5	N-Q4	22.	Q-KB2	P-N5
5.	P - B3	N-B3	14.	NxN	PxN	23.	N-K2	P-R5
6.	B -Q B4	P-K3	15.	PxP	P_XP	24.	$P_{X}P$	RxP
7∙	Kn-K2	P-QR3	. 16.	K-N1	P-OR4	25.	P.M?	O-Diah


miscellaneous

Sharko Shindig - June 11,1976 CICL Awards Banquet

Seventy-four chess enthusiasts including guests turned out for the awards banquet, some of which are shown in this bulletin. After all the beef, chicken and mostacciolli was devoured that was topped off by an Elmer's Sundae for dessert and the awards presentation got underway.

Some companies walked off with more than one trophy. In the 4-man division Sears was more than impressive. The Sears, "B" team won the playoffs going undefeated in 3 playoff rounds and taking the championship. The runner-up spot went to the Sears "A" team who finished in 2nd place.

In the Senior Division Sectio "A" the BTL Dragons vanquished all corners and finished with a perfect 11-0 record, going undefeated and untied, quite an accomplishment in the strong "A" Section. The second spot went to the ANL Rooks who managed to have trouble only with the Dragons.





In the "B" Section of the Senior Division Western Electric gobbled up 1st and 2nd spots with the WE Chargers taking the championship and the Kingsmen grabbing 2nd place.

In the Warren Awards, G. El Banhawy of the BTL Dragons was awarded the Most Improved Player Award with a beginning rating of 1476 and finishing with 1703, a gain of 227 points. Highest Seasonal Average went to R. Benedek of Argonne in the 8-man and R. Reyes of Sears in the 4-man.

Vern Stamm of Western Electric won the Most Valuable Player Award in the 8-man division.

Two players were entered into the Century Club, they were E. Rudzitis of ANL and R. Ogasawara of Motorola.

39 chess-nuts went at it in the speed chess and \$75.00 was distributed in prize money with 27 players getting some kind of prize. This was the only entertainment of the evening so I guess our female guests had to resort to a gab-fest and provide

their own entertainment as 18 women attended the banquet. However, all things considered, I think a good time was held by all. Following are the Speed Chess Results.

Dan Kumro Banquet Chairman 1976

Speed Chess Results

Strongest Section - 1

		Score	rize
R.	Reyes, Sears "A"	6 -0	\$5.00
J.	Warren, WE Indians	3 1 -21	4.00
R_{\bullet}	Golla, Sears "B"	3 - 3	2.50
A.	Stys, Procon	3 - 3	2.50
E.	Latimer, Sears "B"	2 -4	• 50
F.	Tegel, Teletype	2 4	• 50

Very Strong Section -2

R.	Schulman, Zenith-Wiles 7	-0	5.00
G_{\bullet}	Berry, ANL Rooks 5	-2	3. <i>5</i> 0
N.	Goncharoff, Motorola 5	- 2	3.50
S.	Karlovics, Zenith-Raulands4	- 3	2.00
\mathbf{E}_{ullet}	Gastelu, 1st Nat'l Bank 3	-4	1.00





Prize
\$5.00
3.50
3.50
1.00
1.00
1.00
4.4.0-
4.00
4.00
4.00
2.00
1.00
5.00
4.00
4.00 3.00

L. Thompson, Motorola 4

members games

Greg Berry val Computer (Program written by Richard Greenblot of MIT)

Time: Berry, 4min. apprx.
Computer, 25min. apprx. on time sharing

1.1	White	Black			
	P-K4	P-K4	14.	P-K6	Q_XKP
2.	N-KB3	N-KB3	15.	BxP	R-KN1
3∙	P-Q4	NxP		କ୍ଲ୍ୟ	P-B4
4.	B-Q3	P-Q4		Q-N2	Q-KN3
5.	$N_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{P}$	B-K3	18.	Q-K5ch	K-Q2
6.	0-0	N-Q2		R-Qich	K-B1
7.	P-KB4	P-KB4		P-N3	RxB
8.	BxN	QPxB	21.	Q-K7	B-R4
9•	P-Q5	B-B4ch		R-Q6	Q-R4
10.	K-R1	B-B2		N-Q2	RxNP
11.	P-QN4	BxP		R-QN1	B-QN3
12.	B-N2	N×N		R-Q8ch	BxR
13.	PxN	QxP		QxPmate	





II. RULES OF TEAM COMPETITION

A. Team Schedule

The member teams will normally play a Round Robin schedule each season. The general concept will be determined at a pre-season business meeting and the particular schedule will be prepared and distributed by the Division Chairman at least 10 days before the schedule goes into effect. The Team Captains will be responsible for arranging a mutually agreeable date and starting time within the period allowed for the given round. The home Team Captain will normally be responsible for taking the initiative in arranging match dates. Match dates cannot be cancelled unilaterally within one week of the established date.

If Team Captains cannot agree on a match date by the last week of the time period for the round in which they are scheduled to play, they should each submit their first three choices of an evening during the following week to the Division Chairman. He should then use these choices and other pertinent facts to select a date most equitable to both teams.

B. Starting Time

In general, all boards should begin play at the same time. Any variations from this should be clearly understood and agreed to by both Team Captains prior to the match time. A standard grace period of 15 minutes beyond the agreed starting time will be allowed for late-comers.

C. Forfeit Losses

Normally, forfeit losses for absenteeism will occur from the bottom board upwards. If both teams have player deficiencies at the end of the grace period, double forfeits will be recorded.

Alternately, at the option of the Team Captain, at the end of the grace period the clock of the player with the white pieces may be started at boards with one or more absent players. If any such absent player fails to arrive and make a move within one hour on his clock, his game will be forfeited. If both players are absent after one hour has

A team's privilege of starting games in the absence of a player (by starting his clock) will be withdrawn for the remainder of the season if two occurrences of such forfeits are reported. In this case, at the end of the grace period and if requested by the opposing team captain, the remaining players will shift board assignments upward, with forfeit losses recorded for the bottom board(s), and play will then begin. Players on board positions above the absentee's may begin play prior to the end of the grace period, if they so desire. It will be the Team Captains' responsibility to note starting time forfeits on the match results sheet so that the Division Chairman can determine and publicize when this privilege has been abused and withdrawn.

A player who discontinues his game with no game conclusion and no provision for adjournment or adjudication will lose his game by forfeit.

D. Team Captains' Responsibilities at Matches

1. Player Ranking

Team Captains will be responsible for making an honest effort to rank their players according to their current relative playing strength for each match. Each Captain will provide the other with a written lineup for each match, prepared in advance. The home team's written lineup shall be prepared on the match results sheet.

2. Refereeing

In general, the Captains should act as referees through which complaints or questions should be cleared. They are to jointly resolve disputes in accordance with the CICL rules. If the two captains cannot agree on the facts of a dispute or on the correct interpretation of the rules, the host Captain's opinion will be given precedence at the time, but the visiting Captain may bring the matter to the Division Chairman for resolution within one week of the date of the match. The visiting captain should notify his counterpart that the game is being played under protest, and so indicate on the match results sheet. If a dispute concerns a game position, the position and all other relevant facts should be recorded.

4. Attenlance

Captains are expected to make every effort to maximize participation in team events by encouraging club members to play "extra board" games. Although these games will not affect the team score, they should help maintain interest of less proficient players and help them to achieve numerical ratings. The Team Captains should select a match date that will optimize participation.

4. Publicity

Captains are expected to encourage submission of interesting games or news of their team members to the Chairman for publication.

5. Player Conduct

The Team Captains are expected to encourage good sportsmanship and proper chess etiquette among their team members. The are responsible for their team members observing the CICL rules and the Laws of Chess.

6. Host Team Captain's Role

The host Team Captain will be responsible for providing a suitable place to play chess, furnishing chess equipment (standard size Staunton pattern chessmen are preferred), score sheets, match results sheets, and chess clocks, if available. He must also have available for consultation an up-to-date copy of the CICL rules. He is responsible for submitting a legible results sheet signed by both team captains or their representatives to the Division Chairman within one week of the match date. He must also provide a copy of the results sheet to the visiting team captain.

7. Alternate Team Captain.

Whenever a Team Captain is absent or departs early from a match he should designate a qualified alternate to act in his place. Both teams, home and visiting, should be informed of this delegation of responsibility.

8. Match Strategy

A team member may consult his Team Captain at any time concerning the advisability of offering or accepting a draw, or of adjourning the game. The Team Captain in his response may observe the position on the board but may not discuss the position or the strategy of the game with the player. The Captain may at any time remind a player of his right to adjourn, and may inform the player of the current match status.

E. Trophies

The League will award suitable trophies to at least the first and second place teams of each division.

F. Scoring

1. Game Scoring

If more than one game is played between opposing players, the first game will count in determining the match score. A point will be awarded for each win and a half point for draws. Losses will be assigned a zero score.

2. Match Scoring

The team compiling the largest game point score, including forfeits, will receive one match point, regardless of the game points won. In the event of equal game point scores, each team will receive one-half match point.

Team standings will be determined by team match points.

Teams not completing their schedule will retain the match points they have earned but all remaining matches will be treated as team forfeitures.

3.. Tie-Breaking

In case of team ties in the final standings involving trophies, all such tied teams will compete in play-off matches, the method of play-off to be decided by the Division Chairman.

4. Play-Offs

In the case of sectional competition, the Division Chairman will determine and publish (early in the season) the play-off method of determining trophywinning teams.

G. Colors

The team designated in the playing schedules as the visiting team will play the white pieces on odd numbered boards.

H. Rules

The Laws of Chess of the World Chess Federation (FIDE), which are also the official rules of the U.S. Chess Federation, will govern all matches, with the exceptions noted below:

(a) FIDE Interpretations of Article 6.1 are deleted, and are replaced by the following:

In castling, a player must move his King first. If instead the Rook is moved (or even touched) first, the player <u>must</u> make a Rook move and may not castle on that move.

(b) Article 13, concerning the recording of games is to be supplemented by the following:

Wins by time forfeit and draws by repetition of moves or as provided by Article 12.4 can only be claimed by providing a valid score sheet.

- (c) Article 15, concerning the adjournment of games, is superceded by Section II.J. of the present rules.
- (d) FIDE Interpretations of Article 16.1 are deleted.
- (e) Article 21, concerning the interpretation of the laws and standards for chess equipment, is deleted.

In the interpretation of the Laws of Chess, the two Team Captains jointly, as described in Section II.D.2, will ex-

I. Clock Games

1. Standard Clock Games.

Players, or their respective team captains, who wish to play clock-controlled games must so state before the match begins. The opponent is then obliged to play using a clock, provided that one is available. The opponents may mutually agree on any rate of play, not slower than 45 moves in the first two hours and 24 moves per hour thereafter. Unless they agree on a faster pace these time limits will prevail. The player who fails to make the allotted number of moves within the time limit loses immediately providing his opponent claims the time forfeiture and can submit a complete record of the game.

2. Partial Clock Games.

If, during the first hour of play of a non-clock-controlled game it becomes apparent to a player that his opponent is playing at an excessively slow rate, he can require that a clock (if available) be brought to the board and a time control of at least 24 moves per hour instituted for the remainder of the game.

J. Adjournments

Arrangements for adjournments may be by mutual agreement of individual opponents. After 3½ hours of play, however, either player may demand an adjournment without prior arrangement, except in clock games when an adjournment cannot occur until all the moves required by the first time control have been completed. The player wishing to adjourn must, when it is his turn to move, write his move in unambiguous notation on his score sheet, put this score sheet and that of his opponent in an envelope, seal the envelope, and then stop the clocks. If the player makes the said move on the chess board, he must seal this same move on his score sheet. The player must also:

1. On the outside of the sealed move envelope record the position immediately preceding the sealed move and the time used by each player.

- 2. Sign and obtain the signature of his opponent on the sealed move envelope.
- 3. Give the scaled move envelope to the opponent's team captain or his representative, who will not open the envelope or reveal the move until the game is resumed.

Unless both players agree to a result (win, loss, or draw), an adjourned game must be resumed, and the game completed, within ten days of the date of the team match. For the resumption of an adjourned game, the player who requested the adjournment and made the sealed move has the obligation to travel to his opponent's home playing location. Any other location is satisfactory if mutually agreeable. Refusal to resume an adjourned game will result in a forfeit loss. Subsequent adjournments of a resumed game may be made only after 3½ hours of play, unless mutually agreed to. Upon resumption of non-clock games, either player may require further play to be under clock control, with a time limit no slower than 24 moves per hour.

If unusual circumstances, such as extended illness, prevent the game from being resumed within ten days, the Division Chairman may, at his discretion, order an adjudication of the adjourned position by a chess expert. Any fee for this service will be shared equally by the teams of the two opponents.