


Chicago Industrial Chess League



Bulletin 4 Volume 30
January 1987

Notes from the President's Desk:

1. The 1986 CICL All-Star Finals were held between the Far West and the North Divisions. The match was "won" by the North Division, although the final score was 5-5. It was agreed beforehand that in the case of a tie score, board 10 would be dropped from the result in order to determine a winner. Results are published in this issue. The All-Star Matches played were both very close! An event to look forward to again next year...
2. The U.S. AMATEUR TEAM CHAMPIONSHIP-MIDWEST -- Feb. 14-16, 1987. This is your last chance to join a CICL team for the event! The cost for a four-man team is \$60.00, if entry is mailed by February 6. The CICL will give each team entered a \$20.00 subsidy, making the cost only \$10.00 per individual player. Call me (W: 576-4522) to let me know of your interest. See the detailed advertisement elsewhere in this issue of the bulletin. The tournament description can also be found in the Tournament Life section of the Chess Life magazine.
3. International Grandmaster Arthur Bisguier returns for another simultaneous exhibition! I have arranged with him to play at Amoco Laboratories on Monday March 9, at 7 PM. The cost to play (reduced from last year) is \$10 in advance (if mailed by Monday Feb. 23) or \$12 at the door. Invite your friends! This is a great opportunity for a rematch if you were not successful against him last year. Support this CICL event! Let's try to get one participant from each CICL team. Mail your advance reservation payment to Wes Underwood, Department of Chemistry, Wheaton College, Wheaton, IL 60187.

Bruce McNeil

The Illinois Chess Association invites you to the

U.S. AMATEUR TEAM CHAMPIONSHIP-MIDWEST

FEB. 14-16, 1987

at Chicago's luxurious HYATT REGENCY O'HARE
just off the Kennedy Expressway at River Road
only 1 block from the CTA River Road station

Excellent Room Rates: \$55 Single, Double

\$60 Triple, Quad

Free Parking!

Eligible: any team of 4 (+ 1 alternate) with an
average team rating under 2200.
The 1986 Annual Rating List printed in the
Jan. 1987 issue of CHESS LIFE will be used.
The 4 highest ratings are counted.

PRIZES: Top Team wins trophy, 4 chess clocks, and
qualifies for the national telephone playoffs.
Last year the Midwest champs won the national title.

Trophy + 4 clocks to: top 3 teams
top team rated under 2000
top team rated under 1800
top team rated under 1600
top team rated under 1400
or unrated

Trophy + 4 clocks to: top team made up of
High School players

Other Team Prizes: top College team Best Team Name
top Industrial team
2nd team made up of H.S. players

Individual Prizes: Chess Clocks to top player on each board
and to any 6-0 scores

Time Control: 50 moves in 2 hours

Register: 9-11 AM Feb. 14 No Smoking

6 Rounds: Feb 14 at 12 noon and 6 PM
Feb 15 at 11 AM and 5 PM
Feb 16 at 9 AM and 3:30 PM

Entry Fee: \$60 per team if mailed by Feb 6, \$75 later.

Contact: Harold Winston, 1567 Ridge # 601, Evanston IL 60201
(312)-475-7022

Start planning now to put together a team for this great event!

NORTH DIVISION 01/04/86

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME POINTS	MATCH POINTS	PCT
EXEMPLARS	3	0	1	14.0	3.5	0.875
AT&T SKOKIE	2	0	2	17.0	3.0	0.750
EXCALIBURS	3	1	0	14.5	3.0	0.750
MOTOROLA	2	1	1	14.5	2.5	0.625
KEMPER INSURANCE	2	1	0	9.5	2.0	0.667
ZENITH-GLENVIEW	0	3	1	7.0	0.5	0.125
UOP PROCESS DIV.	0	2	1	4.5	0.5	0.167
FEL-PRO	0	4	0	6.0	0.0	0.000

EAST DIVISION 01/04/86

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME POINTS	MATCH POINTS	PCT
ALUMNI CENTRAL	3	0	0	15.0	3.0	1.000
SEARS	2	0	1	11.5	2.5	0.833
AMOCO CORP.	1	2	0	7.5	1.0	0.333
FIRST NAT'L BANK	1	1	0	5.0	1.0	0.500
CONTINENTAL BANK	0	2	1	6.0	0.5	0.167
CHI BD OF OPTIONS EXCH	0	2	0	3.0	0.0	0.000

NEAR WEST DIVISION 01/04/86

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME POINTS	MATCH POINTS	PCT
AT&T CHARGERS	4	0	0	18.5	4.0	1.000
ARGONNE KNIGHTS	4	0	0	18.5	4.0	1.000
ROCKWELL INT'L	3	2	0	15.0	3.0	0.600
BELL LABS DRAGONS	3	1	0	12.5	3.0	0.750
EXECUTIONERS	1	3	0	9.5	1.0	0.250
A & B RADIO	0	5	0	10.5	0.0	0.000
J. I. CASE	0	4	0	5.5	0.0	0.000

FAR WEST DIVISION 01/04/86

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME POINTS	MATCH POINTS	PCT
ARGONNE ROCKS	3	1	0	14.0	3.0	0.750
FERMILAB	3	0	0	12.0	3.0	1.000
AMOCO RESEARCH LABS	1	2	0	8.0	1.0	0.333
BELL LABS INDIANS	1	1	0	5.5	1.0	0.500
WHEATON COLLEGE	0	3	1	9.5	0.5	0.125
AT&T TYROS	0	1	1	5.0	0.5	0.250

NORTH DIVISION TOP TEN

STEVANOVIC, M	UOP	2141
WONG, P	EXMPL	2108
CREWSE, L	EXMPL	2102
MUNEIL, B	MTRLA	2024
TEGEL, F	ATTSK	1994C
LEONG, G	EXCAL	1969
BUERGER, E	EXCAL	1958C
SIWEK, M	KEMPR	1889
JACOBS, N	ATTSK	1888C
KRAKAU, H	EXMPL	1883

EAST DIVISION TOP TEN

CZERNIECKI, A	ALUMN	2244C
REYES, R	SEARS	2215C
HUTTAR, C	FNBK	2045
WEIHMILLER, W	AMCRP	2024
LATIMER, E	SEARS	1959C
WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUMN	1937
COX, M	ALUMN	1935
SALKAUSKAS, A	FNBK	1882
SILVERBERG, A	FNBK	1872
GOLLA, R	SEARS	1855C

NEAR WEST DIVISION TOP TEN

BENEDEK, R	KNGHT	2059C
WARREN, J	CHRGR	1987D
MUSGRAVE, C	EXECU	1897
YOUNG, C	KNGHT	1867C
STINSON, M	DRGNS	1820
SAMELSON, C	ROCKW	1776
SEDLOCK, E	EXECU	1759
SWANSON, V	EXECU	1714
KUMRO, D	CHRGR	1709D
DECMAN, S	KNGHT	1677C

FAR WEST DIVISION TOP TEN


STREETS, D	ROOKS	2081C
LUDWIG, T	INDNS	2038
NAGLE, M	AMOCO	1899
BERRY, G	ROOKS	1881D
VAN DER BIJ, J	FERMI	1831*
STOLTZ, B	TYROS	1827
KALIHER, C	FERMI	1806
JORGENSEN, B	ROOKS	1783*
UNDERWOOD, W	WHEAT	1778
GAINES, I	FERMI	1750C

MOST IMPROVED PLAYERS

LITVINAS, A	ALUMN	63
SAMELSON, C	ROCKW	62
EDWARDS, S	EXMPL	61
BLACKMON, E	INDNS	61
FRANEK, M	ALUMN	57
JORGENSEN, B	ROOKS	51
DIXON, R	CBOPE	49
WAGNER, RA	MTRLA	47
KARLOVICS, S	EXCAL	44
GARESCH, F	EXECU	40

Problem #1 (solutions elsewhere in this issue)

This position is from a correspondence game -- R. Wiczynski vs. W. Underwood, USCF 86VB7, 1986. It is clear that black has the upper hand, but efficiency is highly desirable in a postal game! What is the best move for white?




In the first round of the 1986 CIGL All-Star Match, the North Division narrowly beat the East Division 5½ - 4½. Meanwhile, the Near West Division forfeited to the Far West Division. In the finals, the North Division beat the Far West Division on tie-break, the score being 5 - 5. The finals will be rated for the next bulletin issue.

12-NOV-86 EAST ALL-STARS				4.5 NORTH ALL-STARS				5.5			
ROUND 1 BD				RATINGS SCORE				RATINGS SCORE			
(ALUMN)	1	CZERNIECKI, A	2223 18	1	STEVANOVIC, M	2146-18	0 (UOP)				
(ALUMN)	2	COX, M	1924 11	.5	WONG, P	2092-11	.5 (EXMPL)				
(FNBNK)	3	SALKAUSKAS, A	1893-11	0	CREWSE, L	2081 11	1 (EXMPL)				
(CONBK)	4	SOMBONG, M	1812 -8	0	MCNEIL, B	2071 8	1 (MTRLA)				
(ALUMN)	5	FRANEK, M	1730 37	1	BUERGER, E	2003-25	0 (EXCAL)				
(CONBK)	6	KOGAN, G	1689 13	.5	BLOOM, B	1913-13	.5 (MTRLA)				
(ALUMN)	7	LITVINAS, A	1620 36	1	SULLIVAN, J	1858-24	0 (EXCAL)				
(CONBK)	8	PARAGAN, E	1528-11	0	NEUMARK, J	1734 7	1 (MTRLA)				
(CONBK)	9	ZOELLNER, J	1126-20	0	SKULSKI, I	1624 2	1 (ZENTH)				
(OBOPE)	10	MITCHELL, T	0 0	.5	WEITZ, R	1616 0	.5 (FLPRO)				
(CONBK)	11	SIEGEL, R	1463 8	.5	BURIAN, D	1580 -8	.5 (EXMPL)				

10-DEC-86 NORTH ALL-STARS				5 FAR WEST ALL-STARS				5			
ROUND 2 BD				RATINGS SCORE				RATINGS SCORE			
(UOP)	1	STEVANOVIC, M	1	1	LUDWIG, T	0 (INDNS)					
(EXMPL)	2	WONG, P	.5	.5	NAGLE, M	.5 (AMOCO)					
(EXMPL)	3	CREWSE, L	1	1	WEBSTER, D	0 (INDNS)					
(EXCAL)	4	BUERGER, E	.5	.5	VAN DER BIJ, J	.5 (FERMI)					
(MTRLA)	5	BLOOM, B	1	1	KALIHHER, C	0 (FERMI)					
(KEMPR)	6	SIWEK, M	1	1	UNDERWOOD, W	0 (WHEAT)					
(EXCAL)	7	SULLIVAN, J	0	0	JORGENSEN, B	1 (ROOKS)					
(MTRLA)	8	GONCHAROFF, N	0	0	GAINES, I	1 (FERMI)					
(ZENTH)	9	SKULSKI, I	0	0	SPIEGEL, L	1 (FERMI)					
(FLPRO)	10	WEITZ, R	0	0	WALKER, P	1 (WHEAT)					

Problem #2

This position is from W. Underwood vs. D. Anderson, CIGL 1986. Black to move. Does black have a win in this position, or is it a draw? What's the best move?





186 ROUTE 9W • NEW WINDSOR, NY 12550 • (914) 562-8350

Grandmaster Arthur Bisguier

International Grandmaster Arthur Bisguier has had a long and distinguished chess career dating back to the forties when he was twice National Junior Champion and several times Manhattan Chess Club Champion. Since that time the roster of players he has beaten or drawn reads like a Who's Who of Chess and includes virtually every leading grandmaster in the world.



Five-time U.S. Open Champion

In 1950 Bisguier won the U.S. Open for the first time and also won or tied for first in 1956, 57, 59, and 69, finishing only half a point from the top in many other years. He scored his first international victory at Southsea, England, in 1950. Even while in military service, he squeezed in some chess, scoring first in Vienna in 1952 and playing for the United States team in the Helsinki Olympiad of 1952.

Returning home from the Army, he won the Hollywood Pan American Championship in 1954. Later that year, he won the Challengers' Tournament in Philadelphia and the U.S. Championship. He has played in most of the succeeding U.S. Championships, and in the 1962-63 event, he led the field until the final round when he characteristically succumbed to Bobby Fischer and had to content himself with second place.

Bisguier was awarded the International Master title in 1952 and the International Grandmaster title, the highest of all, in 1957, when this title was rare indeed. He has participated in two Interzonals, five Olympiads, innumerable international tournaments, two team matches against the Soviet Union, and one against Yugoslavia. He has also been very successful in domestic tournaments, at one time or another winning outright or tying for first in every major Swiss-system event, including the prestigious Lone Pine Tournament, the National Open, and the World Open. In 1979, he won the first American Grand Prix, having accumulated the best results in the year-long series of major open tournaments.

Mr. Bisguier is Grandmaster-on-Staff for the U.S. Chess Federation and Technical Advisor to the monthly magazine *Chess Life*. In addition, he continues as a popular and active chess lecturer and simultaneous exhibitor, playing as many as 100 opponents at a time. He has also been a frequent contributor to chess magazines, encyclopedias, and books, as well as having co-authored, with Andrew Soltis, *American Chess Masters From Morphy to Fischer*. He has appeared often on television and hosted his own show during the Fischer-Spassky World Championship Match.

His other activities have included work in accounting, computer programming, technical writing, and magazine editing. He was married in 1959, has two daughters, and resides with his family in the Catskill Mountains at Rock Hill, New York.



This game represents a rating point upset of nearly 600 points!

White: L. Sagalously (FERMI - 1952)

Black: E. Blackmon (INDNS - 1385)

- 1. e4 e6
- 2. d4 d5
- 3. Nc3 Bb4
- 4. e5 Ne7
- 5. a3 Bxc3
- 6. bxc3 Nd7? (1)
- 7. Qg4 Ng6? (2)
- 8. Bg5! f6
- 9. Qxe6+ Ne7
- 10. exf6 Nxf6
- 11. Qe5 O-O
- 12. Bxf6 Rxf6
- 13. Be2 c6
- 14. Nf3 Qf8
- 15. O-O? Re6!
- 16. Qh5 g6 (3)
- 17. Qh4 Rxe2
- 18. Rge1 Nf5
- 19. Qg5 Rxc2 (4)
- 20. Ne5 Qe7
- 21. Qf4 Qd6
- 22. g4 Ng7
- 23. Qf7+ Kh8
- 24. Qf3 Qxg3 (5)
- 25. Qf6 Be6

- 26. Nxc6+ hxc6
- 27. Rxe6 Rf8
- 28. Qh4+ Kg8
- 29. Rxc6 Re2
- 30. Rh6 Qe7 (6)
- 31. g5 (7) Re4!
- 32. f4 Re2



- 33. f5 (8) Qe3+
- 34. Kh1 Qe4+
- 35. Qxe4 dxe4 (9)
- 36. f6 Ne6
- 37. g6 e3!

- 38. f7+? (10) Kg7
- 39. Rh4 Rd2
- 40. Rg1 e2
- 41. Rh7+ Kf6
- 42. g7 Nxc6
- 43. Rxc6 Rd1!
- 44. Rg6+ Kxf7
- 45. Rg7+ Ke8
- 46. Resigns

[0 - 1]


Notes:

- (1) Black should play ...c5 followed by ...Nc6, to put pressure on d4.
- (2) Perhaps Black must play 7. ... c5 and allow 8 Qxc6 Rg8 9. Qxc7, although without a Knight on c6, there isn't enough counterplay. 7. ... O-O looks dangerous, but is possibly best.
- (3) Not 16. ... Rxe2 17. Ng5!
- (4) Trading Rooks gives White tremendous play and the e-file, but this move puts the Rook out of play and in danger of being trapped.
- (5) Now even the Queen is dangerously out of play.
- (6) Black's resources are just enough! Although this position looks very strong for White, no attack seems to work without too much trade of material.
- (7) If 31. Rh8+ Kf7, either the White Queen or White Rook will be traded.
- (8) Allows a Queen trade, but how else does White proceed?
- (9) A very important passed pawn!
- (10) Gives the Black King a safe haven. Instead, 38. g7! Nxc6! 39. Rg1 Rf7 40. Rxc6 Rf2 41. fxc6 [41. Rxc6+ Rxc6 42. Rxc6+ (42. fxc6 e2! -+) Kf8 43. Kg1 (43. Re7 e2 followed by 44. ... Rf1+ wins for Black) Rxf6 44. Rxb7 e2 45. Rb1 Rf3 is unclear] Rf1 = .

(Submission by Eric Blackmon. Notes by Wes Underwood.)

The following position occurred after: 1.N-KB3 P-Q4 2.P-KN3 P-KN3
 3.B-N2 B-N2 4.O-O N-KB3 5.P-B4 P-B3 6.PxP PxP 7.P-Q3 O-O 8.N-B3 N-B3
 9.B-B4 P-QR3 10.Q-Q2 P-QN4 11.B-R6 B-N2 12.BxB KxB 13.P-QR3 Q-Q3
 14.QR-B1 P-Q5 15.N-K4 NxN 16.PxN P-K4 17. P-QN4 P-R3 18. R-B5 Q-K3
 19.KR-B1 P-KB4 (see diagram)

The position is from a Board 1 game in an East division match. There are 3 pieces on the diagonal h1-a8 between the two bishops. And the interesting thing about this position is that even with these 3 units between the two bishops, White appears to have the ability to profit from it and come out with a material advantage.



The game continued: 20.PxP QxP 21.RxN BxR 22.RxB KR-B1 23.RxR? RxR
 24.P-KR4 R-B6 25.Q-N2 Q-B7!! 26.QxQ RxQ 27.NxP RxP 28.P-B4 R-R7
 29.B-K4 RxP 30.BxB RxPch 31.K-B2 RxB 32.NxR KxN 33.K-B5 K-B4
 34. White Resigns [0 - 1].

From the diagrammed position, instead of 20.PxP, what appears to give White a winning game is the move 20.NxKP! The only logical reply seems to me 20...NxN. And after 21.PxP (Not 21.QxP QR-K1 22.PxP because of 22...Q-B3!! which threatens to win White's Queen with 23...N-B6ch and wins) Black doesn't seem to have anything better than 21...QxP. But then after 22.QxP BxB 23.RxN Q-B3 (forced! or otherwise Black is mated in two after 23...Q-R6??? 24.R-K7ch K-N1 25.Q-N7 mate) White recovers the Bishop with 24.KxB and comes out two pawns ahead. It appears to me that the only thing Black could do after 20.NxKP NxN 21.PxP is to try to find a way to minimize his loss to only one pawn instead of two (such as 20.NxP NxN 21.PxP Q-Q3).

My analysis may not hold water. But it seems to me that it does offer pretty interesting ideas.

(Submission and analysis by Ruben R. Reyes)

Note from the Editor:

Thank you for the submissions! Keep them coming...
 Now that I have obtained materials for producing chess positions by diagram, particular positions and problems can be submitted without entire game scores. Materials with or without analysis are welcome.

"WHEN EAST MET NORTH"

Back on November 12, 1986 one of two scheduled all-star matches took place between the east division and the north division. The match site was Continental Bank. Despite the downtown location, a number of strong east division players were unable to participate. Therefore, even though ideally an all-start team should consist of a minimum of one representative from each team in the division, the first ten boards from the east had no players from Sears or Standard Oil. Vacant boards were filled from would-be extra players and spectators, resulting in a line-up that consisted of four alumni players, four players from Continental Bank, and one player each from First National Bank and the Chicago Board of Options Exchange.

The east division was outrated on every board except first, where Tony Czerniecki defeated Miomir Stevanovic. Not expecting to play, I, with my humble 1714 rating, was drafted to play board five. All of the points and half-points scored by eastern division players, with the exception of board one, were upsets. Here is the game that I think represented the biggest upset of the match.

11/12/86 Board Five

E. Buetger (North/Excaliburs) M. Fronck (East/Alumni Central)

- | | | |
|----|-----|-----|
| 1. | d4 | Nf6 |
| 2. | c4 | g6 |
| 3. | nc3 | kg7 |
| 4. | e4 | d6 |
| 5. | f3 | |

The Saemisch Attack, very popular lately against the King's Indian defense.

- | | |
|----|-----|
| 5. | 0-0 |
| 6. | Be3 |
| | c6 |

In my somewhat sparse reference library, I cannot find any games or analysis where Black follows up castling with the ...c6, ...a6, ...b5 system. So, I guess according to my limited resources, we are out of the 'book', even though the next two moves for both sides are characteristic of the Saemisch.

- | | | |
|----|-----|-----|
| 7. | Qx2 | Ng6 |
| 8. | Ng2 | h5 |
| 9. | Kf1 | Qc7 |

I did not fear Kc1 from White because I was of the opinion that after White's bold ninth move together with the fact that the King's bishop is still on f1, that White would castle queenside. About castling queenside, I soon learned otherwise.

- | | | |
|-----|-----|------|
| 10. | Ng3 | Nbd7 |
| 11. | h4 | e5 |
| 12. | d5 | bc7 |
| 13. | dc | Nb6 |

I spent a lot of time on this move, debating whether or not to recapture right away on c6. The idea behind my 13th move was to try to get in ...d6 and ...d5 and liquidate my backward d pawn. Of course, White gets into trouble after 14. Bxb6 Qxb6 15. Qxd6 Qe3ch However ---

- | | | |
|-----|-----|-------|
| 14. | Mf5 | Nbx65 |
| 15. | ed | a5? |

A waste of time. The c pawn is dead anyway. Necessary is 15 ...e5 to try to either open up the e file (if 16. Nxe4) or activate the Queen's bishop (if 16. fe). White is winning here but Black could take some shots at White's uncastled King if he can get in ...e5.


- | | |
|-----|-----|
| 16. | h5! |
|-----|-----|

On with the Kingside attack. It would have been more prudent to take the free c pawn, since it has a vital role in Black's upcoming desperate attempt for some counterplay.

- | | |
|-----|----|
| 16. | e5 |
|-----|----|

Black uses his second chance to play this move. White can still pick up the c pawn with impunity.

- | | |
|-----|----|
| 17. | g5 |
|-----|----|



a b c d e f g h

c31

I awarded my own move here with an exclamation mark because I think Black is no longer lost at this point. If 18 cb then 18 ... Nxd5.

18. Qh2
19. Rb1

cb

19. Nf1 is probably best. For example 19. Nf1 Nxd5 20. Nc3 Nc3ch 21. Nd2 Rxc3ch 22. Kxb f8 23. Rc4 Rc6.

19. Nf1
20. Nc3
21. Kf2
22. Nxe4
23. Nxb7?

Nxd5
Rc3ch
fg
NxB

Oh well. It happens to the best of us.

23.
24. Nc2
25. Nf5

Rg4ch
NcQ
Qf8

Caution in Nf11 required for Black.

26. Nf6ch
27. Bc6
28. RbN

And White resigned without trying 29. Bc6ch to see if Black would play 29. ...Kf8? 30. R/1h1 b1 = Q?? 31. Rch7 mate.

All's well that ends well, I guess. Unfortunately, it did not help my team win or draw the match.

Final score: North 5.5 East 4.5.

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
AFSHAKI, A	DRINS	0	1	0	0000?	DECHAN, S	KNIGHT	2	1	0	1677C
AGRAWA, I	ZENITH	0	1	0	1581	DEWILL, G	RUCKW	2	0	0	1433
ANDREWS, N	EXCAL	2	1	1	1734C	DIXON, R	CBROPE	2	0	0	1572
ANDRESEN, I	EXCAL	1	1	0	1835C	DUBK, K	CBROPE	3	1	0	1567D
ANDLIN, B	SEARNS	2	1	0	1530*	DOUGHTY, J	WHEAT	0	2	0	0000?
ANTHONY, M	FENIK	1	1	0	0000?	DURKES, D	SEARNS	1	1	0	1322*
ASHKUDK, K	FLPRU	1	1	0	853*	DURKEE, D	ATISK	4	0	0	1725
ATKINSON, J	AMPCP	0	1	2	1540*	EASLEY, K	INDNS	1	0	0	1805*
BARKER, B	FLPRU	0	5	0	1000	EDWARDS, S	EXPLF	3	1	0	1274
BARTON, J	WHEAT	0	1	0	1405#	EUSFACE, D	DRINS	0	1	0	0000?
BARKISH, A	ZENITH	1	0	1	1762	FARKENHOLZ, S	MTRLA	3	0	0	0000?
BARKOC, D	INDNS	4	0	0	1650	FARKUS, J	CHROK	1	0	0	1585C
BELLE, S	INDNS	1	1	0	0000?	FARKELL, D	WHEAT	1	0	0	1232*
BENLIDEL, K	KNIGHT	2	0	0	2052C	FELDMAN, A	FLPRU	0	2	0	1429
BENNY, G	KOOKS	2	0	2	1881D	FISCHLER, M	FENIK	1	0	0	1375
BEURLING, B	INDNS	0	3	0	0000?	FISCHLY, D	EXPLF	1	1	0	1594*
BLACKMON, E	INDNS	3	0	0	1385*	FRANEK, M	ALUMN	4	0	0	1771
BLAZIE, J	TYRUS	0	1	0	1538	GAINES, I	FENIK	2	1	0	1760C
BLEDIUM, P	KEMPR	2	1	0	1395	GAFASIN, C	CORBK	0	2	0	0000?
BLOUM, B	MTRLA	1	3	1	1873	GAKESCHE, P	EXCAL	2	1	0	1495*
BLOUMFELD, K	ATISK	0	1	0	0000?	GARLAND, G	KEMPR	0	2	1	1584
BLOUMFELD, K	AMPCP	0	2	0	1459	GRANES, L	ATISK	1	0	0	1405
BRODT, D	DRINS	1	3	0	1137#	GRULAP, S	ZENITH	0	1	0	0000?
BROWDIN, M	UOF	0	3	0	1537	GULLATI, M	AMPCP	0	2	0	0000?
BROWDIN, J	EXCAL	2	0	0	1572C	GULLA, K	AMPCP	3	0	0	1834C
BROZOVICH, J	TYRUS	1	0	0	1714C	GUNCHAROFF, N	MTRLA	2	0	1	1714D
BULHRANAN, K	FENIK	0	1	0	1493	GUY, R	CORBK	0	1	0	0000?
BURKBER, E	EXCAL	0	3	2	1958C	GUYPETTE, R	CBROPE	0	1	0	0000?
BURKHA, K	KNIGHT	1	0	0	1378C	GRAFF, K	UOF	1	2	0	1252*
BURKIAN, D	EXPLF	2	1	2	1564	GREEN, D	KOOKS	2	1	0	1612C
BURS, K	ALUMN	2	0	0	1565C	GRYPARIS, J	MTRLA	1	2	0	1294*
CAMPBELL, C	AMPCP	0	3	0	1197*	HANNE, D	DRINS	2	2	0	1312*
CARPENTER, P	ATISK	2	2	0	1432	HALL, M	WHEAT	1	1	0	1656*
CARTER, R	EXCAL	1	1	0	0000?	HALLMAN, W	JCASE	2	0	0	1131*
CHAM, H	FENIK	1	2	0	1609	HANLEY, M	JCASE	0	1	0	0000?
CHANKOVSKY, K	ALUMN	1	0	0	0000?	HARRINGER, R	TYRUS	0	1	0	1606C
CHANKOVSKY, K	SEARNS	0	1	2	1403#	HARTISUN, I	WHEAT	3	2	0	1200*
CHANKOVSKY, K	UOF	1	2	0	1422	HEALY, S	EXCAL	2	1	1	1272*
CHIKISTIAN, S	ATISK	3	0	0	1772	HEILKER, C	RUCKW	0	1	0	0000?
CLARA, F	SEARNS	1	0	0	1403	HESSE, B	FLPRU	1	1	0	1572*
CULLINS, F	MTRLA	0	1	0	0000?	HODGES, H	FENIK	1	1	0	1515*
CUNWAY, F	ZENITH	0	1	0	1332	HOLM, B	AMPCP	2	2	0	1563
CUNWAY, F	ALUMN	1	1	1	1935	HUMPH, R	KEMPR	2	1	0	1583
CUNWAY, F	EXPLF	3	0	0	2102	HUGHES, N	RADJO	2	2	0	0000?
CUNWAY, F	FLPRU	0	2	0	1236*	HUITAK, C	FENIK	0	1	0	2045
CUNWAY, F	KEMPR	1	1	0	1253	ILANGA, R	FLPRU	1	0	0	0000?
CUNWAY, F	ALUMN	3	0	0	2244C	JACUUS, N	ATISK	4	0	0	1886C
CUNWAY, F	KNIGHT	1	1	0	0000?	JOHNSUN, M	MTRLA	0	2	0	1024#
CUNWAY, F	KNIGHT	1	0	0	0000?	JONES, B	RUCKW	2	0	0	0000?
CUNWAY, F	RUCKW	1	0	0	1105*	JONES, J	CBROPE	0	1	0	0000?

? - UNRATED

- 5 TO 10 Y NATED GAMES

* - 10 TO 24 NATED GAMES

C - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER

D - DOUBLE CENTURION

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
JURGENSEN, D	ROCKS	4	0	0	1783*	U' DELL, DW	RADIO	1	3	1	1427	STINELIS, D	ROCKS	2	0	1	2081C
JUSTIFISSON, D	WHEAT	2	0	0	0000?	UGASAWANA, K	MIRLA	0	1	0	1564D	STURILBERG, D	UJF	0	3	0	1402
FALHNER, C	FERRI	1	1	1	1806	USTENLUND, K	EXCAL	2	1	0	1365*	SUREKIH, F	EXCAL	2	1	0	1365*
KARAS, W	JCASE	1	2	0	1270	PARAME, N	AMOLU	0	1	0	1570*	SHULLIVAN, J	EXCAL	2	2	0	1570*
FARLUMTUSA, S	EXCAL	3	0	0	1862C	PARAUAN, E	CUNBK	2	2	0	0000?	SHWANSUN, V	EXCAL	1	3	0	1714
FELL, C	WHEAT	1	0	0	0000?	PARKER, P	DRGNS	0	1	0	0000?	SWIDENSKI, M	CHGRK	1	0	1	1360C
FELLDIG, K	KNIGHT	2	1	1	1647C	PARKER, M	ROCKW	0	2	0	0000?	TASKER, I	ROCKS	0	1	1	0000?
KELLY, S	DRGNS	1	1	0	1333*	PODGURNIK, P	ROCKW	0	1	0	0000?	TAYLOR, J	FLYBU	0	1	0	0000?
FINSHELLA, G	KNIGHT	1	1	0	1450C	POKATILOFF, W	FLYBU	0	1	0	1326	WELLS, J	FLYBU	0	1	3	1994C
KLINEFELTER, H	JCASE	1	2	0	1399*	PUPYS, W	ROCKW	0	1	1	1509	WELLSNER, I	FLYBU	0	1	3	1994C
KUBAN, B	CUNBK	0	2	2	1606#	PURKISER, K	ROCKS	0	0	1	0000?	WELTZ, K	FLYBU	0	1	3	1653
KUMARUSKI, K	WHEAT	2	0	0	0000?	RADAVICUS, E	CHGRK	3	0	1	1585C	WENTLING, C	FLYBU	0	2	0	1250*
KRAVARD, H	EXCAL	1	0	0	1883	RAFALCZ, J	ROCKW	3	2	0	1498*	WILSON, A	FLYBU	0	2	0	1500*
KRAUSE, J	FERRI	1	0	0	0000?	RAFMANIAN, S	ROCKW	0	2	0	0000?	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
KROECKER, K	WHEAT	0	3	0	0000?	REDEY, E	ROCKS	0	2	0	1038*	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
KULINEK, M	FERRI	0	0	0	0000?	REID, C	JCASE	0	2	0	1038*	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
KUMILUCH, M	CUOPE	0	2	0	0000?	REYES, R	JCASE	0	2	0	2215C	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
KUMIN, D	CHGRK	3	0	1	1709D	RIBUT, A	SEARS	0	0	1	0000?	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
LAHAM, N	AMPKP	1	1	0	0000?	RINGENBERG, I	CUOPE	0	1	0	0000?	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
LANDRY, H	CUOPE	0	1	0	0000?	ROSTING, G	AMOLU	2	0	1	1375#	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
LANNIYE, D	SEARS	0	1	0	1363*	ROUSLEY, D	CUOPE	1	1	0	1405#	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
LASKY, J	RADIO	2	2	0	1221*	RUSLEY, D	DRGNS	3	0	0	1649	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
LASKY, N	RADIO	0	3	1	1429	RZESZUTKO, N	ALUPIN	2	0	0	1828	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
LATIMER, E	SEARS	0	0	2	1959C	SABADUS, P	AMOLU	1	1	0	1005*	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
LEUNG, G	EXCAL	1	1	0	1487C	SALA, S	FERRI	0	1	0	0000?	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
LITVINIS, A	ALUPIN	4	0	1	2038	SALKRAUSKAS, A	FERRI	0	1	0	1776	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
LUDWIG, I	INDNS	0	1	1	1170*	SAMUELSON, C	FERRI	0	1	0	1576	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MANKSLEK, K	ATTIK	1	1	1	1866	SAPITRO, J	FERRI	0	1	0	1882	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MANKSLEK, N	ATTIK	1	1	1	1170*	SATLELEE, D	FERRI	0	1	0	1413*	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MARTINSUNS, B	MIRLA	3	1	0	1853	SCHUONVELD, S	JCASE	0	3	1	1413*	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MCCLENDON, L	CUNBK	1	1	0	1367*	SCHULMAN, R	WHEAT	2	2	1	0000?	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MCCLOUDGALL, A	KEMPK	1	1	0	0000?	SEDLICK, E	ZENITH	1	1	2	1874C	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MCKENZIE, J	DRGNS	0	1	0	1289*	SHAFF, N	EXCAL	0	0	1	1759	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MCKINLEY, B	WHEAT	0	2	0	1274#	SHAFER, J	INDNS	2	1	0	1504*	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MCKUTCHIN, J	MIRLA	2	1	2	2024	SHAFER, J	TYRUS	0	2	0	1467C	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MCKUTCHIN, F	UJF	1	1	1	1450	SHAFER, J	CUNBK	1	2	1	1441	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MICHALECKY, B	RADIO	0	4	1	1413	SHAFER, J	FERRI	1	2	1	1872	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MICHELL, I	CUOPE	0	2	1	1276#	SKINNER, S	KEMPK	1	0	2	1889	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MIDWAY, L	CUNBK	2	0	0	0000?	SKULSKI, I	ZENITH	1	2	0	1126	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MORTON, M	EXCAL	1	1	1	1285	SMT H, BR	TYRUS	2	0	0	1513	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MULLER, K	FERRI	1	0	0	0000?	SOLYMANI, S	ROCKW	0	1	0	0000?	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MURRAY, C	FERRI	0	0	1	1170*	SUMHONG, M	CUNBK	0	2	1	1801	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MURRAY, C	FERRI	0	0	1	0000?	SUP-KYCH, T	CUNBK	0	2	1	1532	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MURRAY, C	FERRI	0	0	1	0000?	SPANGLER, M	AMPKP	1	1	1	1377	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MURRAY, C	FERRI	0	0	1	0000?	SPANGLER, M	AMOLU	1	0	0	1377	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MURRAY, C	FERRI	0	0	1	0000?	SPANGLER, M	FERRI	2	1	0	1735	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MURRAY, C	FERRI	0	0	1	0000?	SPANGLER, M	CHGRK	0	1	1	1595C	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MURRAY, C	FERRI	0	0	1	0000?	SPANGLER, M	UJF	1	2	1	2141	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MURRAY, C	FERRI	0	0	1	0000?	SPANGLER, M	DRGNS	2	1	0	1820	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MURRAY, C	FERRI	0	0	1	0000?	SPANGLER, M	TYRUS	1	0	1	1827	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MURRAY, C	FERRI	0	0	1	0000?	SPANGLER, M	WHEAT	1	3	0	1329*	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937
MURRAY, C	FERRI	0	0	1	0000?	SPANGLER, M	WHEAT	1	3	0	1329*	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUPIN	1	0	0	1937

U - UNRATED
 # - 5 TO 9 RATED GAMES
 * - 10 TO 24 RATED GAMES

U - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER
 D - DOUBLE CENTURION

U - UNRATED
 # - 5 TO 9 RATED GAMES
 * - 10 TO 24 RATED GAMES

U - UNRATED
 # - 5 TO 9 RATED GAMES
 * - 10 TO 24 RATED GAMES

U - UNRATED
 # - 5 TO 9 RATED GAMES
 * - 10 TO 24 RATED GAMES

111

04-DEC-86 PATRICIA A	4	ZENITH-GLENVIEW	2	03-DEC-86 A & B RADIO	2.5	BELL LABS DRAGONS	3.5
ROUND 4 BU	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE	ROUND 4 BU	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE
1 BRINE, B	2059-35	1851-23	1	1 MURKOLECKY, B	1423-10	1650-10	1
2 BRUNO, U	1706-33	1729-33	1	2 O'DELL, DM	1460-13	1621-9	1
3 BRACKENBUSH, B	1843-10	1622-10	0	3 LASKY, J	1191-30	1310-30	0
4 BRACKENBUSH, N	1714-0	1126-0	0F	4 LASKY, N	1429-0	0-0	1
5 BRUPP, J	1741-0	0-0	0	5 HUMPF, R	0-0	0-0	0
6 WAGNER, VA	1427-0	1275-0	0F	6 WEISNER, A	1191-2	1175-2	0
7 GRAYBLES, J	1294-0	0-0	0 (MIRLA)				

05-NOV-86 APRUD RESEARCH	2.5	ARGONNE KNOCKS	3.5	10-DEC-86 ARGONNE KNIGHTS	4.5	EXECUTIONERS	1.5
ROUND 3 BU	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE	ROUND 5 BU	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE
1 BRUCE, M	1912-13	2067-9	1	1 BENDER, R	2050-9	1911-14	0
2 BRUBIN, B	1570-17	1871-5	1	2 YUING, C	1872-5	1751-8	0.5
3 BRUBIN, J	1670-16	1765-16	1	3 DEGMAN, S	1658-19	1742-28	0
4 KIRKLAND, I	1375-0	1077-29	0.5	4 BRUNAL, D	1650-0	0-0	0
5 SHAWNEE, P	976-29	1077-29	1	5 KELLOGG, K	1637-10	1510-15	0
6 BLIVEILL, M	0-0	0-0	0F	6 DAVIDOFF, G	0-0	1285-0	1
7 BLIVEILL, M	0-0	1563-0	1 (AMOCO)	(KOOKS) 7 REDEY, E	1048-10	1262-10	1

11-NOV-86 ARGONNE KNOCKS	4	WHEATON COLLEGE	2	15-DEC-86 BELL LABS DRAGONS	4	J. I. CASE	2
ROUND 4 BU	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE	ROUND 5 BU	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE
1 STREETS, D	2076-5	1705-7	0	1 SILINSUN, N	1809-11	1612-11	0
2 BERRY, G	1876-5	1614-8	0	2 KUSLEY, D	1660-9	1422-9	0
3 ULMSIEK, G	0-0	1324-0	1	3 HAHNE, D	1200-32	1431-32	0
4 JURGENSEN, B	1781-2	1202-2	0	4 BRANDI, W	1153-16	1254-16	1
5 GREEN, D	1612-0	0-0	0	5 KELLY, S	1333-0	0-0	0
6 PROFSCH, K	0-0	1056-0	0F	6 MCHANE, C	1329-36	1095-36	1
(WHEAT) 7 KRUEGER, K	0-0	1056-0	1F				
(WHEAT) 8 KELLY, C	0-0	1329-0	0				
(WHEAT) 9 HARRISON, I	1200-0	0-0	0				

02-DEC-86 J. I. CASE	1	AT&T CHARGERS	5	17-DEC-86 ROCKWELL INT'L	4	A & B RADIO	2
ROUND 4 BU	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE	ROUND 5 BU	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE
1 SATTLELEE, D	1517-5	1984-3	1	1 SAMELSON, C	1776-0	1413-0	0F
2 SANDU, E	1430-8	1704-5	1	2 JONES, B	0-0	1447-20	0
3 KARRS, W	1261-7	1563-4	1	3 KAPACZ, T	1478-20	1429-0	0
4 TUGRANI, F	0-0	1585-0	1	4 PUFFS, W	1589-0	1189-9	0
5 KELD, C	0-0	1515-0	1	5 DEWITT, G	1424-7	1221-0	0
6 YALLMAN, W	1095-0	1360-0	0F	6 NAHMANIAN, S	0-0	0-0	1

02-DEC-86 EXECUTIONERS	2.5	ROCKWELL INT'L	3.5
ROUND 4 BU	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE	RATINGS SCORE
1 MURRAY, C	1745-34	1742-34	1
2 SWANSON, V	1742-0	0-0	1
3 GARESCHE, P	1479-31	1620-31	0
4 MURPHY, D	1273-12	1462-12	0.5
5 HEALY, S	1273-11	1467-11	1
6 HEARILL, W	0-0	1424-0	0F

Solution to problems:

- #1. Mate in 4: 1. ... Be3+!! 2. Nxe3 Qh2+ 3. Kf1 Rxf3+ 4. Bxf3 Qf2 mate.
- #2. Black must settle for a draw here: 1. ... Ka8 2. Rh8+ Ka7 3. Rh7+ Ka8 = (if 3. ... Bb7 4. Rd7 +-). Trying to avoid this with 1. ... Kc8 loses to 2. Rc7+! Kd8 (2. ... Kb8 3. Rxc6+ and 4. Rd6 +-) 3. Rxc6! d1=Q 4. Rd6+ Qxd6 5. Bxd6 +-.