

# Chicago Industrial Chess League



Bulletin 5 Volume 32  
February, 1989

## All-Star Activity:

An all-star match between the East and North divisions is tentatively scheduled for Tuesday, February 28, 1989 at the Oak Park Village Hall. (This was the site of two of last season's three all-star matches). We would like to have all of the top ten boards started by 7 p.m. if possible. Extra boards can be played, but only the top ten will count toward the match result.

As last year, the winner of the East/North confrontation will play the winner of the all-star match between the Near West and Far West divisions. Also, as last year, permission to use the Oak Park Village Hall for this function was obtained by village Finance Director, A. Dean Moore, former member of the Oak Park players and Executioners (Alumni) teams. Thanks once again, Dean.

## Spring Business Meeting

Yes, it is that time again, (well, almost). CICL president Bruce McNeil is planning to schedule the spring business meeting for Wednesday, April 12, 1989 at 7:30 p.m. The place will again be Motorola's complex in Schaumburg. (1301 E. Algonquin Rd, door 1 cafeteria). Anyone wishing to propose rule changes or bring up other items for discussion should announce them in the March or April bulletins.

The election of league officers ordinarily takes place at this meeting. This includes the Ratings chairman, bulletin editor, etc. I must state at this time that, for various personal reasons, I will not be able to continue to serve the league as bulletin editor beyond the end of the current season. Thus, I am hoping that someone will come forward at that time to fill this vacancy.

## Also in this issue:

Another candidate game for the "Best Upset Victory" prize. The premiere appearance of "The Two Squares Column" by Marvin Cox.

Bulletin Editor, Marty Franek  
8849 S. Moody Ave.  
Oak Lawn, IL 60453

A RESPONSE

The following question was raised concerning the legality of publishing positions and analysis discussed in Irving Chernev's Winning Chess Traps:

"Publication in the CICL bulletin of material lifted from a chess book invites a copyright infringement suit unless prior permission has been obtained."


After consultation with the CICL publicity director, Russ Rzeszutko, and his legal counsel, I have been advised that the CICL is not at any legal risk as a result of publishing such material. The CICL is a non-profit organization and the CICL bulletin is a not-for-profit publication. Therefore, should any author or publisher object to our "lifting" of their material, the worst possible result would be a 'cease and desist' letter. (Of course, should I receive such a letter, I would indeed cease and desist). However, our legal expert advises us that, especially since the CICL is a non-profit organization and providing free exposure for their publication, it is improbable that any legal action would be taken.

The question of possible copyright infringement was brought up by a league member who is not currently a league officer. If any of the league officers have a reaction to either his question or my response, I am very interested in hearing from you.

Marty Franek

P.S.: Yes, our 'legal expert' is an attorney.

Analysis of Suerth-Cox (played 5-18-87, published Oct. 1988) by Ruben Reyes:



After 25...Q-KB2!! in the game F. Suerth-Marvin Cox there seems no way for White to save himself. In the actual game White tried 26. R-KB1 and lost.

But after 25...Q-KB2!! White had a surprising and resourceful key move which gave him a very strong fighting chance.

The key move is 26. B-KB6!?

The question mark after the exclamation point is my way of saying that the move may not be fool-proof.


Here's some of the things that justify the "!" - I gave 26.B-KB6.

- 1> 26....BxKBP 27.R-K7 Q-N3 28.Q-K6ch K-R1 29.P-4-R5! is a killer.
- 2> 26...PxP 27..PxP Q-R4 28.Q-K6ch K-R1 29.Q-B5 ZxRP 30.R-KB1 and White is in good shape despite the seemingly exposed King. Black's Rook and Queen can't coordinate because the Rook is tied to the defense of the KBP (i.e. .30...R-KN1 31.QxPch and mate in three 31.Q-Q8ch 32.Q-Q4ch 33.R-B8 mate or four 31.Q-Q8ch 32.QxQ 33.Q-K5ch 34.R-B8 mate) while the Queen is unable to do perpetual check.
- 3> 26...PxP 27.PxP PxP 28.QxP QxP QxQ 29.PxQ PXP 30.R-K7 R-B2 31.RxR KxR 32.P-B4 and White has a big plus in the 2-pawn majority in the Q-side.

The 2 Squares Column

Marv Cox

"If two squares are attacked more times than they are defended they may fall."



Square c6 and g6 are under 3 attacks by the 2 White Rooks and the White Knight via e5. These 2 squares c6 and g6 are defended by only two Black pieces, namely the Black Queen and the Black King. The Black Knights are not defenders because they occupy the squares under attack. Since there are 3 attackers and only 2 defenders we look for a sequence of moves and/or captures to give White an advantage.

1.  $R(c) \times n(c6)$  check  $q \times R$

This reduces the position to one square g6 subject to 2 attacks and 1 defender.

2.  $R(g3) \times n(g6)$  check  $k \times R$

3. N to e5 check wins the queen and White has a won B + N end game. My uncle quibbles the above is really a three squares problem not a two squares problem. The 3 squares are g3, g6, and e5 having 3 attacks and 2 defenders. OK, so it is. And we learned something from having that pointed out. So lets expand the original theorem to "If two or more squares or lines are attacked more times than they are defended they may fall."

BEST UPSET VICTORY CANDIDATE

January 16, 1989

White: Larry Gavin (1195)  
 Black: Marvin Cox (1911)  
 (Annotated by M. Franek)

1. e4 e5
2. Nf3 Nc6
3. d4 ed
4. N:d4 N:d4??

More common are 4. ... Bc5 and 4... Nc6. A more provocative way to obtain winning chances in 4. ... Qa4!? which wins a pawn but allows White to gain tempi and an attack.

5. Q:d4 d6
6. Be2! -

6. Bd3 restricts a possible retreat for the queen and 6 Bc4 is met by 6. ... Be6! Larry's move is flexible and prepares castling.

6. ... Qf6
7. Be3!

The correct answer to Black's sixth move. Black's King Knight really belongs on f6, and there is no need to help him out with 7.QxQ.

7. ... Q:d4
8. B:d4 f6
9. Nc3 Be6
10. Nd5 B:d5?

I cannot agree with this move. Black trades his only developed piece for a Knight that has already moved twice. Now that the Queens are off the board, Black should consider 10. ... Kd7, followed by Ne7.

11. e:d5 Ne7
12. Bf3 Nf5
13. 0-0-0?

If White is playing for the whole point, allowing Black to achieve opposite-colored bishops isn't the way to do it.


13. ... Be7

But Black declines the opportunity for now.

14. Bg4

14. Bc3, maintaining the two bishops, is better, but the move chosen is not bad. White means to occupy the gaping hole at e6.

14. ... N:d4
15. R:d4 0-0
16. Rel Bd8
17. Bd7 f5!



Black's game is quite cramped but if he can get in Bf6 with a gain of time, g6, Rd8 dislodging the bishop so that he can contest control of the e file from either e8 or f7, he can get back into the game (or at least enhance his chances to reach a drawn opposite-colored bishops end game). However

18. h4 B:h4???

No, Marv, your pawn is on f5, not f4. So much for the opposite-colored bishop endgame.

19. R:h4 Ra-d8
20. Re7! Kh8
21. Rh5 f4
22. Bf5 h6
23. Bg6?

The bishop exerts a more cramping influence on Black's game from e6, where it closes the e file from the Black rooks and guards the d pawn. I would have just played R:c7.

23. ... Rf6
24. Bf5 Rdf8
25. Bd3?

White is confused. Again 25. Be6! is the move, and the Black queenside pawns must fall.

25. ... R/6-f7
26. Re6?

After 26. R:f7 R:f7 27. Rf5! and Black must trade rooks or lose another pawn.

26. ... Rd7
27. Rf5 Rc8
28. R:f5 c6
29. Rf-e4 Rf8
30. Re8 Rd8
31. Rd8 R:d8
32. dc?

32. Re7! should be considered. If White was afraid of 32. ... cd, then 33 r:b7 a5 34. Ra7 when, after the a pawn falls, White's a and b pawns flow like lava down the queenside while Black's doubled pawns are going nowhere. Incidentally, after R:b7, if Black decides to try to hold the a pawn with Ra8, then 34. Rd7 wins both d pawns.

32. ... bc
33. a4

(33. Re7 Ra8 34. Rc7 c5  
35. Rd7 make things a lot easier.)

33. ... a5
34. Re6 Kg8

35. Bg6 Kf8
36. f4 c5
37. c4 Rb8
38. Kc2 Rd8
39. Kc3 Kg8?
40. g4?

40. Re8ch wins outright. In fact, after 40. ... RxR 41. BxR Kf8 White doesn't even need to save the bishop - 42. Kd3 KxB 43. Ke4 Ke7 44. Kd5 g6 45. g3 Kd7 46. b3 and Black has a chronic case of zugzwang!


40. ... Kf8
41. Kd3 d5
42. Kc3

42. cd R:d5ch 43 Kc4 Rd8 (forced)  
44. K:c5 Rb8 45. Re8 ch R:R 46. B:B K:B 47. b5 ab 48. a5 Kd8 49. K:b4 g6 50. Kc4 h5 51. gh gh 52. Kd4 and the White wins. Sometimes winning a won game is harder than obtaining one.

42. ... d4ch
43. Kd3 Rb8
44. f5 Rb3ch
45. Kc2?

The King has got to get into the act sooner or later. Now is the time for 45. Ke4. If 45. ... Rb8 46. Rc6 Rd8 47. Kd3! The c pawn falls, and so will the d pawn eventually.

45. ... Rb8
45. Kc1 Rc8
47. Kc2 Kg8



White has been given another chance to trade rooks, but White should not go into the line unless he's worked out:

48. Re8ch RxR 49. BxR Kf8
50. Bh5! (the key move) Ke7
51. Kd3 Kf6 52. Ke4 Kg5
53. b3! Kh4 (not 53. ... Kf6)
54. Kf4!) 54. Kf4 Kh3 (zugzwang)
55. g5 hgch 56. K:g5 Kg3
57. Kg6 Kf4 58. Be2 Ke3
59. Bd1 Kd2 60. Bh5 and wins (if 59. ... Ke4 60. Bc2ch e3 61. B:e3 ch). If White does not find 50. Bh5! the game is probably drawn.

48. Kd3 Kf8
49. Kd2 Kg8
50. Kc2 Kf8
52. Kd3 Rb8
52. Re4 Rc8
53. Kc2 Kg8
54. Kd2 Kf8
55. Kd3 Kg8

White has another chance, now with the King on d3 instead of c2. Now White can go into the same line, and he does not even need his tempo move b3.

56. Re6 Kf8

No repetition of position, this time the White King is on d3.

57. Ra6 Ke7
58. R:a5 Kf6
59. Rb5

White has finally decided on a definite winning plan.

59. ... Kg5
60. Bh5 Kf4
61. a5 Ke5
62. a6?!

62. b4! is a quicker win

62. ... Kd6
63. Rb6ch Kc7
64. Rb5 Kc6
65. Bf7 Rc7
66. Bd5ch Kd7
67. Ra5 Ra7
68. Bb7 Ke7
69. R:c5 Kf6

70. Rd5 Kg5
71. R:d4 h5
72. gh K:h5
73. c5 Kg5
74. c6 g6
75. c7 gf
76. c8=Q f4
77. Ke2 Kh4
78. Qg8 Kh3
79. Rd1 R:b7
80. Rh1 mate

Just a reminder to the rest of you attackers, defenders, swindlers, sandbaggers, endgame specialists, etc. After this issue, there are three more issues of the CICL bulletin before the final summary issue. If you have a game that you want to be considered for a prize, you have three issues left to have it published. (Please don't you all wait until the May issue to send games in.) My address is on the front page of this bulletin. Generally I need to have your material by the 3rd of the month for it to be included in the next bulletin.

Marty Franek

The following two teams have incurred two forfeits on upper boards, and thus have forfeited the privilege of starting a clock in the absence of a player, as provided in the CICL Rules of Team Competition, Section I:

Argonne Rooks

A&B Radio

In future matches this season, if either of these teams does not have all of its team members present by the end of the 15-minute grace period, the players present must shift board assignments upward, with forfeit losses recorded on the bottom board(s).

Also, the following teams have recorded an average number of forfeits in excess of one-sixth of its games per match, thus putting into jeopardy their continued membership in the CICL next season, as provided in the CICL Constitution, Section I.B.8:

Argonne Rooks

Fel-Pro

If this situation still holds at the end of the season, these teams' continued participation in the CICL must be approved by a vote of team captains.

## NORTH DIVISION 01/29/89

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME POINTS		MATCH PCT
				POINTS	POINTS	
MOTOROLA	3	1	2	21.5	4.0	0.667
EXCALIBURS	4	1	0	20.5	4.0	0.800
EXEMPLARS	2	3	1	16.0	2.5	0.417
UOP PROCESS DIV.	2	1	1	13.0	2.5	0.625
KEMPER INSURANCE	2	3	0	12.0	2.0	0.400
FEL-PRO	0	4	0	3.0	0.0	0.000

## EAST DIVISION 01/29/89

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME POINTS		MATCH PCT
				POINTS	POINTS	
ALUMNI CENTRAL	6	0	0	31.0	6.0	1.000
SEARS	4	1	0	22.0	4.0	0.800
CHICAGO POST OFFICE	2	2	1	14.5	2.5	0.500
CONTINENTAL BANK	2	2	1	12.5	2.5	0.500
AMOCO CORP.	1	4	0	10.0	1.0	0.200
CHICAGO MERC. EXCH.	0	6	0	6.0	0.0	0.000

## NEAR WEST DIVISION 01/29/89

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME POINTS		MATCH PCT
				POINTS	POINTS	
ARGONNE KNIGHTS	5	0	0	22.5	5.0	1.000
AT&T CHARGERS	3	2	1	21.0	3.5	0.583
BELL LABS DRAGONS	3	1	1	16.5	3.5	0.700
J. I. CASE	3	2	0	16.0	3.0	0.600
BELL LABS ROYALS	2	3	0	14.5	2.0	0.400
ROCKWELL INT'L	0	3	2	11.5	1.0	0.200
A & B RADIO	0	5	0	6.0	0.0	0.000

## FAR WEST DIVISION 01/29/89

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME POINTS		MATCH PCT
				POINTS	POINTS	
BELL LABS INDIANS	5	1	0	22.0	5.0	0.833
FERMILAB	4	1	0	20.0	4.0	0.800
WHEATON COLLEGE	3	1	1	18.5	3.5	0.700
AMOCO RESEARCH LABS	1	3	2	16.0	2.0	0.333
TELLABS	1	4	0	11.5	1.0	0.200
ARGONNE ROOKS	0	4	1	8.0	0.5	0.100

-9-

## NORTH DIVISION TOP TEN

WONG.P	EXMPL	2120C
STEVANOVIC.M	UOP	2084
MCNEIL.B	MTRLA	2062
LEONG.G	EXCAL	2017C
SIWEK.M	KEMPR	1995
CREWSE.L	EXMPL	1974
EASTER.R	MTRLA	1968
BUERGER.E	EXCAL	1920C
BLOOM.B	MTRLA	1920
ILANGA.R	FLPRO	1899*

## EAST DIVISION TOP TEN

GILES.M	SEARS	2487
GELBART.S	ALUMN	2215
REYES.R	SEARS	2196C
CZERNIECKI.A	ALUMN	2190C
INUMERABLE.F	PSTOF	2179
KRAS.T	ALUMN	2176
GREGORY.J	PSTOF	2109
WIRTSCHAFTER.D	ALUMN	2018
HUTTAR.C	ALUMN	1946
WEIHMILLER.W	AMCRP	1920

## NEAR WEST DIVISION TOP TEN

LEVINE.D	KNIGHT	2257
TEGEL.F	DRGNS	2095D
BOLDEN.D	KNIGHT	2091
JONES.B	ROCKW	2048*
WARREN.J	CHRGR	2033D
BENEDEK.R	KNIGHT	2029C
GUIDO.J	ROYLS	2020
WHEATLEY.D	CHRGR	1949
MARCOWKA.R	CHRGR	1931C
CHRISTIAN.R	DRGNS	1867

## FAR WEST DIVISION TOP TEN

CHACHERE.L	INDNS	2326
STEIN.P	TELAB	2098
STREETS.D	ROOKS	2027C
MOTTA.H	FERMI	2014*
LUDWIG.T	INDNS	2001
WALHOUT.P	WHEAT	1974
SAGALOVSKY.L	FERMI	1949
BERRY.G	ROOKS	1919D
UNDERWOOD.W	WHEAT	1915C
SPIEGEL.L	FERMI	1866

## MOST IMPROVED PLAYERS

SAJKOWSKI.D	AMOCO	92
GAVIN.L	MERC	87
STINSON.M	INDNS	77
TOGAMI.P	JCASE	64
WHITE.H	JCASE	62
SAJBEL.P	UOP	56
EUSTACE.D	INDNS	54
HAHNE.D	ROYLS	52
WALHOUT.P	WHEAT	51
AUGSBURGER.L	WHEAT	47

07-DEC-88 EXEMPLARS

ROUND 4 BD

1 WONG.P  
2 CREWSE.L  
3 BURIAN.D  
4 SCHULMAN.R  
5 KRAKAU.H  
6 SUERTH.F

6 RATING S SCORE  
2109 3 1  
1972 2 1  
1469 3 1  
1850 0 1F  
1876 0 1F  
1659 0 1F

FEL-PRO

0 RATING S SCORE  
1701 -4 0  
1441 -2 0  
1030 -3 0  
0 0 OF  
0 0 OF  
0 0 OF

15-DEC-88 EXCALIBURS

ROUND 5 BD

1 LEONG.G  
2 BUERGER.E  
3 SULLIVAN.J  
4 KARLOVICS.S  
5 ANDERSON.N  
6 CHAN.H

4.5 RATING S SCORE  
1997 20 1  
1918 2 .5  
1826 9 1  
1750 -9 .5  
1735-13 .5  
1612 11 1

MOTOROLA

1.5 RATING S SCORE  
1952-20 0  
1972 -4 .5  
1669 -6 0  
1526 9 .5  
1315 19 .5  
1422-11 0

05-JAN-89 KEMPER INSURANCE

ROUND 5 BD

1 GARLAND.G  
2 HUGHES.N  
3 BLOEDOW.P  
4 CUMMUTA.P  
5  
6  
7 HUGHES.N

4 RATING S SCORE  
1621 0 1F  
1647 0 1F  
1424 0 1F  
1285 0 1F  
0 0 OF  
0 0 OF  
1647 12 1

EXEMPLARS

0 RATING S SCORE  
0 0 OF  
1472-12 0

19-JAN-89 EXEMPLARS

ROUND 6 BD

1 WONG.P  
2 CREWSE.L  
3 SCHULMAN.R  
4 SKULSKI.I  
5 SUERTH.F  
6 EDWARDS.S

2 RATING S SCORE  
2112 8 1  
1974 0 OF  
1850 -7 .5  
1680 -9 .5  
1659-39 0  
1261-13 0

MOTOROLA

4 RATING S SCORE  
1932-12 0  
1966 0 1F  
1663 5 .5  
1535 6 .5  
1334 39 1  
1411 13 1

13-DEC-88 CHICAGO MERC.EXCH.

ROUND 5 BD

1 ZEIDEL.J  
2 GAVIN.L  
3 RUDY.J  
4 GLEICH.S  
5 BROSKA.P  
6 HILTON.J

2.5 RATING S SCORE  
1831 7 .5  
1151 44 1  
0 0 0  
0 0 0  
0 0 1  
0 0 0

AMOCO CORP.

3.5 RATING S SCORE  
1927 -7 .5  
1830-44 0  
1520 0 1  
1166 0 1  
0 0 0  
0 0 1

10-JAN-89 SEARS

ROUND 4 BD

1 REYES.R  
2 LATIMER.E  
3 BROCKETT.M  
4 CHERNOMORDIKOW  
5 DENMARK.T  
6

4.5 RATING S SCORE  
2196 0 1  
1914 0 1  
1713 26 1  
1618-10 .5  
1624 4 1  
0 0 OF

CONTINENTAL BANK

1.5 RATING S SCORE  
0 0 0  
0 0 0  
1768-26 0  
1465 10 .5  
1242 -4 0  
1155 0 1F

30-NOV-88 WHEATON COLLEGE  
ROUND 4 BD

		3.5 TELLABS	2.5
	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS
1	WALHOUT.P	1963-13	STEIN.P
2	UNDERWOOD.W	1943-10	GRAFT.D
3	WALLIN.R	1704-17	ERLENBORN.M
4	WALKER.P	1664 -8	VERIVE.J
5	AUGSBURGER.L	1557 0	STELTON.N
6	WIENS.P	1323 33	TENNIE.E

30-NOV-88 AMOCO RESEARCH LABS  
ROUND 4 BD

	3 ARGONNE ROOKS	3	
	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS
1	NAGLE.M	1885-20	BERRY.G
2	SAJKOWSKI.D	1735 22	DECMAN.S
3	ROSE.K	1727-29	GREEN.D
4	HOLM.B	1668 11	BINGLE.J
5	THOMPSON.M	1371 0	TASKER.I
6	ZHANG.L	0 0	REDEY.E

07-DEC-88 FERMILAB

ROUND 5 BD

	4 WHEATON COLLEGE	2	
	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS
1	SAGALOVSKY.L	1973-24	WALHOUT.P
2	MOTTA.H	1996 18	UNDERWOOD.W
3	SPIEGEL.L	1855 11	WALKER.P
4	KALIHER.C	1725 20	WALLIN.R
5	GAINES.I	1673-20	AUGSBURGER.L
6	DORRIES.T	0 0	WIENS.P
7	SUMMERS.D	1433 0	SATCHELL.E
8	MANE.S	0 0	TAYLOR.F

11-JAN-89 TELLABS

ROUND 5 BD

	1.5 AMOCO RESEARCH LABS	4.5	
	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS
1	STEIN.P	2140-42	ROSE.K
2	GRAFT.D	1790-25	SAJKOWSKI.D
3	MCKEE.M	1416 -1	BOOTH.D
4	ERLENBORN.M	1380 22	THOMPSON.M
5		0 0	RINGENBERG.T
6		0 0	GRISETTI.M

12-JAN-89 ARGONNE ROOKS

ROUND 5 BD

	1.5 BELL LABS INDIANS	4.5	
	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS
1	BERRY.G	1933-14	LUDWIG.T
2	DECMAN.S	1708 5	STINSON.M
3	GREEN.D	1651-13	CHURCHILL.W
4	ZAROMB.S	1445 33	BLACKMON.E
5	TASKER.I	1441 -7	OGASAWARA.L
6	REDEY.E	1052 -9	EUSTACE.D

18-JAN-89 BELL LABS INDIANS

ROUND 6 BD

	4 AMOCO RESEARCH LABS	2	
	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS
1	LUDWIG.T	1986 15	NAGLE.M
2	STINSON.M	1830 17	ROSE.K
3	CHURCHILL.W	1710-18	SAJKOWSKI.D
4	BLACKMON.E	1597 6	HOLM.B
5	SHACHTER.J	1519-11	THOMPSON.M
6	EUSTACE.D	1301 26	RINGENBERG.T

16-JAN-89 CHICAGO MERC. EXCH.			1	ALUMNI CENTRAL		5			
ROUND	6	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	ZEIDEL.J		1838	-6	0	KRAS.T	2170	6	1
2	GAVIN.L		1195	44	1	COX.M	1911	-44	0
3	RUDY.J		0	0	0	JASAITIS.A	1908	0	1
4	FRANTZIS.G		0	0	0	FRIESEMA.W	0	0	1
5	BROSKA.P		0	0	0	RZESZUTKO.R	1798	0	1
6	GLEICH.S		0	0	0	FRANEK.M	1725	0	1
14-DEC-88 A & B RADIO			1	BELL LABS ROYALS		5			
ROUND	5	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	LASKY.N		1424	-1	0	GUIO.J	2051	1	1
2	MCGRIFF.M		1477	0	0	CHEN.M	0	0	1
3	HUMPF.R		1392	0	OF	ROSLEY.D	1704	0	1F
4	LASKY.J		1356	0	OF	HICKS.C	1433	0	1F
5	MIKULECKY.B		1365	-20	0	HAHNE.D	1402	20	1
6	O'DELL.DW		1342	0	1F	ANDERSON.CJ	0	0	OF
15-DEC-89 AT&T CHARGERS			.5	ARGONNE KNIGHTS		5.5			
ROUND	5	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	MARCOWKA.R		1880	19	.5	LEVINE.D	2276	-19	.5
2	WHEATLEY.D		1965	-16	0	BOLDEN.D	2075	16	1
3	KUMRO.D		1677	-4	0	BENEDEK.R	2024	4	1
4	DOBR.K		1535	-4	0	YOUNG.C	1844	4	1
5	THOMAS.J		1551	-16	0	BAURAC.D	1660	10	1
6	RADAVICIUS.E		1601	0	0	HILL.R	0	0	1
11-JAN-89 A & B RADIO			2.5	ARGONNE KNIGHTS		3.5			
ROUND	6	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	LASKY.JIM		0	0	0	BOLDEN.D	2091	0	1
2	MCGRIFF.M		1477	-2	0	BENEDEK.R	2028	1	1
3	LASKY.J		1356	20	.5	YOUNG.C	1848	-13	.5
4	O'DELL.DW		1342	39	1	BAURAC.D	1670	-26	0
5	MIKULECKY.B		1345	23	1	HILL.R	1358	-23	0
6	HUMPF.R		1392	-11	0	KELLOGG.K	1593	7	1
17-JAN-89 BELL LABS ROYALS			2	AT&T CHARGERS		4			
ROUND	6	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	GUIO.J		2052	-32	0	MARCOWKA.R	1899	32	1
2	CHEN.M		0	0	1	WHEATLEY.D	1949	0	0
3	HICKS.C		1433	-9	0	KUMRO.D	1673	6	1
4	HAHNE.D		1422	29	1	DOBR.K	1531	-20	0
5	ANDERSON.CJ		0	0	0	RADAVICIUS.E	1601	0	1
6	REVULURI.K		0	0	0	THOMAS.J	1535	0	1
17-JAN-89 ROCKWELL INT'L			1.5	J. I. CASE		4.5			
ROUND	6	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	SAMELSON.C		1839	-14	.5	SATTERLEE.D	1589	14	.5
2	JONES.B		2048	0	OF	WHITE.H	1605	0	1F
3	RAFACZ.T		1443	-27	0	KLINEFELTER.H	1377	27	1
4	EFRON.D		1440	-35	0	KANAS.W	1227	35	1
5	RAFACZ.W		0	0	1	REID.C	1245	0	0
6	DEWITT.G		1380	-38	0	TOGAMI.P	1083	38	1

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
ABSIL.K	UOP	0	0	0	1270#	CHOU.S	WHEAT	0	0	0	0000?
AGGEN.R	CONBK	0	0	0	0000?	CHRISTIAN.R	DRGNS	2	1	2	1867
ANDERSON.CJ	ROYLS	1	3	0	0000?	CHURCHILL.W	INDNS	1	3	1	1692
ANDERSON.MARK	ATTSK	0	0	0	1301*	CIBA.F	SEARS	1	0	0	1401
ANDERSON.N	EXCAL	3	0	2	1722C	CIESLEK.D	MTRLA	0	1	1	1853*
ANGLIN.B	SEARS	0	0	0	1592*	CIPOLLA.J	MTRLA	0	0	0	1614*
ATKINSON.J	AMCRP	1	2	1	1672	CLAY.M	FLPRO	0	0	0	0000?
AUGSBURGER.L	WHEAT	3	0	1	1587*	CLEMONS.V	AMCRP	1	0	0	0000?
AYALA.M	CONBK	2	2	0	0000?	COKER.D	CONBK	0	0	0	0000?
BAKER.B	FLPRO	0	4	0	1027	COLTER.D	JCASE	0	0	0	0000?
BARTALONE.F	FLPRO	0	0	0	0000?	COOPER.J	AMCRP	0	4	0	0000?
BAURAC.D	KNIGHT	3	1	1	1644C	COOPER.K	MTRLA	0	0	0	0000?
BECKLEY.S	INDNS	0	0	0	1268#	COOPER.W	PSTOF	1	2	2	1635*
BENEDEK.R	KNIGHT	2	0	2	2029C	CORBIN.Z	AMCRP	0	0	0	1321#
BERRY.G	ROOKS	2	3	0	1919D	COUGHLIN.B	WHEAT	0	1	0	0000?
BERTOLUCCI.S	FERMI	1	0	0	0000?	COX.M	ALUMN	1	1	0	1867
BEUNING.B	INDNS	0	0	0	1329*	CREWSE.L	EXMPL	1	2	0	1974
BHOJWANI.C	DRGNS	4	1	0	1835	CRUZ.R	FLPRO	0	1	0	1402*
BINGLE.J	ROOKS	0	2	0	1465C	CUMMUTA.P	KEMPR	0	0	1	1285
BLACKMON.E	INDNS	3	2	1	1603	CZERNIECKI.A	ALUMN	4	0	1	2190C
BLAZIE.J	TYROS	0	0	0	1587	DASBACH.D	RADIO	0	1	0	0000?
BLOCKER.C	CBOPE	0	0	0	2555	DAVIS.J	FLPRO	0	0	0	0000?
BLOEDOW.P	KEMPR	2	1	1	1424	DECMAN.S	ROOKS	1	3	1	1713C
BLOOM.B	MTRLA	1	3	0	1920	DENMARK.T	SEARS	3	0	0	1628#
BOLDEN.D	KNIGHT	4	0	1	2091	DEWITT.G	ROCKW	2	2	1	1342
BOOTH.D	AMOCO	0	2	1	1406	DEZONNO.T	ROCKW	0	2	0	0000?
BORUM.J	DRGNS	0	0	0	0000?	DIXON.R	MERC	1	1	0	1627
BRADY.R	CHRGR	0	0	0	0000?	DOBR.K	CHRGR	2	3	1	1511D
BRANDT.W	DRGNS	2	1	0	1377*	DORRIES.T	FERMI	1	1	0	0000?
BRERETON.K	DRGNS	0	0	0	0000?	DOWNNEY.J	CBOPE	0	0	0	0000?
BRIONES.M	UOP	1	3	0	1544	DUNWOODY.D	ROOKS	0	0	0	1549
BROCKETT.M	SEARS	3	0	0	1739	DURKEE.D	ROYLS	0	0	0	1774
BRONFELD.A	ALUMN	1	0	0	1732	EASLEY.K	INDNS	0	0	0	1688*
BROSKA.P	MERC	1	4	0	0000?	EASTER.R	MTRLA	2	1	1	1968
BROTSOS.J	EXCAL	3	0	0	1555C	EDWARDS.S	EXMPL	0	2	0	1248
BROWN.J	TYROS	0	0	0	0000?	EFRON.D	ROCKW	1	3	0	1405*
BROZOVICH.J	TYROS	0	0	0	1694C	ERICKSON.R	PSTOF	0	0	0	1807
BUERGER.E	EXCAL	1	2	1	1920C	ERLENBORN.M	TELAB	1	0	1	1402#
BURBA.K	KNIGHT	0	1	0	1397C	EUSTACE.D	INDNS	4	0	1	1327*
BURIAN.D	EXMPL	2	3	0	1460	FABIJONAS.R	ALUMN	1	0	0	1693D
CAGNEY.D	KEMPR	0	2	0	0000?	FARENHOLTZ.S	MTRLA	0	0	0	-1610#
CAMPBELL.C	AMCRP	3	2	0	1166	FAJKUS.J	CHRGR	1	0	0	1582C
CARPENTER.P	ATTSK	0	0	0	1390	FELDMAN.A	FLPRO	1	2	1	1439
CARTER.D	JCASE	0	0	0	1104*	FINLAY.J	AMOCO	0	0	0	0000?
CARTER.L	PSTOF	2	2	0	1473#	FISCHLER.M	FERMI	0	0	0	1352
CHACHERE.L	INDNS	1	1	0	2326	FOGERTY.A	CBOPE	0	0	0	1793#
CHAN.H	EXCAL	2	1	0	1623	FONNEMAN.J	AMOCO	0	0	0	0000?
CHEN.M	ROYLS	3	2	0	1859#	FRAATS.D	CONBK	1	3	0	1742
CHERNOGORDIKOW	SEARS	1	0	1	1608*	FRANEK.M	ALUMN	5	0	0	1725
CHEVERESAN.S	UOP	1	2	1	1397	FRANTZIS.G	MERC	0	1	0	0000?

? - UNRATED

# - 5 TO 9 RATED GAMES

\* - 10 TO 24 RATED GAMES

C - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER

D - DOUBLE CENTURION

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
FREDERICK.M	CBOPE	0	0	0	0000?	KARLOVICS.S	EXCAL	1	0	2	1741C
FRIDRICH.C	MTRLA	2	1	0	1424#	KELLOGG.K	KNIGHT	3	0	0	1600C
FRIESEMA.W	ALUMN	3	0	0	0000?	KELLY.S	ROYLS	0	0	0	1333*
GAINES.I	FERMI	0	1	1	1653C	KHOKHA.D	MTRLA	0	1	0	0000?
GARLAND.G	KEMPR	0	4	0	1621	KLINEFELTER.H	JCASE	2	3	0	1404
GAVIN.L	MERC	2	3	0	1239*	KNOX.M	MTRLA	2	1	0	1630
GELBART.S	ALUMN	0	1	0	2215	KRAKAU.H	EXMPL	1	0	0	1876
GERNES.L	ATTSK	0	0	0	1494	KRAS.T	ALUMN	3	0	1	2176
GILES.M	SEARS	4	0	0	2487	KRULL.E	AMCRP	0	0	0	1301#
GLEICH.S	MERC	0	6	0	0000?	KUKES.S	AMOCO	0	0	0	0000?
GOLLA.R	SEARS	3	0	0	1844C	KUMRO.D	CHRGR	2	3	0	1679D
GONCHAROFF.N	MTRLA	0	2	2	1668D	LANDRY.H	CBOPE	0	0	0	1178#
GORDON.D	PSTOF	0	0	0	0000?	LANNOYE.D	CBOPE	0	0	0	1508*
GRAFF.R	UOP	1	0	0	1416*	LASKY.J	RADIO	1	2	1	1376
GRAFT.D	TELAB	2	1	2	1765	LASKY.JIM	RADIO	0	1	0	0000?
GREEN.D	ROOKS	1	3	1	1638C	LASKY.N	RADIO	0	4	0	1423
GREENSPAN.P	MTRLA	1	0	0	1573C	LATIMER.E	SEARS	2	2	1	1914C
GREGORY.J	PSTOF	1	1	0	2109	LAY.K	TELAB	0	1	0	0000?
GRISETTI.M	AMOCO	0	0	0	0000?	LEONG.G	EXCAL	2	0	1	2017C
GRUCHACZ.R	CBOPE	0	0	0	2491	LESTER.M	PSTOF	0	0	0	1560
GRYPARIS.J	MTRLA	3	2	1	1373	LEVINE.D	KNIGHT	1	1	1	2257
GUID.J	ROYLS	2	2	0	2020	LITVINAS.A	ALUMN	4	0	0	1684C
HAHNE.D	ROYLS	3	2	0	1451*	LONOFF.M	CBOPE	0	0	0	2337
HAI.N	INDNS	1	0	0	0000?	LUDWIG.T	INDNS	3	1	0	2001
HALLMAN.W	JCASE	0	0	0	1188*	MANASTER.R	ATTSK	0	0	0	1810
HAMMOND.M	CONBK	0	1	0	1238#	MANE.S	FERMI	1	0	1	0000?
HARRINGER.R	TYROS	0	0	0	1567C	MANZKE.R	ATTSK	0	0	0	1129*
HATCHER.W	PSTOF	0	1	0	1025#	MARCOWKA.R	CHRGR	3	1	1	1931C
HESS.B	FLPRO	0	0	0	1514	MARTIN.W	CONBK	0	0	0	0000?
HICKS.C	ROYLS	2	2	0	1424*	MARTINSONS.B	MTRLA	0	0	0	1839
HILL.R	KNIGHT	3	2	0	1335#	MATHUR.P	DRGNS	0	2	1	0000?
HILTON.J	MERC	0	2	0	0000?	MCCALLON.J	WHEAT	0	0	0	1135#
HOLM.B	AMOCO	2	0	2	1673	MCGRIFF.M	RADIO	0	4	0	1475*
HOPSON.A	CONBK	0	1	0	0000?	MCKEE.M	TELAB	0	2	2	1415#
HOWARD.W	PSTOF	3	1	0	0000?	MCLAURIN.J	MERC	0	3	0	0000?
HUGHES.N	KEMPR	3	1	1	1659	MCNEIL.B	MTRLA	0	1	0	2062
HUMPF.R	RADIO	0	4	0	1381*	MCQUINN.J	ROCKW	0	0	0	1460
HUTTAR.C	ALUMN	0	0	1	1946	MICKLICH.F	UOP	2	2	0	1673
ILANGA.R	FLPRO	0	0	0	1899*	MIHALIOVICH.S	ROOKS	0	0	0	1764D
INUMERABLE.F	PSTOF	4	1	0	2179	MIKULECKY.B	RADIO	2	3	0	1368
JACKLIN.E	JCASE	1	0	0	1288	MILLER.PAUL	ATTSK	0	0	0	0000?
JACKSON.T	CBOPE	0	0	0	0000?	MORGAN.B	FLPRO	0	0	0	0000?
JACOBS.N	DRGNS	0	1	2	1833C	MOTTA.H	FERMI	1	1	0	2014*
JANNEY.C	SEARS	0	0	0	0000?	MUIR.L	AMOCO	0	0	0	1521C
JASAITIS.A	ALUMN	3	1	0	1908	MUNOZ.A	INDNS	0	0	0	1247
JOHNSON.R	TELAB	0	1	0	0000?	MUSGRAVE.C	EXECU	0	0	0	1878
JONES.B	ROCKW	2	1	1	2048*	MYERS.W	CONBK	0	1	0	1524*
KALIHER.C	FERMI	3	1	0	1745	NAGLE.M	AMOCO	1	4	0	1850
KANAS.W	JCASE	1	4	0	1262	NELSON.B	PSTOF	0	2	0	0000?
KARGE.W	KEMPR	0	0	0	1284	NEUMANN.J	TELAB	0	0	0	1718#

? - UNRATED

# - 5 TO 9 RATED GAMES

\* - 10 TO 24 RATED GAMES

C - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER

D - DOUBLE CENTURION

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
NWABUDE.O	DRGNS	0	2	0	0000?	SIEGEL.R	CONBK	3	1	1	1475
O'BRIEN.D	UOP	0	0	0	1409*	SIWEK.M	KEMPR	2	1	1	1995
O'DELL.DW	RADIO	1	2	1	1381	SKOWRONSKI.M	TELAB	0	0	0	1557*
O'DONOHUE.D	TELAB	0	1	0	0000?	SKULSKI.I	EXMPL	1	1	2	1671
OGASAWARA.L	INDNS	2	0	1	1751	SMILEY.R	AMCRP	0	3	2	1786
OGASAWARA.R	MTRLA	1	0	2	1541D	SMITH.BR	TYROS	0	0	0	1509
OSTERLUND.R	EXCAL	0	0	0	1857	SOLNER.J	ROYLS	0	0	0	1674*
PAAUWE.N	AMOCO	0	0	0	1386	SOMBONG.M	CONBK	0	0	1	1722
PACE.M	FERMI	0	0	1	1754	SOPRYCH.T	AMCRP	0	2	2	1539
PARAOAN.E	CONBK	1	1	2	1666	SPANGLER.M	AMOCO	0	0	0	1354
PARIKH.P	DRGNS	0	0	0	0000?	SPIEGEL.L	FERMI	3	1	1	1866
PARKER.L	TYROS	0	0	0	1740	STAMM.V	CHRGR	0	0	0	1586C
PEPPERS.G	MTRLA	0	0	0	0000?	STEIN.P	TELAB	3	2	0	2098
PEREZ.M	PSTOF	0	0	0	0000?	STELTON.N	TELAB	0	2	0	1387
PETERS.P	FLPRO	0	1	0	0000?	STEVANOVIC.M	UOP	3	1	0	2084
PETERS.S.	CONBK	0	0	0	0000?	STINSON.M	INDNS	3	0	2	1847
PETWAY.L	PSTOF	2	1	1	0000?	STOLTZ.B	TYROS	0	0	0	1808
RADAVICIUS.E	CHRGR	5	1	0	1601C	STREETS.D	ROOKS	0	0	0	2027C
RAFACZ.T	ROCKW	0	4	1	1416	STUHLBARG.D	UOP	1	1	0	1427C
RAFACZ.W	ROCKW	2	2	1	0000?	SUERTH.F	EXMPL	1	1	0	1620
REDEY.E	ROOKS	1	1	0	1043*	SULLIVAN.J	EXCAL	3	1	1	1635C
REED.L	PSTOF	0	0	0	0000?	SUMMERS.D	FERMI	3	0	0	1433*
REID.C	JCASE	1	2	0	1245*	TAGHAP.W	WHEAT	0	0	0	0000?
REVUL.M.I.K	ROYLS	1	1	0	0000?	TASKER.I	ROOKS	0	1	0	1434*
REYES.R	SEARS	1	0	1	2196C	TAYLOR.F	WHEAT	1	3	0	0000?
RINGENBERG.T	AMOCO	0	2	0	1324*	TEGEL.F	DRGNS	4	1	0	2095D
RIZZITANO.J	CBOPE	0	0	0	2577	TENNIE.E	TELAB	1	2	0	1469#
ROMAN.J	ROCKW	0	0	0	0000?	THOMAS.J	CHRGR	3	1	1	1535C
ROSE.K	AMOCO	1	4	1	1723	THOMPSON.M	AMOCO	1	2	2	1360*
ROSING.G	CBOPE	0	0	0	1411*	THOMPSON.R	FLPRO	0	0	0	1054
ROSLEY.D	ROYLS	0	0	1	1704	TOGAMI.P	JCASE	2	1	0	1121*
ROTH.K	WHEAT	1	0	0	0000?	TURPIN.S	INDNS	0	0	0	0000?
RUDY.J	MERC	1	5	0	0000?	UNDERWOOD.W	WHEAT	2	1	2	1915C
RZESZUTKO.R	ALUMN	2	0	1	1798	VAN MEER.J	KEMPR	0	0	0	1808
SAGALNIK.E	CONBK	0	1	0	0000?	VAN METRE.R	SEARS	1	2	0	0000?
SAGALOVSKY.L	FERMI	2	3	0	1949	VAN ZILE.C	UOP	0	1	0	0000?
SAJBEL.P	UOP	2	1	1	1519*	VERIVE.J	TELAB	1	0	2	1543#
SAJKOWSKI.D	AMOCO	5	1	0	1800*	VICK.H	MTRLA	0	0	0	1477
SAMELSON.C	ROCKW	1	1	3	1825	WALHOUT.P	WHEAT	3	2	0	1974
SATCHELL.E	WHEAT	0	3	0	0000?	WALKER.F	WHEAT	2	2	1	1645
SATTERLEE.D	JCASE	2	2	1	1603	WALKER.R	FERMI	0	0	0	1369C
SAWDO.E	JCASE	0	1	0	1442	WALLIN.R	WHEAT	1	2	1	1667*
SCHOONVELD.S	WHEAT	0	0	0	1271#	WALSH.W	ROOKS	1	0	0	1464C
SCHULMAN.R	EXMPL	1	0	2	1843C	WARD.C	DRGNS	2	1	2	1623C
SCHWARTZ.S	SEARS	0	1	1	1634C	WARREN.J	CHRGR	0	1	0	2033D
SHACKTER.J	INDNS	2	1	2	1508	WEIHMILLER.W	AMCRP	0	2	1	1920
SHAFF.R	CHRGR	3	0	1	1495C	WEISNER.T	RADIO	1	2	0	1139
SHELBY.J	PSTOF	0	0	0	0000?	WEITZ.R	FLPRO	0	3	1	1697
SHEU.G	DRGNS	1	3	0	1281#	WENTLING.C	AMCRP	2	2	0	1520
SHOREY.S	UOP	0	0	0	1139#	WHEATLEY.D	CHRGR	2	3	0	1949

? - UNRATED

# - 5 TO 9 RATED GAMES

\* - 10 TO 24 RATED GAMES

C - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER

D - DOUBLE CENTURION

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
WHITE.H	JCASE	3	0	1	1605	WONG.P	EXMPL	4	0	0	2120C
WHITSITT.S	DRGNS	3	1	0	0000?	YOUNG.C	KNIGHT	3	0	2	1835C
WIENCEK.T	ROOKS	0	1	0	1252*	YOUNG.D	ROOKS	0	0	0	0000?
WIENS.P	WHEAT	2	2	0	1356	ZAROMB.S	ROOKS	1	1	0	1478*
WILLIAMSON.E	CONBK	0	1	0	0000?	ZEIDEL.J	MERC	0	4	2	1832
WILLS.B	WHEAT	0	0	0	0000?	ZHANG.L	AMOCO	1	2	0	0000?
WINBORN.G	AMCRP	0	1	0	0000?	ZOELLNER.J	CONBK	1	2	1	1155
WIRTSCHAFTER.D	ALUMN	1	0	0	2018						

? - UNRATED

# - 5 TO 9 RATED GAMES

\* - 10 TO 24 RATED GAMES

C - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER

D - DOUBLE CENTURION