

# ***Chicago Industrial Chess League***

## ***Bulletin 8 Volume 34***

### ***May, 1991***

#### **In this issue:**

- PLAYOFF NOTICE
- BANQUET NOTICE with map
- Minutes from the last business meeting
- Ratings Chairman's Report

A total of twelve games have been submitted for consideration for best game awards in the various categories. Five of them are published in this bulletin. Games received by me after press time for this bulletin will be considered for best game prizes in the appropriate category, (provided, of course, that they are games from regular season matches), but may not be published this season. The cutoff date for eligibility is June 1.

--Bulletin Editor - Marty Franek  
Home: 708/636-3714  
Work: 312/353-1123

## PLAYOFF ANNOUNCEMENT

The date for the playoffs has been set for **Saturday, May 18, 1991**. The site will be the cafeteria of **J. I. Case**. Registration is at 8:30 AM, and the first round will start promptly at 9:00 AM. The second round is tentatively scheduled for 2:00 PM.

J. I. Case is located very close to the interchange of Interstate 55 (a.k.a. the Stevenson Expressway) and County Line Road. County Line Road is approximately one mile east of Illinois Route 83. County Line Road is the first exit after Route 83 if you are going **east** on Interstate 55, and it is the first exit after Interstate 294 (a.k.a. the Tri-State Tollway) if you are going **west** on Interstate 55. **Exit from Interstate 55 going NORTH on County Line Road**. You will soon come to a stoplight for the frontage road. **Turn left** at this stoplight and watch for the entrance into the J.I. Case complex. Park in the parking lot south of the building and look for signs directing you to the cafeteria.

## BANQUET ANNOUNCEMENT

The annual awards banquet, as previously announced, will be **June 7** at Harvey's Prime Rib, located at 331 East Ogden Avenue, Westmont, IL. Admission is \$18.00 if received by banquet chairman Skip Kale by **May 18**. After that date, admission is \$20.00. Entry into the speed chess tournament is an additional \$1.00.


**Harvey's Prime Rib** is located one mile west of Illinois Route 83 on Ogden Avenue, and two miles west of the Tri-State Tollway (I-294), from which there is an exit for Ogden Avenue (a.k.a. U.S. Highway 34).

Send advance payments for admission to: **Skip Kale, 106 MacArthur Drive, #5211, Willowbrook, IL 60514**.

Dinner is at 7:15 PM. As last year, there will be a cash bar upstairs. (Once again, we have reserved the spacious dining room downstairs). The menu this year will consist of: roast chicken, polish sausage, fruit cup, fresh garden salad, green beans, broccoli and mushrooms, and baked potatoe with sour cream.

Questions about either the playoffs or the banquet should be directed initially to Skip Kale: (work) 708/887-2372

CICL  
BANQUET  
HARVEY'S  
PRIMERIB  
331 E.  
OGDEN,  
WESTMON  
JUNE 8.  
7



CHICAGO INDUSTRIAL CHESS LEAGUE  
 Meeting of April 18, 1991  
 Oak Park Village Hall

Attendees

Jim Brotsos	Alumni Central; CICL Treasurer
Karel Dobr	AT&T Chargers
Dan Eustace	Bell Labs
Marty Franek	Alumni 2nd Str; Bulletin Ed; Trophy Chairman
Irwin Gaines	Fermi; Far West Chairman
Tony Jasaitis	Chicago Research & Trading; CICL Secretary
Skip Kale	J.I. Case; Banquet Chairman; Playoff Director
Daniel Kumro	AT&T Chargers; Near West Division Chairman
Algis Litvinas	Alumni Central
Ruben Reyes	Sears
Russ Rzeszutko	Alumni Second Stringers
Ross Siegel	Continental Bank; CICL President
Leonard Spiegel	Fermi
Wes Underwood	Wheaton College
Charlie Ward	AT&T Dragons; Ratings Chairman
John Zoellner	Continental Bank

Minutes

1. President Ross Siegel called the meeting to order at 7:35pm.
2. No changes were noted to the minutes of the August 1990 meeting.
3. Treasurer Jim Brotsos reported the following balances:  
Savings - \$3,123.91; Checking - \$131.77.
4. Treasurer Jim Brotsos informed the meeting that two teams have still not paid their dues for this season: The Excaliburs and the Mercantile Exchange, after numerous attempts to collect them, and numerous promises that they will be paid. Jim said that this kind of situation has never happened before in league history. President Ross Siegel will write a letter to these teams, informing them that they are expelled from the league, and that if they wish to be readmitted in the future, they will have to be voted upon as new teams, and that they will have to pay all current and past dues in advance. They will also no longer receive a bulletin. Jim Brotsos also reported that Wheaton College is \$1 short in their dues.  
  
[Late update (4/22/91): Chicago Mercantile Exchange has paid its dues and remains a full-status member of the league.]
5. Irwin Gaines informed the meeting that our expected playoff site, Amoco Research Labs, will not be available this year. Their team is in disarray because their captain got transferred out of state; that Amoco requires that a member of their team be present on site; and that since Amoco is not in the playoffs, no one from that team is willing to be on site; also security is much less convenient now.

4

Irwin also reported that Bell Labs, which is near Amoco and is in the playoffs, has offered its site. In his judgement, the site would be adequate, but security is inconvenient. Possible sites hosted by other playoff teams were considered: Motorola cafeteria (a number of people recalled noise, being locked out for half an hour, and inconvenient security), and Fermi cafeteria (also noisy, security OK). Irwin mentioned that Fermi also has a conference room that should be just big enough. Tony Jasaitis wondered if someone at Amoco could be paid minimum wage to be present during the playoffs. Playoff Director Skip Kale will determine the best site.

6. Banquet Chairman Skip Kale said that the Banquet will be at Harvey's Prime Rib in Westmont again, on June 7th. Admission will be around \$20. Jim Brotsos suggested a pre-payment discount to minimize at-the-door hassles. He also reminded teams to see him after the banquet for their meal-guarantee refund.
7. Marty Franek volunteered to serve as Trophy Chairman.
8. Election of Officers for next season:
  - a) President Ross Siegel and Treasurer Jim Brotsos did not run for re-election. Tony Jasaitis intended to run for re-election as Secretary.
  - b) Dan Kumro nominated Tony Jasaitis for President. Tony declined the nomination. Russ Rzeszutko nominated Larry Spiegel. Irwin Gaines was nominated, but declined. Tony reconsidered his withdrawal. Tony Jasaitis was elected President.
  - c) Larry Spiegel and Skip Kale were nominated for Secretary. Larry Spiegel was elected Secretary.
  - d) Irwin Gaines nominated Wes Underwood for Treasurer. Wes was elected unanimously.
9. The following proposal by Marty Franek was debated:

"To abolish the recently adopted rule which limits teams to a maximum of two forfeits above the lowest unforfeited board."

Marty and Russ Rzeszutko recapped the arguments in favor of the proposal, which can be found in full in Bulletin 5. Jim Brotsos recalled the history of the league's thinking on this matter, dating back to the very first meeting. Regarding the constant publication of the offending team's names, to which Marty also alluded, he felt that the purpose is to keep the rest of the league informed as to the status of the rule, rather than to shame the teams. Irwin Gaines and Wes Underwood suggested that enforcement of the rule be up to the Division Chairman, who would be familiar with individual teams' circumstances.

Russ recalled how Harold Winston, coming downtown from Glenview, was delayed by legal proceedings and arrived a minute after his flag fell. Due to this and another freak incident in the same match, his hands have been tied all season. Ruben Reyes also cited professional problems with the rule. Dan Kumro felt that the rule is plenty liberal already, because a team captain can get an hour and 45 minutes leeway after summing the 1-hour forfeit interval, a 15-minute grace period, and requesting a half-hour delay in the start of the game. Karel Dobr recalled instances of abuse of upper-board forfeits in the past. Russ suggested that Alumni teams be exempt from the rule, because they do not have a vested interest to abuse forfeits in jockeying for playoff position. Irwin Gaines reported no evidence of forfeit abuse in his division. Tony Jasaitis pointed out that split matches, which occur in the East Division, become difficult to arrange once this rule is invoked.

Marty submitted a replacement proposal:

"To change the existing upper-board forfeit rule to allow for 4 forfeits per team per season, instead of the current 2."

The proposal passed by a vote of 8-7 (including 2 proxies against any change in the rule). It is effective immediately.

10. The following proposal (published in Bulletin 7), by Ruben Reyes was debated:

"To reduce lead-time in player ratings and team standings, as well as publication of two-default violations, I propose that copies of the match result sheet be also sent to the Ratings Chairman and to the Bulletin Editor."

Ratings Chairman Charlie Ward explained his end of the process. He said that the proposal won't help when team captains are slow in submitting their result to anybody. He did feel that sending results directly to him would speed up the process, and he could make a FAX number available. He felt that there would be no additional advantage to sending results to the Bulletin Editor.

Irwin Gaines felt that the proposal is addressing the wrong problem: captains not sending in results promptly. If they won't send it to the Division Chairman promptly, they won't send it to the Ratings Chairman any faster. He suggested that both team captains be required to submit results. John Zoellner wondered if teams should be required to submit results prior to being allowed to play the next round. Dan Kumro wondered if the idea would cause extra work or confusion for the Ratings Chairman, getting duplicate results from teams and Division Chairmen. Charlie Ward said that he has a wastebasket.

Tony Jasaitis questioned the motivation behind the proposal. He pointed out that the timeliness of the two-forfeit status is less of an argument now that the rule just got changed to four forfeits. As for a rating crossing the 100-point borderline during the reporting delay, he minimized it by pointing out that a ratings discrepancy of 20 or 30 points represents only a few percentage points change in statistical probability of the outcome of a game. He also pointed out that the player ranking rule allows for exceptions to the ranking order if agreed to by the opposing captain. Ruben countered by saying that he may not want to reveal his reasons for playing a player out of order to his opponent, and that he just wants to work within the framework of the rules, made possible by up-to-date statistics. He also said that out-of-date team results make it impossible to calculate teams' playoff tie-breaks. Tony countered by saying that he can obtain current status from the Division Chairman if necessary.

After more rounds of similar arguments, Ruben brought everyone to their senses with eloquence:

"I am not asking for explanations; I am not asking for justifications; all I am asking for is a solution for the lateness of published results. Do we have a solution? I am presenting before this body a proposal that can be a solution."

The proposal was resubmitted with the following wording:

"The home team captain will submit, in a timely manner, copies of the match result to the Division Chairman and to the Ratings Chairman."

The proposal was passed unopposed, and is effective next season.

- 11. The new League Championship Section of the Constitution (written by Tony Jasaitis and published in Bulletin 6), was submitted. Tony stated that everything in this section has either been approved individually in prior meetings, or has been set by precedent. Several people pointed out that a precedent for avoiding pairing teams from the same division in the first playoff round was not in the section. Tony will update it. The section was approved unanimously.
- 12. Tony Jasaitis' technical revision to "Section II.D. Basic Rules" was submitted (published in Bulletin 6). Most of the changes were updates of changed section numbers of USCF rules. A noteworthy change is deletion of the old II.D.1, which required the King to be touched first in castling. Also note the adoption of the USCF definition of a valid score sheet (a copy of the USCF rules was published in Bulletin 6). The revision was passed unanimously.

13. Tony Jasaitis' Most Improved Player (MIP) Award proposal was debated. Tony submitted two versions for consideration, published in Bulletin 7. The "strict version" excluded all extra-board games and games against one's team teammates from the MIP rating points total. The "liberal version" excluded only rating points gained by playing one's own teammates.

Jim Brotsos endorsed the liberal proposal, stating that an extra board, playing an opposing team, still earns his points. He could see where rating points gained against one's own teammates could be questioned. John Zoellner stated that his team has many eager players who do not always have extras from another team to play against. Irwin Gaines objected to changing the rules of the competition for MIP when the season is almost over, and moved that the proposal be tabled until next season. Tony Jasaitis questioned the seriousness of the games. When several people felt that the games were indeed serious, Tony pointed out that the current Bulletin (7) has an instance of the same player playing three extra-board games in one night. John Zoellner (who was the player in question) stated that Tony should not assume that the games were played all in one night.

Ratings Chairman Charlie Ward informed the meeting that he is not going to be editing the MIP list to subtract ineligible rating points. Tony said that he already stated in the submission of the proposal that no work would be necessary during the season. Russ Rzeszutko felt that the proposal would be self-policing, as the runner-up for the MIP is naturally going to examine the leader's qualifications. The assembly asked Tony to submit a single proposal to be voted on, stating when it will be effective. When Tony had trouble making up his mind, Irwin moved that the liberal proposal be adopted effective next season.

The following proposal was adopted by a vote of 8-3, effective next season:

"For the purposes of the Most Improved Player award, rating points gained by playing against one's own teammates will be excluded from the total rating points gained during the season."

Dan Kumro said that it is possible that an old league policy already exists on this matter, and what effect would this vote have if this exact policy already exists. The consensus was that for this season, we have voted for the status quo, whatever it is. Dan will research the matter in old Bulletins.

Russ Rzeszutko moved that the proposal be adopted for this season. The idea was rejected 3-9.




14. Russ Rzeszutko announced that he is donating trophies for an "Outstanding Team Captain" (OTC) award for this season and next, in the hopes that the League will continue it as a permanent feature after that point. One will be awarded in each Division, at the discretion of the Division Chairman. Russ offered some suggested criteria, and the assembly added to it. Here is the final list, with final discretion being the Division Chairman's (who can consider holding a vote by team captains in the division):
- a) Minimal board forfeits.
  - b) Adherence to schedule.
  - c) Timely submission of results.
  - d) Extra-board turnout.
  - e) Cooperation with other team captains and the League.
  - f) Preference will be given to low-ranked finish in the standings (on the assumption that it is harder for a team captain of a lower-ranked team to accomplish the above).
15. Tony Jasaitis took an informal survey of whether clock-less games occur anymore, because the rules are worded in a manner that makes clock-less games the default, unless a player requests a clock. When no one could recall a regular-board game being played this season without a clock, Tony said that he might submit a revision of the rule emphasizing the use of clocks.
16. Jim Brotsos, Wes Underwood, and others preferred to have a "policy" section separate from the Constitution and Rules (Secretary Tony Jasaitis incorporated all motions passed at meetings since 1983 edition of the Rules into the Rules). Tony will consider this idea.
17. President Ross Siegel urged the East Division, which still has 1.5 rounds left, to complete its regular season schedule soon.
18. President Ross Siegel closed the meeting at 10:05 pm.

CRT (Visitors) vs. Alumni Second Stringers (Home)  
Board 5  
February 26, 1991

White: Don Bernard (1124)                      Black: Bob Charkovsky (1758)  
Candidate for Best Upset Victory  
Annotated by Tony Jasaitis

- |    |      |      |
|----|------|------|
| 1. | e4   | e5   |
| 2. | Nf3  | Nc6  |
| 3. | Bc4  | Bc5  |
| 4. | O-O  | Nf6  |
| 5. | Nc3  | d6   |
| 6. | h3   | Na5  |
| 7. | Bb3  | N:b3 |
| 8. | a:b3 | c6 ? |




Black makes a series of moves that make the d pawn increasingly weak.

- |     |       |        |
|-----|-------|--------|
| 9.  | d4    | ed     |
| 10. | N:d4  | B:d4 ? |
| 11. | Q:d4  | c5 ?   |
| 12. | Qe3 ? |        |


White temporarily strays from pressuring his target. However, without a black-square bishop, Black's d pawn is so weak that White can afford to stumble.

- |     |     |     |
|-----|-----|-----|
| 12. | ... | Bd7 |
| 13. | Rd1 | Qe7 |
| 14. | Qd3 | Bc6 |
| 15. | Bg5 |     |

Better is 15. f3, so that White can focus the maximum power on the backward pawn.



- |     |     |        |
|-----|-----|--------|
| 15. | ... | Kd7 ?? |
|-----|-----|--------|
- It was better to give up the pawn. With this move, Black digs himself a hole so deep that he can't make up for the massive rating difference.
- |     |        |      |
|-----|--------|------|
| 16. | f3     | Rhd8 |
| 17. | Bf4    | Kc7  |
| 18. | Nb5 ch | B:b5 |
| 19. | Q:b5   |      |



- |     |     |      |
|-----|-----|------|
| 19. | ... | a6 ? |
|-----|-----|------|
- This leads to disaster, but Black is virtually lost anyway.
- |     |         |     |
|-----|---------|-----|
| 20. | Q:c5 ch | Kd7 |
| 21. | B:d6    | Qe6 |
| 22. | Be7 ch  | Ke8 |


23.	B:d8	R:d8
24.	R:d8	K:d8
25.	Qf8 ch	Ne8
26.	Rd1 ch	Kc7
27.	Qc5 ch	Qc6
28.	Q:c6 ch	K:c6
29.	Rd8	Nd6
30.	g4	Kc5
31.	Rg8	g6
32.	Rg7	f5
33.	ef	gf
34.	gf	N:f5 ?
35.	Rg5	1:0

Sears (Visitors) vs. Chicago Research and Trading (Home)  
 Board 5  
 February 6, 1991

White: Hale Chan (1613)                      Black: Don Bernard (1124)  
 Candidate for Best Upset Victory  
 and/or Best Salvage When Behind  
 Annotated by Tony Jasaitis

Although ahead in material, White allows his King to come under long-term harassment. An example of how hard it is to not overlook something when one is under constant pressure move after move. Opening gambits win more than their objective share of games for the same reason.

- |     |      |       |
|-----|------|-------|
| 1.  | Nf3  | c5    |
| 2.  | g3   | d6    |
| 3.  | Bg2  | Nf6   |
| 4.  | O-O  | e5    |
| 5.  | d3   | Be7   |
| 6.  | c4   | Nc6   |
| 7.  | Nc3  | d5    |
| 8.  | cd   | N:d5  |
| 9.  | N:d5 | Q:d5  |
| 10. | b3   | O-O   |
| 11. | Bb2  | Qd6   |
| 12. | Rc1  | Rd8 ? |
| 13. | Ba3  | b6    |




- |     |              |        |
|-----|--------------|--------|
| 14. | Bb2 ?        |        |
|     | (14. N:e5!)  |        |
| 14. | ...          | Rd7 ?  |
|     | (14. ... f6) |        |
| 15. | N:e5         | Rc7    |
| 16. | N:c6         | R:c6   |
| 17. | B:c6         | Q:c6   |
| 18. | f3           | Bh3    |
| 19. | Re1          | Re8    |
| 20. | e4           | Bh4 !? |
| 21. | g:h4 ?       |        |

Although not a losing move, White invites a lot of needless pressure, requiring careful play for a long time. The Trojan bishop could have just been ignored.

- |     |     |        |
|-----|-----|--------|
| 21. | ... | Qg6 ch |
| 22. | Kf2 | Qg2 ch |
| 23. | Ke3 | Q:b2   |
| 24. | Qe2 | Qd5 ch |
| 25. | Kd2 | Qb4 ch |
| 26. | Kd1 | Qd4    |
| 27. | Kc2 | Re6    |
| 28. | Rg1 | Rd6    |
| 29. | Rg3 | Bd7    |
| 30. | Qd2 | Bb5    |
| 31. | f5  | c4 !   |
| 32. | Rd1 | cb !?  |

32. ... c:d3 regains some material, but White can trade off all the pieces for a won endgame. Black opts for staying behind in material, but ahead in pressure.

- |     |     |        |
|-----|-----|--------|
| 33. | ab  | Rc6 ch |
| 34. | Kb1 | Rc3    |
| 35. | Qb2 | Qb4    |




36. Ka1 ?

White could have clinched victory with Rc1! or d4!

36. ... Qa5 ch  
 37. Kb1 Qb4  
 38. Ka1 Qa5 ch  
 39. Qa2 Qb4  
 40. Q:a7 ?! g6

On principle, ... h6 would have lessened the chances of White trading queens with a pin or check on the a1-h8 diagonal, simplifying the game. However, this very opportunity that Black creates becomes White's downfall!

41. Rb1 Qd4



42. Qb8 ch ??? Rc8 ch!  
 0:1

Alumni Second Stringers (Visitors) vs. CRT (Home)  
Board 6  
March 20, 1991

White: Dick Riederer

Black: Mike Davidson

Candidate for Best Upset Victory

Annotated by Tony Jasaitis

White is an unrated player with an 0-5 record against players rated below 1300.


1. d4 d5
2. c4 e6
3. Nc3 f5

Transposing to the Dutch Stonewall variation. In it, Black tries to make an early Kingside attack possible by blockading the white squares in the center with a rock-hard grip on the white squares.

4. Nf3 Nf6
5. Bf4

Much more common is 5. g3. While Bf4 is a sound developing move making it easy for White to mobilize his Queenside, Black can gain tempos for his kingside pawn storm by attacking it later. On the other hand, another defender against the pawn storm is not a bad idea, provided it doesn't get trapped.

5. ... Be7
6. e3 c6
7. Bd3 0-0
8. 0-0 Qe8
9. c5



Although quite tempting because it cramps Black, this type of move is not normally made in the Stonewall. With Bf4, it is playable because Black cannot break up the pawn chain with 9. ... b6 10. b4 a5 11. a3.

However, since Black's plan is a pawn storm on the Kingside, such attempts are usually best foiled by opening lines in the center to get at the then-exposed King position. Often White tries to do this with an eventual Ne5, f3 and e4, when a pawn at c4 would add to the pressure in the center.

With the center now fairly locked up, the position calls for each side to attack where the pawns are the most mobile: White trying to open lines on the Queenside with b4 and b5, Black trying for mate on the Kingside. As the game progresses, though, White is the one who breaks through on the Kingside!

9. ... Nbd7
10. Ng5 Nb8
11. h3

This makes it easier for Black to open lines in the upcoming pawn storm.

11. ... h6
12. Nf3 g5
13. Bg3 Nbd7
14. Re1 Kh8
15. b4 Rg8
16. Ne5 Ne4

16. ... g4 would have been

consistent with Black's last few moves. Instead, he make trades that improve the scope of White's pieces.


17. N:e4                      d:e4  
 18. Be2                        N:e5  
 19. B:e5 ch                   Kh7  
 20. Bh5                        Qf8  
 21. g4

Suddenly, White's command of the board is strong enough to get away with flinging pawns forward on files containing Black's heavy guns aimed at his King. However, 21. f3 immediately would have been better, as ... g4 is not yet a serious threat.

21. ...                        Bf6  
 22. Bd6                        Qg7  
 23. f3

White notices that his bishops keep Black from contesting the f file, and aims to put some heavy guns on it.

23. ...                        e:f3  
 24. Q:f3                        f:g4 ??



25. Qe4 ch !

The obvious h:g4 would have been sufficient for a big advantage, but White finds a more forcing line.

25. ...                        Kh8  
 26. Rf1 !

Threatening 27. R:f6 Q:f6  
 28. Be5. Black has no good answer.

26. ...                        Rf8  
 27. B:f8                        Q:f8

28. Qg6  
 29. R:f6  
 30. R:g6  
 31. Rf6  
 32. Raf1

Qg7  
 Q:g6  
 Kh7  
 a5  
 1:0

This game illustrates what can be done without threats, attacks, combinations, or fireworks of any kind. Just slow positional play.

Date 3-19-91 Board 3

Con Bank Alumni

White Black

Sombong Cox

1. P-K4 P-K4

2. N-KB3 N-QB3

3. B-QB4 B-QB4

4. P-Q3 N-KB3

5. B-KN5 P-Q3

6. P-KR3 N-QR4

The KB is generally the most valuable minor piece and the QN the least.

7. P-QB3 QN x B

8. Q-R4 ch Q-Q2

A novel way to break a pin.

9. Q x N Q-K3

10. Q x Q KBP x Q

11. B x N Not too good

as it gives me the advantage of 2 bishops against 2 knights.

P x B

The centralized double pawns are no problem.

12. QN-Q2 P-KB4

13. P-Q4 KP x QP

14. BP x QP B-QN5

15. 0-0-0 0-0

Trying to get an open file for my rook.

16. P-K5 P-Q4

The central V shaped pawn structure gives white a huge positional advantage.

17. N-QN3 B-Q2

18. P-QR3 B-K2

19. P-KR4 P-KR3

20. R-KR3 R-KB2

21. R-KN3 ch R-KN2

Since I will have the favorable end game it will be to my advantage to trade off all rooks.



22. R x R ch      K x R  
 23. R-Q3          P-QN3  
 24. P-KN3          P-QB4

Sometime in the past,  
 Black should have played  
 his pawn to QN4 to saddle  
 me with a backward QBP.

25. R-QB3          P-QB5

A powerhouse pawn.  
 Every effort will be  
 made to advance it.

26. QN-Q2          P-QN4  
 27. R-K3            P-QR4  
 28. N-KN1          P-QN5  
 29. QRP x QNP      QRP x QNP  
 30. K-QN1           P-KB5  
 31. R-KB3           R-KB1

I want a rook trade to  
 strengthen my end game.  
 I missed the crusher B-K1:

32. N-K2            KBP x KNP  
 33. KBP x KNP      R x R  
 34. N x R            B-QR5

Black's knights are  
 both far away from my  
 potential QB8 queening  
 square.

35. K-QB1           B-Q1  
 36. N-KB4           K-KB2  
 37. K-Q2            B-QR4  
 38. N-KR2           P-QB6 ch  
 39. P x P            P x P ch  
 40. K-QB1           B-QN5  
 41. N-K2            B-QR6 ch  
 42. K-QN1           P-QB7 ch  
 43. K-QR2           P-QB8=Q

Finally fulfilling the  
 pawn formation of the  
 middle game.

44. N x Q            B x N  
 45. K-QN1           B-Q7

Not B-K6, N-KN4

46. K-QN2           B-K8  
 47. K-QB1           B x KNP  
 48. N-KB3           B-KB5 ch  
 49. K-QN2           B-Q8  
 50. N-K1            B-KN6  
 51. N-KN2           B-KB6  
 52. N-K3            B x KRP

Resigns

Submitted for best recovery.  
Date 2-19-91 Board 3

White	Black
Amoco	Alumni
Jim Atkinson	Marv Cox
1. P-K4	P-K4
2. N-KB3	N-QB3
3. B-QN5	P-QR3
4. B-R4	N-KB3
5. O-O	P-Q3
6. R-K1	P-QN4
7. B-QN3	B-K2
8. P-QB3	N-QR4
9. B-QB2	O-O
10. P-QR4	P-QB4 ??

His trap is in all  
the books. Noe is me.

11. P x P	P x P ??
12. P-QN4	B-Q2
13. P x N	R x P

White has an easily won  
game. Black's only hope is  
to open as many lines as  
possible and attack  
everything in sight.

14. R x R	Q x R
-----------	-------

Grab a file.

15. P-Q4	BP x QP
16. BP X QP	B-KN5

Attack anything in sight.

17. B-Q2	P-QN5
----------	-------

Doing my best to restrict  
his pieces.

18. P-Q5	R-QB1
----------	-------

Grab an open line.

19. R-K3	Q-QB4
----------	-------

Attack anything in sight.

20. B-QR4	R-QN1
-----------	-------

21. Q-K1	B-Q1
----------	------

22. R-QN3	B-QR4
-----------	-------

23. K-KR1	Q-QB5
-----------	-------

24. R-K3	B-QN3
----------	-------

Attack anything in sight.

25. B-QN3	Q-QN4
-----------	-------

26. R-K2	The rook's out of play.
----------	----------------------------

B-QB4
-------

27. B-QB1	Q-Q6
-----------	------

Attack anything in sight.

28. B-QB2	Q-QB5
-----------	-------

29. QN-Q2 ??	Q x B
--------------	-------

30. N-KB1	Q-QB6
-----------	-------

Resigns

### Ratings Chairman's Report

The standings included in this issue of the Bulletin are not the final standings for the season, as there are one or two results for each division that have not yet been received for rating. However, the first-place and second-place finishers in each division appear to be determined. I have been told that the remaining ninth-round match in the North Division was a victory for Northrup over Fel-Pro by a score of 5-1, but I have not received the results sheet yet.

To this point in the season, eight teams have not incurred a single forfeit loss:

North Division: Northrup, Motorola  
Near West Division: J. I. Case, Argonne Knights  
Far West Division: Bell Labs Indians, Fermilab, Wheaton  
College

Congratulations to each of these teams, and especially to their captains, for setting an example for the rest of the CICL!

## NORTH DIVISION 04-27-1991

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME POINTS	MATCH POINTS	PCT
MOTOROLA	7	1	0	32.5	7.0	0.875
NORTHROP CORP.	4	1	2	27.0	5.0	0.714
UOP PROCESS DIV.	4	3	1	31.0	4.5	0.563
EXCALIBURS	3	3	2	24.5	4.0	0.500
KEMPER INSURANCE	2	4	2	16.5	3.0	0.375
EXEMPLARS	2	4	1	18.5	2.5	0.357
FEL-PRO	0	6	0	5.0	0.0	0.000

## EAST DIVISION 04-27-1991

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME POINTS	MATCH POINTS	PCT
CHICAGO POST OFFICE	6	3	0	35.5	6.0	0.667
ALUMNI CENTRAL	5	3	0	29.5	5.0	0.625
AMOCO CORP.	5	3	0	24.5	5.0	0.625
ALUMNI 2ND STRINGERS	4	3	1	27.5	4.5	0.563
CHICAGO RES. & TRDG.	3	3	3	26.5	4.5	0.500
CONTINENTAL BANK	3	4	2	26.0	4.0	0.444
SEARS	3	3	2	25.0	4.0	0.500
CHICAGO MERC. EXCH.	1	8	0	8.5	1.0	0.111

## NEAR WEST DIVISION 04-27-1991

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME POINTS	MATCH POINTS	PCT
ARGONNE KNIGHTS	9	0	0	41.0	9.0	1.000
BELL LABS DRAGONS	6	2	1	31.0	6.5	0.722
BELL LABS ROYALS	5	4	0	34.5	5.0	0.556
AT&T CHARGERS	5	4	0	30.0	5.0	0.556
ROCKWELL INT'L	3	4	1	22.0	3.5	0.438
A & B RADIO	1	7	0	9.5	1.0	0.125
J. I. CASE	0	8	0	12.0	0.0	0.000

## FAR WEST DIVISION 04-27-1991

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME POINTS	MATCH POINTS	PCT
FERMILAB	7	0	1	31.0	7.5	0.938
BELL LABS INDIANS	5	1	1	30.0	5.5	0.786
AT&T TYROS	3	5	0	20.0	3.0	0.375
AMOCO RESEARCH LABS	1	6	0	15.0	1.0	0.143
WHEATON COLLEGE	1	5	0	12.0	1.0	0.167

15-OCT-90 CHICAGO MERC. EXCH. 1 AMOCO CORP. 5

ROUND 1

BD	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS	SCORE
1 ZEIDEL, J	1985-21	0	HODINA, J	2013 21 1
2 RUDY, J	1244 -1	0	SMILEY, R	1959 1 1
3 BARRON, L	0 0	0	ATKINSON, J	1861 0 1
4 HILTON, J	0 0	0	SOPRYCH, T	1640 0 1
5 SULLIVAN, C	1590 18	1	WENTLING, C	1520-18 0
6 COTE, J	0 0	0	KRULL, E	1394 0 1

04-APR-91 CHICAGO MERC. EXCH. 1.5 AMOCO CORP. 4.5

ROUND 8

BD	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS	SCORE
1 SULLIVAN, C	1608 -5	0	SMILEY, R	1960 5 1
2 COTE, J	0 0	.5	ATKINSON, J	1861 0 .5
3 GAVIN, L	1237 -4	0	SOPRYCH, T	1640 4 1
4	0 0	0F	KRULL, E	1394 0 1F
5 HILTON, J	0 0	0	HAMILTON, L	0 0 1
6 GROTANS, G	0 0	1	WENTLING, C	1502 0 0

17-APR-91 CONTINENTAL BANK 6 CHICAGO MERC. EXCH. 0

ROUND 9

BD	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS	SCORE
1 NELSON, H	1962 0	1	FRANK, M	0 0 0
2 KOGAN, G	1849 9	1	SULLIVAN, C	1603 -9 0
3 PAROAN, E	1762 3	1	COTE, J	1295 -3 0
4 SOMBONG, M	1751 3	1	GROTANS, G	1310 -3 0
5 DYCZKOWSKI, R	1680 0	1	BROWN, S	0 0 0
6 SIEGEL, R	1530 0	1F		0 0 0F
7 MCINTOSH, S	1106 22	.5	KOGAN, G	1858-22 .5 (CONBK)
8 ZOELLNER, J	1190 -6	0	SIEGEL, R	1530 6 1 (CONBK)
9 PATEL, R	1443 18	1	HAMMOND, M	1377-18 0 (CONBK)
10 SIEGEL, R	1536 5	1	ZOELLNER, J	1184 -5 0 (CONBK)
11 PATEL, R	1461 7	1	ZOELLNER, J	1179 -7 0 (CONBK)

10-APR-91 CHICAGO POST OFFICE 2 CHICAGO RES. & TRDG. 4

ROUND 9

BD	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS	SCORE
1 GREGORY, J	2197-27	0	JASAITIS, A	2133 27 1
2 INUMERABLE, F	2211 11	1	FRIESEMA, W	2015-11 0
3 MARCOWKA, R	2026-18	0	LOSOFF, A	1954 27 1
4 CARTER, L	1555-25	0	DENG, J	1523 25 1
5 PETWAY, L	1509-38	0	BERNARD, D	1209 38 1
6 HOWARD, W	1123 22	1	RIEDERER, D	1115-22 0

03-MAR-91 ROCKWELL INT'L 1.5 AT&T CHARGERS 4.5

ROUND 9

BD	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS	SCORE
1 JONES, B	2147 2	.5	WARREN, J	2173 -1 .5
2 MITCHAM, L	0 0	0	STAMM, V	1727 0 1
3 RAFACZ, T	1623-17	0	KUMRO, D	1713 11 1
4 RAFACZ, W	1626-17	0	RADAVICIUS, E	1713 11 1
5 MCQUINN, J	1562-23	0	DOBR, K	1547 16 1
6 EFRON, D	1529 13	1	BRADY, R	1368-13 0

25-MAR-91 ARGONNE KNIGHTS		5.5		J. I. CASE		.5	
ROUND 9							
BD		RATINGS		SCORE		RATINGS	
SCORE		RATINGS		SCORE		SCORE	
1	BENEDEK, R	2226	2	1	WHITE, H	1759	-3
2	DECMAN, S	1823	-8	.5	SATTERLEE, D	1608	13
3	HILL, R	1634	13	1	KLINEFELTER, H	1484	-13
4	CHIU, H	1617	13	1	KALE, S	1469	-13
5	KELLOGG, K	1684	2	1	REID, C	1264	-4
6	ZAROMB, S	1471	9	1	MOTYCKA, R	1228	-9
27-MAR-91 BELL LABS DRAGONS		3.5		BELL LABS ROYALS		2.5	
ROUND 9							
BD		RATINGS		SCORE		RATINGS	
SCORE		RATINGS		SCORE		SCORE	
1	TEGEL, F	2168	-15	0	GUIO, J	2162	23
2	CHRISTIAN, R	1883	-14	0	DOBROVOLNY, C	1916	20
3	JACOBS, N	1969	10	1	ROSLEY, D	1845	-15
4	DURKEE, D	1781	10	1	HAHNE, D	1560	-10
5	WARD, C	1611	9	1	HICKS, C	1463	-13
6	BECKLEY, S	1367	4	.5	CROWE, R	1428	-4
7	KELLY, S	1339	-31	0	ANDERSON, CJ	1201	31
10-APR-91 J. I. CASE		1		BELL LABS ROYALS		5	
ROUND 10							
BD		RATINGS		SCORE		RATINGS	
SCORE		RATINGS		SCORE		SCORE	
1	WHITE, H	1756	-4	0	GUIO, J	2185	4
2	SATTERLEE, D	1621	-6	0	DOBROVOLNY, C	1936	6
3	KALE, S	1456	0	1F	ROSLEY, D	1830	0
4	KLINEFELTER, H	1471	-17	0	HAHNE, D	1550	17
5	REID, C	1260	-11	0	HICKS, C	1450	11
6	MOTYCKA, R	1219	-22	0	ANDERSON, CJ	1232	22
17-APR-91 BELL LABS DRAGONS		1.5		ARGONNE KNIGHTS		4.5	
ROUND 10							
BD		RATINGS		SCORE		RATINGS	
SCORE		RATINGS		SCORE		SCORE	
1	TEGEL, F	2153	3	.5	BENEDEK, R	2228	-3
2	JACOBS, N	1979	-11	0	BERRY, G	2078	11
3	CHRISTIAN, R	1869	-2	.5	DECMAN, S	1815	2
4	WARD, C	1620	1	.5	HILL, R	1647	-2
5	BECKLEY, S	1371	-8	0	CHIU, H	1630	8
6		0	0	0F	BAURAC, D	1679	0
24-APR-91 A & B RADIO		.5		AT&T CHARGERS		5.5	
ROUND 10							
BD		RATINGS		SCORE		RATINGS	
SCORE		RATINGS		SCORE		SCORE	
1	O'DELL, DW	1612	-2	0	WARREN, J	2172	1
2	ELLERY, G	1464	15	.5	STAMM, V	1727	-10
3	LASKY, J	1412	-6	0	KUMRO, D	1724	4
4	MCGRIFF, M	1410	-6	0	RADAVICIUS, E	1724	4
5	MIKULECKY, B	1312	0	0F	THOMAS, J	1548	0
6	WEISNER, T	1146	-4	0	DOBR, K	1563	2

11-MAR-91 UOP PROCESS DIV.  
ROUND 8

5.5 EXEMPLARS

.5

BD	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS	SCORE
1 STEVANOVIC, M	2253 13	1	WONG, P	2217-13 0
2 BOLDINGH, E	2040 26	1	CREWSE, L	2092-26 0
3 MICKLICH, F	1820 2	.5	SKULSKI, I	1840 -2 .5
4 BRIONES, M	1700 10	1	SUERTH, F	1577-15 0
5 SAJBEL, P	1663 0	1F	OELHAFEN, A	1316 0 OF
6 CHEVERESAN, S	1412 13	1	EDWARDS, S	1254-13 0

11-MAR-91 KEMPER INSURANCE  
ROUND 8

.5 NORTHROP CORP.

5.5

BD	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS	SCORE
1 SIWEK, M	2169-20	0	JAKSTAS, K	2201 20 1
2 HUGHES, N	1847-10	0	GOLUMBOVSKI, P	2055 10 1
3 OLSEN, A	0 0	0	DIAZ, P	1957 0 1
4 BLOEDOW, P	1467 8	.5	BURIAN, D	1679-13 .5
5 CUMMUTA, P	1354 -7	0	VIGANTS, A	1638 7 1
6 LAMBIRIS, J	0 0	0	STEMPINSKI, P	1469 0 1
7 FILICHIA, T	0 0	0	BISH, D	0 0 1
8 CHOUDRY, A	0 0	1	PUECHNER, R	0 0 0 (KEMPR)

21-MAR-91 MOTOROLA  
ROUND 8

4 EXCALIBURS

2

BD	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS	SCORE
1 EASTER, R	2122 18	1	BUERGER, E	2051-12 0
2 BLOOM, B	2067-27	0	SULLIVAN, J	2003 18 1
3 SAMELSON, C	2059 8	1	OSTERLUND, R	1894-13 0
4 WALLACH, C	2086 0	1F	FRISKE, T	1875 0 OF
5 AUGSBURGER, L	1803-25	0	FABIJONAS, R	1762 17 1
6 GONCHAROFF, N	1768 0	1F	ROHING, G	1467 0 OF
7 CIESLEK, D	2041 0	1	MOLES, J	1195 0 0 (MTRLA)
8 OLUND, P	1360 31	1	HERNANDEZ, D	1493-31 0 (MTRLA)

03-APR-91 UOP PROCESS DIV.  
ROUND 9

2.5 MOTOROLA

3.5

BD	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS	SCORE
1 STEVANOVIC, M	2266 0	OF	EASTER, R	2140 0 1F
2 BOLDINGH, E	2066 21	1	BLOOM, B	2040-21 0
3 MICKLICH, F	1822 -6	0	SAMELSON, C	2067 6 1
4 WALLIN, R	1762 39	1	WALLACH, C	2086-39 0
5 BRIONES, M	1710 -4	0	CIESLEK, D	2041 6 1
6 SAJBEL, P	1663 8	.5	AUGSBURGER, L	1778 -8 .5
7 STUHLBARG, D	1531 -6	0	GONCHAROFF, N	1768 4 1
8 CHEVERESAN, S	1425-14	.5	MOLES, J	1195 14 .5

08-APR-91 EXCALIBURS  
ROUND 9

5 KEMPER INSURANCE

1

BD	RATINGS	SCORE	RATINGS	SCORE
1 BUERGER, E	2039 20	1	SIWEK, M	2149-29 0
2 SULLIVAN, J	2021 8	1	HUGHES, N	1837-12 0
3 OSTERLUND, R	1881 4	1	BLOEDOW, P	1475 -3 0
4 FRISKE, T	1875 3	1	TATARSKY, J	1438 -3 0
5 FABIJONAS, R	1779 0	1	OLSEN, A	0 0 0
6 ROSING, G	1467-30	0	CUMMUTA, P	1347 30 1

20-MAR-91 AMOCO RESEARCH LABS 1 AT&T TYROS 5  
 ROUND 9

BD		RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE
1	SAJKOWSKI, D	1904	3	.5	STOLTZ, B	1936 -3 .5
2	RINGENBERG, T	1343	20	.5	BLAZIE, J	1808-20 .5
3	SUH, G	0	0	0	SHAFF, R	1546 0 1
4	MANILLA, M	0	0	0	THOMAS, G	1415 0 1
5	POMA, D	0	0	0	SCHWARTZ, M	1081 0 1
6	SCHATTKE, N	0	0	0	KOEPELE, J	0 0 1

02-APR-91 AMOCO RESEARCH LABS 0 BELL LABS INDIANS 6  
 ROUND 6

BD		RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE
1	ROSE, K	1975	0	OF	BLACKMON, E	1699 0 1F
2	RINGENBERG, T	1363	0	0	BHOJWANI, R	0 0 1
3	SUH, G	0	0	0	EUSTACE, D	1314 0 1
4	MANILLA, M	0	0	0	SHEU, G	1269 0 1
5	POMA, D	0	0	0	FLYNN, T	0 0 1
6	SCHATTKE, N	0	0	OF	TURPIN, S	0 0 1F

03-APR-91 FERMILAB 4.5 AT&T TYROS 1.5  
 ROUND 10

BD		RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE
1	SPIEGEL, L	2091-38	0	0	BLAZIE, J	1788 38 1
2	GAINES, I	1731	8	1	SHAFF, R	1546 -8 0
3	PARA, A	0	0	1	THOMAS, G	1415 0 0
4	CISKO, G	0	0	1	RIPPE, D	0 0 0
5	COLE, P	0	0	.5	SCHWARTZ, M	1081 0 .5
6	HARRIS, R	1578	0	1	MCPHAIL, C	0 0 0



NORTH DIVISION TOP TEN

STEVANOVIC, M	UOP	2266C
JAKSTAS, K	NORTH	2221
WONG, P	EXMPL	2204C
EASTER, R	MTRLA	2140
SIWEK, M	KEMPR	2120
BOLDINGH, E	UOP	2087*
SAMELSON, C	MTRLA	2073C
LEONG, G	EXCAL	2072C
CREWSE, L	EXMPL	2066
GOLUMBOVSKI, P	NORTH	2065*

EAST DIVISION TOP TEN

GILES, M	SEARS	2473
REYES, R	SEARS	2299C
CZERNIECKI, A	ALUMN	2288C
GELBART, S	SECST	2272
KRAS, T	ALUMN	2262
INUMERABLE, F	PSTOF	2222
GREGORY, J	PSTOF	2170
JASAITIS, A	CRT	2160
WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUMN	2096
GOLLA, R	SEARS	2043C

NEAR WEST DIVISION TOP TEN

LEVINE, D	KNIGHT	2371
BENEDEK, R	KNIGHT	2225C
GUIO, J	ROYLS	2189
WARREN, J	CHRGR	2173D
TEGEL, F	DRGNS	2156D
JONES, B	ROCKW	2149
BERRY, G	KNIGHT	2089D
BORUM, J	DRGNS	2006
JACOBS, N	DRGNS	1968C
YOUNG, C	KNIGHT	1952C

FAR WEST DIVISION TOP TEN

CHACHERE, L	INDNS	2362
KOZLOVSKY, M	FERMI	2305*
LUDWIG, T	INDNS	2148
WALHOUT, P	WHEAT	2121
SPIEGEL, L	FERMI	2053
STINSON, M	INDNS	2028
BUCHNER, R	INDNS	1994
PEIJFFERS, S	INDNS	1990
ROSE, K	AMOCO	1975
ROSE, J	FERMI	1972

MOST IMPROVED PLAYERS

BERNARD, D	CRT	140
CUMMUTA, P	KEMPR	104
HICKS, C	ROYLS	101
CROWE, R	ROYLS	97
HAMMOND, M	CONBK	95
KRULL, E	AMCRP	93
BECKLEY, S	DRGNS	79
NELSON, H	CONBK	72
CHIU, H	KNIGHT	70
CHEVERESAN, S	UOP	65

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
ALEMAN, M	AMOCO	0	0	0	0000?	CHESBROUGH, C	CRT	0	0	0	0000?
ALMAZAN, S	NORTH	3	0	0	0000?	CHEVERESAN, S	UOP	4	2	1	1411
ANDERSON, CJ	ROYLS	3	3	0	1254*	CHIU, H	KNIGHT	6	0	0	1638*
ANDERSON, N	EXCAL	0	0	0	1782C	CHOUDRY, A	KEMPR	1	1	0	0000?
ANGLIN, B	SEARS	0	0	1	1684*	CHRISTIAN, R	DRGNS	3	3	2	1867C
ANSARI, N	WALGR	0	0	0	1650	CHURCHILL, W	INDNS	0	0	0	1762
ATKINSON, J	AMCRP	2	4	2	1861	CIBA, F	SEARS	0	0	1	1406
AUGSBURGER, L	MTRLA	6	1	1	1770	CIESLEK, D	MTRLA	4	0	0	2047*
BAKER, B	FLPRO	0	4	0	1009	CISKO, G	FERMI	4	2	0	0000?
BARRON, L	MERC	0	1	0	0000?	CLARE, B	ROOKS	0	0	0	2185
BAURAC, D	KNIGHT	2	2	3	1679C	CLEMONS, V	AMCRP	0	0	0	0000?
BAYUS, T	MTRLA	0	0	0	0000?	COLE, P	FERMI	1	3	1	0000?
BEARD, G	SECST	5	1	1	1851*	COOPER, J	AMCRP	0	0	0	0000?
BECKLEY, S	DRGNS	4	3	2	1363*	COOPER, W	PSTOF	3	2	2	1704
BENEDEK, R	KNIGHT	5	0	1	2225C	COTE, J	MERC	1	5	1	1292#
BERNARD, D	CRT	4	3	1	1247*	COX, M	ALUMN	4	2	1	2013C
BERRY, G	KNIGHT	3	0	2	2089D	CREWSE, L	EXMPL	1	1	3	2066
BHOJWANI, R	INDNS	2	0	2	0000?	CROWE, R	ROYLS	4	1	2	1424*
BINGLE, J	ROOKS	0	0	0	1481C	CRUZ, R	FLPRO	0	0	0	1418*
BISH, D	NORTH	2	1	0	0000?	CUMMUTA, P	KEMPR	4	2	2	1377
BLACKMON, E	INDNS	3	1	1	1699	CZERNIECKI, A	ALUMN	5	1	2	2288C
BLAZIE, J	TYROS	2	3	2	1826	DANELIUK, T	SECST	0	0	0	0000?
BLOEDOW, P	KEMPR	1	6	1	1472C	DANES, D	ROOKS	0	0	0	0000?
BLOOM, B	MTRLA	2	4	1	2019	DAVIDSON, M	SECST	2	1	0	1619*
BOHMBACH, J	CRT	0	0	0	1447*	DAWSON, J	KNIGHT	1	2	0	1408
BOLDINGH, E	UOP	5	2	1	2087*	DECMAN, S	KNIGHT	1	1	2	1817C
BOLLIG, W	NORTH	0	0	0	0000?	DENG, J	CRT	6	2	1	1548#
BOOKER, G	RADIO	0	3	1	0000?	DEWITT, G	ROCKW	2	2	1	1374
BORUM, J	DRGNS	0	0	0	2006	DEZONNO, T	ROCKW	0	1	0	0000?
BRADY, R	CHRGR	2	4	0	1355*	DIAZ, P	NORTH	4	2	0	1957
BRANDT, W	DRGNS	0	0	0	1402*	DOBR, K	CHRGR	6	1	1	1565D
BRIONES, M	UOP	4	3	0	1706C	DOBROVOLNY, C	ROYLS	6	1	2	1942
BROCKETT, M	SEARS	0	2	0	1771	DORRIES, T	FERMI	0	0	0	1893*
BRONFELD, A	ALUMN	4	2	2	1849	DOWAT, C	FERMI	1	0	0	1471
BROSKA, P	MERC	0	0	0	1423#	DUNCAN, T	MTRLA	0	1	0	0000?
BROTSOS, J	ALUMN	4	2	1	1573D	DURKEE, D	DRGNS	6	1	1	1791C
BROWN, S	MERC	0	1	0	0000?	DYCZKOWSKI, R	CONBK	3	2	2	1680#
BROZOVICH, J	TYROS	0	0	0	1781C	EASTER, R	MTRLA	2	2	3	2140
BUCHNER, R	INDNS	2	0	0	1994	EDWARDS, S	EXMPL	1	1	0	1241
BUERGER, E	EXCAL	3	5	0	2059D	EFRON, D	ROCKW	4	2	0	1542
BURBA, K	ROOKS	0	0	0	1414C	ELEK, G	NORTH	0	3	1	1007#
BURIAN, D	NORTH	4	2	1	1666	ELLERY, G	RADIO	1	1	1	1479#
CAGNEY, D	KEMPR	0	0	0	0000?	EUSTACE, D	INDNS	3	1	3	1314*
CAMPBELL, C	AMCRP	0	2	0	1186	FABIJONAS, R	EXCAL	4	2	0	1779D
CAMPBELL, D	AMOCO	1	1	1	0000?	FAHRENHOLTZ, S	MTRLA	0	0	0	1739#
CARTER, D	JCASE	0	1	1	1081*	FELDMAN, A	FLPRO	1	5	0	1398
CARTER, L	PSTOF	4	2	1	1530	FELICIANO, H	PSTOF	0	0	0	0000?
CHACHERE, L	INDNS	0	0	0	2362	FILICHIA, T	KEMPR	1	2	0	0000?
CHAN, H	SEARS	0	2	1	1571	FIPPINGER, P	WHEAT	0	1	0	0000?
CHARKOVSKY, R	SECST	5	1	2	1726*	FISCHLER, M	FERMI	0	0	0	1337

? - UNRATED

# - 5 TO 9 RATED GAMES

\* - 10 TO 24 RATED GAMES

C - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER

D - DOUBLE CENTURION

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
FLYNN, T	INDNS	2	1	0	0000?	INUMERABLE, F	PSTOF	4	0	2	2222
FRAATS, D	CONBK	0	4	2	1853	ISAAC, G	CRT	0	0	0	0000?
FRANEK, M	SECST	2	2	4	1843	ISAAC, T	PSTOF	0	0	0	1667
FRANK, M	MERC	0	3	2	1734#	JACOBS, N	DRGNS	5	1	0	1968C
FRANTZIS, G	MERC	0	0	0	0000?	JAKSTAS, K	NORTH	4	2	0	2221
FREY, M	FERMI	0	0	0	0000?	JASAITIS, A	CRT	3	1	5	2160
FRIDRICH, C	MTRLA	0	0	0	1427#	JONES, B	ROCKW	2	0	4	2149
FRIESEMA, W	CRT	4	3	1	2004*	KALE, S	JCASE	1	4	2	1456*
FRISKE, T	EXCAL	3	4	0	1878	KALIHHER, C	FERMI	3	1	1	1903
GAINES, I	FERMI	4	0	1	1739C	KANAS, W	JCASE	0	3	2	1265
GAMEZ, M	JCASE	1	2	0	0000?	KARGE, W	KEMPR	0	0	0	1269
GARLAND, G	KEMPR	0	0	0	1694	KARLOVICS, S	EXCAL	0	0	0	1820C
GAVIN, L	MERC	0	7	0	1233	KELLOGG, K	KNGHT	5	1	0	1686C
GELBART, S	SECST	0	0	1	2272	KELLY, S	DRGNS	0	1	0	1308*
GIBSON, K	AMCRP	0	1	0	0000?	KLEIN, P	NORTH	0	0	0	0000?
GILES, M	SEARS	1	0	1	2473	KLINFELTER, H	JCASE	3	4	1	1454
GLICENSTEIN, J	FERMI	1	1	3	1946	KNUTSON, G	KEMPR	0	0	0	0000?
GOLLA, R	SEARS	4	0	0	2043C	KOEPELE, J	TYROS	1	1	0	0000?
GOLUMBOVSKI, P	NORTH	3	1	2	2065*	KOGAN, G	CONBK	1	0	1	1836*
GONCHAROFF, N	MTRLA	3	1	0	1772D	KOZLOVSKY, M	FERMI	2	1	0	2305*
GREEN, D	KNGHT	0	1	0	1811C	KRAKAU, H	EXMPL	2	0	1	1962
GREGORY, J	PSTOF	3	3	0	2170	KRAS, T	ALUMN	0	0	0	2262
GROTANS, G	MERC	1	6	0	1307#	KRULL, E	AMCRP	3	2	1	1394*
GRYPARIS, J	MTRLA	0	2	0	1359	KUEHLHORN, B	MTRLA	0	0	0	0000?
GUILLEN, B	KEMPR	0	2	0	0000?	KUKES, S	AMOCO	2	1	0	2326#
GUIO, J	ROYLS	4	1	3	2189	KUMRO, D	CHRGR	3	6	1	1728D
GUTNIK, I	JCASE	0	1	0	0000?	LAMBIRIS, J	KEMPR	0	1	0	0000?
HAHNE, D	ROYLS	1	3	4	1567	LANNNOYE, D	WALGR	0	0	0	1698*
HAMILTON, L	AMCRP	2	4	0	0000?	LASKY, J	RADIO	2	3	0	1406
HAMMOND, M	CONBK	5	3	0	1359*	LASKY, JIM	RADIO	1	0	0	1712#
HARRINGER, R	TYROS	0	0	0	1619C	LASKY, N	RADIO	1	3	1	1467
HARRIS, F	SEARS	0	1	0	0000?	LATIMER, E	SEARS	2	4	1	2003D
HARRIS, R	FERMI	1	1	0	1578	LEE, A	CRT	0	0	0	0000?
HERNANDEZ, D	MTRLA	1	3	0	1462	LEE, R	TYROS	1	1	0	0000?
HERSHEY, S	MTRLA	0	0	0	0000?	LEMPA, K	SECST	1	0	0	1524*
HERZOG, W	NORTH	0	0	0	0000?	LEONG, D	SECST	0	0	0	1945*
HESS, B	FLPRO	1	2	0	1519	LEONG, G	EXCAL	0	0	0	2072C
HICKS, C	ROYLS	6	2	0	1461	LESTER, M	MTRLA	1	0	0	1588
HILL, R	KNGHT	6	1	1	1645	LEVINE, D	KNGHT	3	0	1	2371
HILLIARD, J	CONBK	0	1	0	0000?	LITVINAS, A	ALUMN	4	3	1	1738C
HILTON, J	MERC	0	6	0	0000?	LLOYD, K	PSTOF	0	0	0	0000?
HODINA, J	AMCRP	3	4	0	2034	LODER, S	INDNS	2	0	0	0000?
HOLM, B	AMOCO	0	0	0	1740	LORING, S	CONBK	1	0	0	2004
HORNER, L	CRT	0	0	0	0000?	LOSOFF, A	CRT	4	3	1	1981
HOWARD, W	PSTOF	4	0	1	1145*	LUDWIG, T	INDNS	2	1	3	2148
HUGHES, N	KEMPR	0	2	1	1825C	MANILLA, M	AMOCO	2	2	0	0000?
HUMPF, R	RADIO	0	1	0	1293*	MARCOWKA, R	PSTOF	6	3	0	2008C
HUNG, N	MTRLA	1	0	0	0000?	MATHEWS, B	CRT	0	0	0	0000?
HUTTAR, C	SECST	0	0	1	2016	MATHUR, P	ROYLS	0	0	0	1316#
ILANGA, R	FLPRO	0	0	0	2023*	MCFARLIN, B	KEMPR	0	2	0	0000?

? - UNRATED  
# - 5 TO 9 RATED GAMES  
\* - 10 TO 24 RATED GAMES

C - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER  
D - DOUBLE CENTURION

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
MCGRIFF, M	RADIO	0	5	0	1404	REDEY, E	KNGHT	0	0	0	1025*
MCINTOSH, S	CONBK	2	1	1	1128#	REED, L	PSTOF	0	0	0	1735#
MCPHAIL, C	TYROS	2	2	0	0000?	REHORST, R	FLPRO	0	3	0	0000?
MCQUINN, J	ROCKW	3	3	1	1539	REID, C	JCASE	1	4	1	1249
MERTENS, P	NORTH	0	0	0	0000?	REID, D	MERC	0	1	0	0000?
MICKLICH, F	UOP	4	2	2	1816C	RENNER, T	AMOCO	0	0	0	1631
MIKULECKY, B	RADIO	1	5	0	1312	REVULURI, K	MTRLA	0	2	0	0000?
MILLER, J	NORTH	0	0	0	0000?	REYES, R	SEARS	4	0	3	2299C
MILLER, TT	SEARS	2	3	0	0000?	RIEDERER, D	CRT	1	5	0	1093#
MITCHAM, L	ROCKW	1	2	2	1657#	RINGENBERG, T	AMOCO	0	4	1	1363*
MOLES, J	MTRLA	0	3	1	1209	RIPPE, D	TYROS	0	3	0	0000?
MOODY, L	CONBK	0	2	0	0000?	RO, D	CRT	1	5	0	1502*
MORGAN, T	MTRLA	0	1	0	0000?	ROGERS, N	PSTOF	3	1	1	1983*
MORTON, B	SEARS	2	1	2	1612*	ROSE, J	FERMI	0	0	0	1972
MOTYCKA, R	JCASE	0	5	0	1197	ROSE, K	AMOCO	2	0	1	1975
MUNOZ, A	INDNS	0	0	0	1247	ROSEN, JJ	FERMI	1	0	0	0000?
NELSON, H	CONBK	4	2	0	1962	ROSIING, G	EXCAL	2	3	0	1437*
NELSON, R	WHEAT	2	0	0	0000?	ROSLEY, D	ROYLS	2	2	0	1830
NWABUDE, O	ROYLS	0	0	0	0000?	RUDY, J	MERC	0	2	0	1243*
O' DELL, DW	RADIO	0	6	2	1610	RZESZUTKO, R	SECST	1	2	5	1942
OELHAFEN, A	EXMPL	1	3	0	1316*	SAGALOVSKY, L	ROOKS	0	0	0	1911
OGASAWARA, L	MTRLA	3	0	2	1872	SAJBEL, P	UOP	4	2	1	1671
OGASAWARA, R	MTRLA	1	0	0	1604D	SAJKOWSKI, D	AMOCO	1	1	3	1907
OLSEN, A	KEMPR	0	4	0	0000?	SAMELSON, C	MTRLA	3	2	0	2073C
OLUND, P	MTRLA	3	1	0	1391#	SATCHELL, E	WHEAT	0	0	0	1491#
ORCUT, J	CRT	0	0	0	0000?	SATTERLEE, D	JCASE	1	3	3	1615
OSTERLUND, R	EXCAL	5	2	1	1885	SCHATTKE, N	AMOCO	0	3	0	0000?
OTAKAN, V	FLPRO	0	1	0	0000?	SCHULMAN, R	EXMPL	2	1	0	1925C
PAKASKI, A	KEMPR	0	0	0	0000?	SCHUTE, E	MERC	0	0	0	0000?
PARA, A	FERMI	4	1	0	0000?	SCHWARTZ, M	TYROS	2	0	3	1081#
PARAOAN, E	CONBK	5	4	2	1765	SCHWARTZ, S	SEARS	2	1	4	1719C
PATEL, R	CONBK	2	3	0	1468*	SECHLER, M	WHEAT	0	0	0	0000?
PAWLUS, D	MTRLA	0	0	0	0000?	SEEGER, S	WHEAT	0	0	1	0000?
PEEL, M	WALGR	0	0	0	1902	SHACHTER, J	INDNS	0	0	0	1569
PEIJFFERS, S	INDNS	0	0	0	1990	SHAFF, R	TYROS	3	2	1	1538C
PETERS, P	FLPRO	0	3	0	1112*	SHEU, G	INDNS	3	1	0	1269*
PETWAY, L	PSTOF	3	4	0	1471*	SIEGEL, R	CONBK	5	2	2	1541
POLIZZANO, S	WHEAT	0	2	0	0000?	SIMS, B	CRT	0	1	0	0000?
POMA, D	AMOCO	0	3	0	0000?	SIWEK, M	KEMPR	4	3	1	2120
POTTS, S	WHEAT	0	1	0	0000?	SKULSKI, I	EXMPL	3	1	2	1838
POYNOR, D	WHEAT	1	2	0	0000?	SLUSSER, C	CRT	0	0	0	0000?
PUECHNER, R	KEMPR	0	2	0	0000?	SMILEY, R	AMCRP	4	0	1	1965
PULTS, J	AMOCO	2	1	2	1814*	SMITH, JM	SECST	1	4	1	1196*
PYLES, C	WHEAT	2	3	0	1294#	SNELLING, C	PSTOF	0	0	0	0000?
RADAVICIUS, E	CHRGR	5	2	2	1728C	SOMASUNDARAM, S	TYROS	0	0	0	0000?
RADEMACHER, H	MTRLA	0	0	0	0000?	SOMBONG, M	CONBK	6	4	2	1754
RADULSKI, R	TYROS	0	1	0	0000?	SOPRYCH, T	AMCRP	4	2	1	1644
RAFACZ, T	ROCKW	2	4	0	1606	SPIEGEL, L	FERMI	3	3	2	2053
RAFACZ, W	ROCKW	1	6	1	1609	SPLIT, B	MERC	0	0	0	0000?
RAVANAM, S	JCASE	0	0	0	1219*	STAMM, V	CHRGR	3	1	2	1717D

? - UNRATED

# - 5 TO 9 RATED GAMES

\* - 10 TO 24 RATED GAMES

C - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER

D - DOUBLE CENTURION

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
STEMPINSKI, P	NORTH	3	4	0	1469	VIGANTS, A	NORTH	5	1	0	1645
STEVANOVIC, M	UOP	4	1	1	2266C	VOLOSHIN, G	WALGR	0	0	0	1636#
STINSON, M	INDNS	2	0	1	2028	WALHOUT, P	WHEAT	1	3	2	2121
STOLTZ, B	TYROS	1	1	2	1933	WALKER, R	FERMI	0	0	0	1376C
STUHLBARG, D	UOP	2	2	0	1525C	WALLACH, C	MTRLA	0	1	0	2047
SUERTH, F	EXMPL	2	5	0	1562	WALLIN, R	UOP	1	0	0	1801*
SUH, G	AMOCO	0	2	2	0000?	WALSH, W	ROOKS	0	0	0	1503C
SULLIVAN, C	MERC	3	6	0	1594#	WARD, C	DRGNS	4	2	2	1621D
SULLIVAN, J	EXCAL	6	2	0	2029C	WARREN, J	CHRGR	1	0	1	2173D
SVOBODA, D	MTRLA	0	0	0	0000?	WEARY, M	MTRLA	0	0	0	0000?
SWIDERSKI, M	CHRGR	2	2	0	1351C	WEILAND, S	WALGR	0	0	0	1112#
SZAUKELLIS, W	MTRLA	1	1	0	0000?	WEISNER, T	RADIO	0	3	0	1142
TASKER, I	ROOKS	0	0	0	1453*	WEITZ, R	FLPRO	1	4	0	1838C
TATARSKY, J	KEMPR	2	4	0	1435#	WENTLING, C	AMCRP	2	3	0	1502
TAYLOR, F	WHEAT	0	4	2	1231*	WEST, R	MTRLA	3	0	0	0000?
TEGEL, F	DRGNS	3	3	2	2156D	WHITE, H	JCASE	1	6	1	1752
THOMAS, G	TYROS	2	4	2	1415	WHITSITT, S	DRGNS	1	1	1	1571*
THOMAS, J	CHRGR	2	3	1	1548C	WIENCEK, T	KNGHT	0	0	0	1239*
THOMPSON, M	AMOCO	0	0	0	1390*	WIENS, P	WHEAT	2	3	1	1387
THOMPSON, R	FLPRO	0	3	0	1044	WILLIAMS, B	WALGR	0	0	0	0000?
TOGAMI, P	JCASE	0	0	0	1173*	WINSTON, H	SECST	3	2	0	1995
TOMCHEK, W	MTRLA	0	0	0	0000?	WIRTSCHAFTER, D	ALUMN	4	1	0	2096
TRAN, D	MTRLA	0	0	0	0000?	WONG, P	EXMPL	2	3	1	2204C
TRIPURANENI, D	ROCKW	0	0	0	0000?	WYNARD, D	WHEAT	0	0	0	0000?
TRISCHUK, J	FERMI	0	0	0	0000?	WYNN, R	FLPRO	0	0	0	0000?
TROPP, W	TYROS	0	2	0	0000?	YOUNG, C	KNGHT	0	0	0	1952C
TURPIN, S	INDNS	0	2	0	0000?	ZAROMB, S	KNGHT	2	1	0	1480
TUSSING, D	KNGHT	0	0	0	0000?	ZEIDEL, J	MERC	0	2	2	1964
UNDERWOOD, W	WHEAT	1	2	0	1957C	ZHANG, L	AMOCO	0	0	0	0000?
VAN MEER, J	KEMPR	1	0	0	1901	ZIMMERMAN, T	TYROS	1	1	0	0000?
VAN ZILE, C	UOP	1	1	1	1375#	ZOELLNER, J	CONBK	3	11	2	1172
VELAYUTHAM, S	TYROS	1	1	0	1305#						

? - UNRATED

# - 5 TO 9 RATED GAMES

\* - 10 TO 24 RATED GAMES

C - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER

D - DOUBLE CENTURION