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## Editor's Notes:

The deadline for submissions to the March bulletin is March 18th. Please email submissions to Bulletin@ChicagoChessleague.org. The March issue will also include reports about the CICL in the North America Amateur Team North tournament- if you have any pictures or games you'd like to share from this event, please let us know!

Patrice Connelly, Bulletin Editor

This month, I highlight the UPSETS so far this season!!

## <White> (1945) -

Rufus,Bernard (1425)
[D02]
Rooks-BPMolex, 9-27-2010

Black makes a series of positional moves that build into real pressure.

## 1.d4 d5 2.Nf3 Bf5



## 3.Bg5

3.c4 idea Qb3 is the quick way to highlight problems with the early Bishop move, but it's not an immediate advantage if Black is careful.
3...h6 4.Bh4 Nc6 5.c3

5...Qd7 idea e6, of course
5...Nf6 is just as well; the doubled Pawns won't be a problem if White captures

## 6.Nbd2

6.e3 idea Bd 3 is another way, but I , as well, hate trading the light-squared Bishop !
6...e6 7.e3 a6

8.a4 Be7 9.Bg3 Nf6 Black has a clamp on e4, making it hard to imagine where White can break free.
10.64


If the center is closed.. flank play !
10...0-0 11.b5 Na5 12.Ne5 Qe8


## 13.Be2

13.bxa6 bxa6 makes a target at a6...
but White has one, too, at a4 !
13...Ne4 14.Nxe4 Bxe4 15.0-0 Bd6 16.f3

16...Bf5
16...Bh7 avoids an attack on this Bishop when White gets e3-e4 in. Black also is ready for $\mathrm{f} 6, \mathrm{e} 5$ once d5 is protected.
17.Nd3 allowing Black to invade
17.Re1 idea Bf 1 provides the extra attack to e4 needed to play e3-e4

## 17...Bxg3 18.hxg3 Nc4


nicely hitting two weaknesses- e3 and b5
19.Kf2

No improvement is found with
19.Qc1 axb5 20.axb5

20...Rxa1 21.Qxa1 Qxb5 (21...Nxe3)
19...axb5 20.axb5 Rxa1 21.Qxa1 Qxb5

22.Rb1 Qc6 23.Nb4 hitting Queen, but discovering against b1-Rook!
23...Qd6 24.e4

24...Bg6 see comment at move 16
24...dxe4 25.Bxc4 e3+ wins Rook, but White gets two active pieces for it

## 25.Nc2 Nb6

25...dxe4 26.Bxc4 e3+ White looks worse after 27.Kxe3
(27.Nxe3 is similar to 24..dxe4 a move ago)

## 27...Bxc2 28.Rxb7 Qxg3

26.Bd3 so now both White minors hang, whereas Black has no weaknesses (and a Pawn)
26...dxe4 27.fxe4 Qe7 28.Qa7 A poignant example of the problems with Pawn-hunting with the Queen.

29...Qg5! The holes in White defense's are hightlighted.. Qd2 is a tough threat!

## 30.Ne1 f4 31.g4

White's problems still exist after 31.Bxg6 Qxg6

hits the loose Rook 32.Rc1
(32.Ra1 Qxg3+ and Qxc3)
32...Qxg3+ 33.Ke2
(33.Kg1 Qe3+ winning Rook)
33...Qe3+ 34.Kd1 Nc4 35.Rc2

35...Nxe5! 36.Re2

(36.dxe5?? Rd8+ mating (36...Qxa7
is there, too)
36...Nc6 (36...Qxc3 37.Rxe5) 37.Qa3
(37.Qxb7 Qxc3 38.Rc2 Qxd4+;
37.Rxe3 Nxa7 38.Rxe6)

## 37...Qg3 38.Rxe6

## 31...Bxd3 32.Nxd3 Qxg4


tough threat is $\mathrm{Qg} 3+$ and a capture at d3 or c3
33.Qxb7
A) The King also needs some defence! 33.Nc5? Qg3+ 34.Ke2 whatever square, really 34 ...f3+ (34...Qxc3)
B) So maybe best is $33 . \mathrm{Ne} 1 \mathrm{Qg} 3+$
34.Kf1 (34.Kg1? f3 idea f2+ forking) 34...Qxc3 and d4 is weakened
33...Qg3+ 34.Kg1 [Knight still drops after 34.Ke2 Qe3+] 34...Qxd3 35.Rf1 Nd5 36.Qc6 Qg6 37.c4

37...f3 relentless !! 38.Rf2 Ne3 39.d5

39...Qb1+ forcing a walk on the fork side... 40.Kh2 Ng4+ 41.Kh3 Nxf2+

Thought there's a mate in there: 41...Qh1+ 42.Kxg4 Rf5 but how to cover g3 and f-file ?
42...h5+ 43.Kg3
43. Kg5 Kh7 sets up Rf5\#
(43...Rf5+ 44.Kg6)
but that is killed with 44.Qxe6

## 42.Kh2

WHITE RESIGNED...
Qh1+ really is mate in a few
$\frac{\text { 42.Kg3 Qg6+ 43.Kxf2 }}{\text { (43.Kh4 Qg4\#; }} \begin{aligned} & \text { 43.Kh2 Qxg2\#) }\end{aligned}$
43...fxg2+ shouldn't be too hard

0-1

Deichmann,Eric (1253) <Black> (1910) [C55]

BPMolex-Rooks, 27.09.2010
1.e4 e5 2.Nf3 Nc6 3.Bc4 Nf6 4.Nc3 Be7 5.0-0 0-0 6.d3 d6 7.h3 Na5

hightlighting the downside of White's plan... the key Bishop is history
8.b3 [White just loses time with 8.Bb5 a6] 8...a6 9.a4 Nxc4 10.bxc4 Bd7 11.Bb2

Must admit, White isn't missing the light-squared Bishop
11...Re8

12.Nh2 h6 13.f4 c6 14.Kh1 exf4 15.Rxf4

15...Nh7 16.Qh5 Bf6 17.Raf1 Re5

18.Qd1 Rg5 19.d4 b6 20.Nf3

20...Rg6 an odd place for a Rook in such a crowded position, but a sacrifice at h3 (say, after Qc8, Bxh3) could make some sparks !
21.e5 Be7 22.Ne4 d5 23.cxd5 cxd5 24.Nc3

24...Be6 25.Qe1 Bg5 26.Nxg5 Qxg5

26.Nxg5 Qxg5 Probably clock considerations here
[26...hxg5 idea g4 27.R4f2 g4] $1 / 2-1 / 2$

## Prokopowicz,Pete <Black> <br> [B20] <br> 9-27-2010

[Notes by Matthias Pfau]
1.e4 c5 2.b4

White offers a wing Pawn to strengthen his center
2...e6 3.bxc5 Bxc5 4.d4 Bb4+ 5.Bd2 Qe7 6.Nf3 Nc6 7.a3 Ba5 8.Bxa5 Nxa5 9.Bd3 d6


## $10 . c 3$

Better to complete development 10.Qd2 or 10.0-0
10...Nc6 11.0-0 e5

12.d5 closing the center seems contrary to the opening plan.

White's advantages are the a2-g8 diagonal and open b-file, so 12.Nbd2 idea Rb1,Qb3 with Bc4 in there
12...Nd8 13.Bb5+

13...Kf8 [13...Bd7] 14.c4 Nf6 15.Re1 a6 16.Ba4 b6 17.Nc3


Black's King placement doesn't fit in with his Queenside weaknesses. The King's-Rook will be missed.
17...Rb8
17...Nb7 18.Rb1 Qc7 19.Qb3 Na5

idea Nxc4 or Rb8, but the backrank problems remain
18.Rb1 Qc7 19.Qd3 [19.Qb3]
19...Nb7 20.Bd1 Nc5 21.Qe2 Bg4
22.h3 Bh5 23.Qc2 Bxf3 24.Bxf3

24...h5 25.Na4 Ke7 [25...Nfd7]
26.Nxc5 Qxc5 27.Qa4 a5 28.Rb5

28...Qc7 [28...Qd4] 29.c5 bxc5 [29...dxc5] 30.Rxa5 Qc8 31.Re3

> 31.Ra7+ Kf8 32.Qa5
31...Rd8 32.Be2 Kf8 $33 . f 3$ Qc7
34.Bb5

34...Nd7 35.Ra7 Nb6 hmm, looks like a tactical miscalculation (clock problem?) [35...Rb7]
36.Rxc7 Nxa4 37.Bxa4 Rb1+ 38.Kh2

38...Rc1 39.Rd7
39.Rb3 c4 40.Rbb7

is more deadly
39...Rxd7 40.Bxd7 c4 41.Ba4 c3 42.Re2

42...Ke7 [42...Ra1] 43.Rc2 Rxc2 44.Bxc2 Kd7 45.Kg1 Kc7 46.Kf2 Kb6 47.Ke3 Ka5 48.Kd3


Not seeing a White blunder which would give this win away.
48...h4 49.Kxc3 f6 50.Kd3 g5
51.Kc3 Kb6 52.a4 Ka5 53.Kb3 Kb6
54.Kb4 Kb7 55.a5 Ka6 56.Bd3+ Ka7
57.Kb5 Kb7 58.a6+ Ka7 59.Kc6


## 1-0

9.Qb3 Bb4 10.0-0 0-0


c4 can become an outpost if White doesn't have b2-b3 to cover it.

## 13.Rac1

13.a4 makes Black's Queenside Pawns targets.
13.Rfc1 keeps the option of a2-a4 and thus Black's Rac8 isn't desirable
13...Rc8 14.Rfe1 probably to overprotect Be 2 , but what else will the Rook do ?
14.Rc2 idea Rfc1 also covers e2, but then 14...Bg6

## 14...Be7


15.Bxf6 gxf6 Black apparently wants to attack down the $g$-file, but it will take some time to arrange. But the extra Pawn does have an influence in the center, and immobilizes Nf3.

## 16.Nd2 Bg6 17.Bf3

17.Bf1 keeps d3 and Queenside in view
17...Kh8 18.Ne2 Bb4 19.Red1


White could be threatening Rxc6 here 19...Qe7
19...Rg8 20.Rxc6


## 20...Rxc6

White wins a piece after 20...Bxd2 21.Rxc8 Qxc8 22.Rxd2

idea Rd1-c1 or a4
21.Qxb4 idea Nb3-c5 and I'd expect the extra minor piece to have its say.. but it would be interesting to see if Black can finally make use of the gfile.
20.a3 Bxd2 21.Rxd2 Na5 22.Qa2 Nc4

23.Rdd1 Rg8 24.Nf4 Bf5 25.g4


My reaction was "this can't be good, helping opponent open lines to the King", but watch what happens...
25...Qd8 [25...Qf8 idea Qh6] 26.Kf1 e5 I wouldn't open the center with an attack on the flank !
26...Be4 trades away a key defender and prepares f6-f5
27.dxe5 fxe5 28.Nxd5


## 28...Qh4 29.gxf5 e4

29...Qxh3+ attacks the loose Bishop, but $30 . \mathrm{Ke} 2$

30...Nd2 hitting Bf3 31.Rxc8 (31.Rxd2 Rxc1)
31...Qxf3+ 32.Kxd2 Qxf2+ 33.Kd3 Rxc8

idea Qc2\# 34.Nc3
30.Bxe4 forced
30.Bg2 Rxg2 31.Kxg2 Rg8+ 32.Kf1 Qxh3+ 33.Ke2
(33.Ke1?? Rg1+ 34.Ke2 Qf3\#)
33...Qf3+ 34.Kf1
(34.Ke1 Rg1\#)
34...Qh1+ draws
30...Qxe4 31.Nf6

31...Nxe3+

Black apparently missed a kill here! 31...Qf3!

A) 32.Rd3 Nxe3+ 33.Rxe3 Rxc1+ 34.Re1 Qd3\#
B) $32 . \mathrm{Nxg8} \mathrm{Nxe3}+33 . \mathrm{Ke} 1$

(33.Kg1 Qg2\#) 33...Rc2!! 34.Rxc2 Qxd1\#
C) $32 . \operatorname{Re} 1 \mathrm{Nd} 2 \#$
D) 32.Rxc4 to stop Nxe3+ 32...Qxd1\#
32.Ke2 Qe5 33.Nd7 Qxf5 34.Rxc8 Rxc8 35.fxe3


## 35...Rg8

Even here, Black can survive
35...Rc2+ 36.Rd2 (36.Ke1?? Qf2\#)
36...Rxd2+ 37.Kxd2 Qxd7+
36.Qd5! covering g2 while practically forcing a Queen trade
36...Qxh3 37.Qe5+ Rg7 38.Qe8+ Rg8 39.Qe5+ Rg7

40.Rg1 f6 41.Qe8+


White found a nice finish, didn't he ?? 1-0

Reich,Tom (1731) <Black>
[D18]
BP/Molex-Tyros, 11-17-2010
1.d4 d5 2.Nf3 Nf6 3.c4 c6 4.Nc3 dxc4 5.a4 Bf5 6.e3

6...Nbd7 7.Bxc4 e6 8.Nh4 Bg4


## 9.Be2

White could continue normal Slav goals with 9.Qc2 preparing e3-e4 and/or h3,g4 Or, following the game's plan $\underline{9 . . . e 5}$
(9...g5 10.h3 (10.Nf3 Bf5 11.e4) 10...Bh5 11.Nf3 Bg6 12.e4 h6)
10.h3 Bh5 11.g4 Bg6 12.Nxg6 hxg6

13.dxe5 (13.d5)
9...Bxe2 10.Qxe2 e5 11.0-0 Be7 12.Rd1

12...exd4 13.exd4
[13.Rxd4 avoids the isolate]
13...0-0 14.Bg5 Re8 15.Nf5 Bf8 16.Qf3

16...Qa5 looking toward the weak Knight and Bishop on the 5th rank 17.h4 Re6 18.Ne3 Nb6

19.d5 Nbxd5 20.Ncxd5 Nxd5
21.Nxd5 cxd5 22.Qxd5

22...Qb4 23.Qd2 Qg4 24.Qf4 Qe2
25.Rd2 Qa6


## 26.Rad1

It was amusing that chasing the Queen has allowed White to double Rooks... but what's their target?
26...h6 27.Bd8 Be7 28.Bxe7 Rxe7 29.Rd8+ Rxd8 30.Rxd8+ Kh7 31.Qf5+

31...Qg6
31...g6 opens the Queen's reach to h8, if White can get her on the long diagonal. 32.Qf4 idea Qd4
(32. Qf3 idea Qc3 32...Qc4)
32...Qb6 33.Qd2 idea Qc3 33...Qc5 34.b4 Qe5
32.Qc8

now White is avoiding the Queen trade!
32...Qb1+ 33.Kh2 Qxb2 34.Rh8+ Kg6 35.Qg4+ Kf6 36.Qf4+ Ke6 37.Qe4+

37...Kf6
37...Kd6?? 38.Rd8+ Rd7 (38...Kc7 39. Qxe7+) 39.Qd3+ idea Qxd7+
$1 / 2-1 / 2$

Eustace, Dan (1556) <Black> (1824)
[D08]
Dragons-Tyros, 1-27-2011
1.d4 d5 2.c4 e5 3.dxe5 d4 4.a3 Nc6 5.Nf3 Bf5


## $6 . e 3$

More standard is 6.93 looking to the Queenside, where Black usually castles
6...f6
6...Qe7 7.exd4 0-0-0 (7...f6)
7.exd4
7.exf6 Nxf6 only accelerates Black's development and claims the key e4 square
7...fxe5 8.d5

8...e4 9.dxc6 I wouldn't allow my center to disintegrate

## 9.Nd4 Nxd4 10.Qxd4


threatens Qe5+ forking10...Qf6 is interesting, but White has a solid Pawn plus
9...Qxd1+ 10.Kxd1 exf3 11.cxb7
Rb8


## 12.Nd2 Bc5

12...fxg2 13.Bxg2 helps White maintain the b7-Pawn
13.Nxf3 Nf6 [13...Bxf2] 14.Be3 Bxe3 15.fxe3 0-0


White is three Pawns up, but the b7 and e3 ones are going to take work to hold. So Black isn't yet too far away.
16. Be 2 Ng 4 two threats here, right ? 17.Kd2 Rfd8+ 18.Kc3 Nxe3

19.Nd4 Be4 20.Bf3 Bxb7 21.Bxb7 Rxb7 22.g3


White should have a hard time making his extra Pawn felt
22...c5 23.Ne6 Rdb8 Active pieces are most important !
23...Rc8 is just plain an ugly job for a Rook
24.b4 cxb4+ 25.axb4 Rb6

I prefer 25...Rxb4 26.Rxa7 Rxc4+
27.Kd3

27...Rb3+ gives Black time to defend g7 28.Ke2
(28.Kd2?? Rc2+ 29.Ke1 Rb1\#)
28...Rc2+ White is forced into a discovery 29.Kf3

but where can the Knight go to take advantage?

## 26.Nd4 Rxb4 27.Rxa7 Rxc4+ 28.Kd3



Compared to analysis at move 25, here White's Knight stops Rb3+, but Black also doesn't seem to need worry about his King like then.
28...Re8 29.Rb1 Now White's pieces go hyperactive!
29.Re1? trying to take advantage of the unprotected Rook at e8 29...Rxd4+! 30.Kxd4 Nc2+ Black nets
a piece!
29...Rc5 30.Rbb7


The old chess adage "possession of the 7th rank is worth a Pawn" is now demonstrated.
30...Rg5 31.Ne6! Highlightling a backrank issue 31...Rd5+ 32.Kxe3 Re5+ 33.Kf4 R5xe6 34.Rxg7+

34...Kh8 [34...Kf8?? 35.Raf7\#] 35.Rxh7+ Kg8 36.h4

and White magically has a won ending. Easiest theme is to force a Rook trade (or two).

## 36...Re4+ 37.Kf3 Re3+ 38.Kg2 Re2+

 39.Kh3

## 39...Re1 40.h5 Rb1



## 41.Kg2

and here's such a chance! 41.Rag7+ Kf8 42.Rh8+ Kxg7 43.Rxe8;

But White doesn't need to dodge a check: 41.h6 Rh1+42.Kg2
41...Rc1 I missed White's reply as well! 42.h6! g7 is protected, so there's a mate 42...Rc2+ 43.Kh3 Rec8

44.Rag7+ Kf8 45.Rh8\# Nicely done !! 1-0

## Brain Teaser!:

The following problem was submitted by Matthias Pfau and Vlatko Primorac of the Citadel Team. The following position was reached by Vlatko in an online game he played at chess.com against an anonymous opponent.

White to play and win:
(52) problem

FICS u 212
Diagram


To find the solution one has to realize
a) Black will queen his b pawn
b) White has to pick up a pawn to let it run after a queen trade
c) White has to make sure he can force a queen trade after black queens

Then it becomes clear for this to work the black king has to be on a1, white has to check from a3, this he can do only if he picks up the c5 pawn...

Here some lines that demonstrate the win:

## 41.Qf6 b2 42.Qf2 Ka1 (...Kb3) 43.Qxc5 Diagram


43...b1Q 44.Qa3+ Qa2 45.Qxa2+ Kxa2 46.c5 *

If $43 . .$. Ka2 44. Qb5 b1=Q 45. Qxb1+ Kxb1 46. c5 Kb2 47. c6 Kb3 48. c7 Kxa4 49. c8=Q*

# Chess Stories: When the Unthinkable Happens 

Submitted by Robert Eaman (AMARS)
"You can discover more about a person in an hour of play than in a year of conversation." - Plato

Competitiveness can bring out the best in people, but it can also occasionally bring out the worst. In over 10 years of CICL play, almost half of those as a team captain, I cannot recall any opponent or teammate making a single accusation of cheating. In CICL play, high levels of sportsmanship, fairness, and camaraderie have been the norm in almost all cases. And sportsmanship above and beyond the norm has been regularly evident.

But chess in other venues can be considerably different in nature. In a local area tournament last summer I played a game where the unthinkable happened.

I sat down to a game across from an experienced adult chess player (I'll call him "JR") whose wife and child occasionally stopped by to watch our game. We sat down amicably and played the first 16 moves without incident. However, on move 17, JR moved his bishop, took his hand off the bishop, and while he reached for the clock, realized he had left the bishop hanging. JR then picked up the piece and moved it to a safer location, and then pressed the clock. Nobody saw this happen except the two of us, as our game was at the end of a long table, and there was nobody nearby.

Baffled, I immediately informed JR that what he'd just done was not allowed. His response was "What? There's nothing wrong with that. Let's just play." I argued with him for a moment, but he continued to state that we should "Just play. Don't worry about it." I finally stopped the clocks and went looking for a tournament director.

When the TD arrived, the TD asked both of us for our versions of what happened. I told mine, and then JR said that nothing had happened: he picked up his bishop and put it down where it currently sat. When I heard him say this, I told JR he was lying. JR continued to deny what had happened, and the TD was finally left to make a decision. Unfortunately, due to the lack of honesty from JR, and lack of a 3rd-party witness, we had to let the bishop stay where it now was.

I was furious and baffled. In 30+ years of playing chess, l'd never seen this sort of behavior from an adult. It was bad enough to cheat, but to lie about it as well? I knew intuitively that this decision needed to stand, but now I was upset, and JR was no doubt upset too. But the game had to go on.

My position was slightly stronger at that point, but the emotional toll of this argument led us both to misplay the position as we went on. Worse yet, around move 60, I made a mistake, and I discovered that JR had the chance to deliver mate-in-four with accurate play (if inaccurate, I could intercede with a rook and then equalize). I was now fully despondent. However, as Caissa taketh, Caissa giveth back: JR missed the urgency of the move order, and I was able to equalize. Reinvigorated, I went on to ultimately win the game.

At the game's conclusion, there were no words spoken. I swallowed my desire to tell JR how horribly he'd acted, or to tell his family what incredible lack of character he'd displayed. The result stood. But I did keep an eye on JR as the tournament went on. Ultimately, he finished out of the money, and I came in 4th in my section. Justice was served, and I learned a valuable lesson about maintaining composure in the face of the
unthinkable.
I have subsequently been delighted to come back to the camaraderie and sportsmanship of my CICL team matches. Chess can be a community if you let it, and the CICL's chess community has always represented-to me-some of the best in chess.


## April 9, 2011 Downers Grove Swiss \#7

4-SS G/61 plus 5 sec delay. Fairview Village, 200 Village Drive, Downers Grove, IL
IM Florin Felecan, NM Mariano Acosta, and NM Trevor Magness are playing in this event.

Early Entry fee is $\mathbf{\$ 2 0}$, if you preregister and payment is received by April 4th. After that EF is \$25.

Prizes: 70\% of EFs will be returned as cash prizes. Book prizes for best u1800, u1600, and upset.

Pre-registration: maximum number of players is 50 , advanced registration is advised. Send mail with
your name, phone number, USCF id number and a check payable to "Brian Smith" to:
Brian Smith
483 Nantucket Road
Naperville, IL 60565
Onsite registration: 8:30 to 8:50 a.m. If paying at the door, pay cash only (no checks).
First Round: 9:00 a.m. Clocks and sets provided. Daniel Parmet is the TD.
Email questions to brs483@att.net More info at http://sites.google.com/site/downersgrovechess

USCF rated, USCF membership required. Players may take a half point bye in any round except the last round. A half point bye must be requested before the round starts. Zero point bye only in final round. Free parking, but park only in a space marked for visitors. " 200 Village Drive" is the "Village Apartments" building. Enter its main entrance, and tell receptionist you are there for the chess tournament. Multiple sections possible. Junior players (under sixteen years) rated 900+ are welcome, and must be accompanied by a parent throughout the day. Sorry, but we do not accept junior players rated under 900.

| Team | Player | W | L | D | Rating <br> Change | Rating | Rated <br> Games | CICL <br> Games | Rating <br> Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

AMARS

|  | BROCK, B | 3 | 1 | 1 | -13 | 1998 | 13 | 5 | Provisional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ALLEN,H | 1 | 1 | 0 | -6 | 1795 | 117 | 123 | Centurian |
|  | EAMAN,R | 1 | 0 | 4 | -8 | 1789 | 112 | 112 | Centurian |
|  | FRANK,M | 2 | 2 | 0 | -42 | 1703 | 129 | 155 | Centurian |
|  | DUFFY,J | 2 | 1 | 2 | $-38$ | 1595 | 94 | 107 | Centurian |
|  | ACEVEDO,U | 4 | 1 | 0 | +24 | 1553 | 25 | 26 | Provisional |
|  | FULKERSON,R | 2 | 0 | 0 | +13 | 1481 | 48 | 67 | Established |
|  | IRBY,L | 1 | 0 | 0 | +52 | 1123 | 12 | 22 | Provisional |
| AMATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HARRIS, M | 2 | 2 | 0 | -23 | 1860 | 13 | 13 | Provisional |
|  | FURTNER,F | 2 | 2 | 3 | +25 | 1517 | 61 | 65 | Established |
|  | MEYER-ABBOTT,B | 0 | 1 | 1 | +21 | 1429 | 24 | 27 | Provisional |
|  | SOVA,ANDREW | 0 | 1 | 0 | -59 | 1390 | 5 | 5 | New |
|  | MASITI, J | 2 | 1 | 0 | +13 | 1358 | 20 | 27 | Provisional |
|  | FARMER,B | 1 | 0 | 0 | +51 | 1356 | 7 | 7 | New |
|  | KOLLI, S | 1 | 3 | 0 | -33 | 1336 | 11 | 13 | Provisional |
|  | MEHDI,SYED | 1 | 0 | 0 | +58 | 1310 | 6 | 9 | New |
|  | MEYER,C | 0 | 3 | 0 | -78 | 1164 | 12 | 27 | Provisional |
|  | HARPER,M | 0 | 6 | 0 | -90 | 1154 | 21 | 32 | Provisional |
|  | BAROT,N | 0 | 2 | 1 | $-132$ | 968 | 4 | 4 | New |
|  | PATELLA, C | 0 | 0 | 1 | +49 | 952 | 5 | 5 | New |

Note: Only playoff eligible players are shown (those with at least 1 win, 1 draw, or 1 non-forfeit loss).
Note 2: Players may have slightly more Rated Games than CICL Games, due to their Initial Rating Source.
Saturday, February 12, 2011

Player
W L D Rating Rating
Rated
CICL
Rating Change Games Games Class

BCBS

|  | KHAN,M | 1 | 2 | 1 | -11 | 1817 | 20 | 16 | Provisional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BRUNO,R | 2 | 1 | 1 | -8 | 1601 | 21 | 16 | Provisional |
|  | GUIDRY,D | 1 | 2 | 2 | -48 | 1574 | 11 | 5 | Provisional |
|  | GUIU,C | 2 | 2 | O | +16 | 1560 | 18 | 16 | Provisional |
|  | SAN MIGUEL,L | 2 | 1 | 2 | -43 | 1528 | 14 | 15 | Provisional |
|  | STURGEON,V | 1 | 2 | 0 | -70 | 1487 | 6 | 3 | New |
|  | BURGESS,A | 3 | 2 | O | -58 | 1468 | 16 | 17 | Provisional |
|  | WONG,A | 3 | 0 | o | +125 | 1425 | 4 | 3 | New |
|  | MATA,S | 5 | 1 | 0 | +100 | 1415 | 17 | 19 | Provisional |
|  | LACHOWIEC,S | 0 | 1 | 0 | -309 | 1291 | 2 | 1 | New |
|  | PETTIS,D | 1 | 1 | 0 | +10 | 1210 | 4 | 2 | New |
| BPMLX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | REICH,T | 1 | 3 | 1 | +2 | 1731 | 63 | 69 | Established |
|  | ZADEREJ,V | 1 | 3 | 0 | +10 | 1657 | 69 | 70 | Established |
|  | HENDRICKSON,B | 0 | 1 | 0 | -10 | 1522 | 59 | 57 | Established |
|  | MCGOWAN,D | 0 | 2 | 1 | -15 | 1474 | 62 | 65 | Established |
|  | RUFUS,B | 1 | 1 | 0 | +38 | 1463 | 37 | 41 | Established |
|  | DEICHMANN,E | 0 | 2 | 2 | +27 | 1280 | 59 | 68 | Established |
|  | MANILA, M | 1 | 3 | 0 | +8 | 1130 | 42 | 51 | Established |
|  | MUELLER,R | 0 | 2 | 0 | -12 | 1031 | 19 | 31 | Provisional |
|  | AREND,A | 0 | 4 | 0 | O | 800 | 7 | 7 | New |

Note: Only playoff eligible players are shown (those with at least 1 win, 1 draw, or 1 non-forfeit loss).
Note 2: Players may have slightly more Rated Games than CICL Games, due to their Initial Rating Source.
Saturday, February 12, 2011

Player
W L D Rating Rating
Rated
CICL
Rating Change Games Games Class

## CITGR

|  | PFAU,M | 11 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 2094 | 37 | 32 | Established |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ONG,K | 9 | 2 | 1 | +30 | 1797 | 58 | 57 | Established |
|  | KUNHIRAMAN,P | 6 | 5 | 1 | -57 | 1536 | 19 | 26 | Provisional |
|  | PRIMORAC,V | 7 | 4 | 2 | +144 | 1512 | 20 | 26 | Provisional |
|  | SENSAT,J | 6 | 4 | 2 | +22 | 1511 | 68 | 79 | Established |
|  | LE,DUC | 3 | 5 | 1 | $-78$ | 1447 | 61 | 70 | Established |
|  | LARSEN FREEMAN,T | 1 | 0 | O | +77 | 1377 | 3 | 1 | New |
|  | PROKOPOWICZ,P | 4 | 7 | 0 | +103 | 1239 | 23 | 31 | Provisional |
|  | PARRA,J | 2 | 7 | 0 | +34 | 1178 | 16 | 28 | Provisional |
|  | SHEVCHUK,E | 0 | 7 | 0 | -601 | 1144 | 8 | 8 | New |
| DGCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | KLUG,S | 3 | 0 | 2 | +41 | 2166 | 42 | 35 | Established |
|  | BUNGO,G | 5 | 0 | 1 | +102 | 2100 | 18 | 13 | Provisional |
|  | EGERTON,J | 1 | 1 | 1 | -16 | 2025 | 47 | 41 | Established |
|  | DUGOVIC,D | 2 | 0 | 1 | +34 | 1894 | 23 | 20 | Provisional |
|  | WAKERLY,R | 2 | 0 | 2 | -8 | 1855 | 31 | 28 | Established |
|  | POTTS,K | 2 | 2 | 1 | -6 | 1846 | 34 | 33 | Established |
|  | TAN,GADDIEL | 3 | 0 | 1 | +58 | 1830 | 18 | 19 | Provisional |
|  | PARMET,D | 2 | 1 | 0 | -19 | 1752 | 21 | 17 | Provisional |
|  | YALAVARTHI,R | 1 | 1 | 1 | -14 | 1722 | 18 | 16 | Provisional |
|  | MANEY,A | 1 | 1 | 0 | -58 | 1644 | 7 | 9 | New |
|  | SMITH,BR | 1 | O | O | +2 | 1614 | 140 | 177 | Centurian |
|  | VECANSKI,D | O | 1 | 0 | -47 | 1581 | 18 | 17 | Provisional |
|  | ROMANOWITZ,C | 4 | O | 0 | +116 | 1439 | 13 | 10 | Provisional |

Note: Only playoff eligible players are shown (those with at least 1 win, 1 draw, or 1 non-forfeit loss).
Note 2: Players may have slightly more Rated Games than CICL Games, due to their Initial Rating Source.
Saturday, February 12, 2011

Team
Player
W L D Rating Rating
Rated
CICL
Rating Change Games Games Class

DRGNS

|  | TEGEL,F | 0 | 2 | 1 | -24 | 2026 | 418 | 456 | Quadruple Centurian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LUDWIG,T | 2 | 3 | 1 | -4 | 1973 | 211 | 210 | Double Centurian |
|  | MARCOWKA,R | 1 | 4 | O | -32 | 1855 | 314 | 337 | Triple Centurian |
|  | PARKER,L | 1 | 1 | 1 | -6 | 1734 | 80 | 86 | Established |
|  | EUSTACE,D | 1 | 4 | 0 | +4 | 1556 | 184 | 226 | Double Centurian |
|  | THOMAS, J | 1 | 2 | 0 | -3 | 1490 | 240 | 293 | Double Centurian |
|  | KOMORAVOLU,K | 1 | 2 | 0 | +9 | 1452 | 49 | 58 | Established |
|  | STAMM,V | 2 | 3 | 0 | -15 | 1416 | 355 | 381 | Triple Centurian |
|  | BREYER,A | 3 | 2 | 0 | $+32$ | 1355 | 63 | 72 | Established |
| DRW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HAYHURST,W | 3 | 0 | O | +14 | 1944 | 87 | 85 | Established |
|  | GORODETSKIY,E | 3 | 0 | 0 | +13 | 1852 | 29 | 26 | Established |
|  | GORODETSKIY,S | 3 | O | O | +11 | 1809 | 62 | 58 | Established |
|  | GUGENHEIM,O | 2 | 0 | 1 | +9 | 1590 | 25 | 25 | Provisional |
|  | CAPUTO,W | 2 | 0 | 0 | +71 | 1552 | 3 | 3 | New |
|  | BEDER,B | 1 | 2 | 1 | -127 | 1522 | 13 | 15 | Provisional |
|  | REDMOND,M | 3 | 1 | 0 | -26 | 1487 | 18 | 22 | Provisional |
|  | KOMBLEVITZ,A | 0 | 2 | 1 | $-72$ | 1124 | 8 | 8 | New |

## EXCLB

| HART,V | 3 | 3 | 0 | -15 | 2136 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEE, D | 2 | 4 | 1 | -18 | 1885 |
| DOWELL,E | 3 | 1 | 2 | +53 | 1801 |
| ENGELEN,M | 2 | 5 | 0 | -36 | 1755 |
| WEITZ,R | 2 | 0 | 1 | +22 | 1614 |
| REID, C | 4 | 0 | 0 | +72 | 1547 |
| VIGANTS,A | 3 | 2 | 0 | +6 | 1538 |
| SUERTH,F | 0 | 1 | 0 | -20 | 1491 |
| SIEGEL,R | 1 | 1 | 0 | +9 | 1488 |


| 39 | 33 | Established |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 53 | 51 | Established |
| 52 | 58 | Established |
| 60 | 61 | Established |
| 211 | 231 | Double Centurian |
| 224 | 272 | Double Centurian |
| 173 | 187 | Centurian |
| 205 | 245 | Double Centurian |
| 123 | 154 | Centurian |

Note: Only playoff eligible players are shown (those with at least 1 win, 1 draw, or 1 non-forfeit loss).
Note 2: Players may have slightly more Rated Games than CICL Games, due to their Initial Rating Source.
Saturday, February 12, 2011

Rating Rating
Rated Games

CICL Games

Rating Class

## FERMI

|  | SERGATSKOV,D | 3 | 2 | 1 | -13 | 2031 | 25 | 26 | Provisional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SPIEGEL,L | 3 | 2 | 1 | -15 | 1951 | 313 | 340 | Triple Centurian |
|  | GAINES,I | 3 | 1 | 1 | +30 | 1744 | 316 | 343 | Triple Centurian |
|  | STAPLES, C | 1 | 0 | 1 | +16 | 1609 | 92 | 105 | Centurian |
|  | DEGRAFF,B | 1 | 2 | 1 | -24 | 1536 | 50 | 54 | Established |
|  | STRAIN,D | 3 | 0 | 2 | +197 | 1509 | 11 | 6 | Provisional |
|  | MHASHILKAR,P | 2 | 2 | 1 | -148 | 1252 | 7 | 5 | New |
|  | DRENDEL,B | 0 | 2 | 0 | -35 | 958 | 11 | 14 | Provisional |
| FORKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | INUMERABLE,F | 0 | 1 | 1 | -10 | 2181 | 189 | 191 | Centurian |
|  | FRISKE, T | 4 | 1 | 0 | +16 | 1986 | 204 | 220 | Double Centurian |
|  | SANTIAGO,T | 2 | 2 | 0 | -8 | 1981 | 135 | 144 | Centurian |
|  | LEVENSON,S | 2 | 1 | 2 | -2 | 1949 | 55 | 52 | Established |
|  | SOLLANO,E | 2 | 2 | 1 | -26 | 1918 | 163 | 178 | Centurian |
|  | BIALON,D | 0 | 1 | 0 | -26 | 1724 | 34 | 34 | Established |
|  | HAMELINK,N | 2 | 1 | 0 | +1 | 1687 | 33 | 39 | Established |
|  | PERSONS,J | 1 | 0 | 0 | +21 | 1580 | 20 | 27 | Provisional |
|  | HUGHES,N | 1 | 2 | 1 | -48 | 1564 | 194 | 211 | Double Centurian |
|  | BIAN,M | 3 | 0 | 0 | +57 | 1483 | 15 | 18 | Provisional |

Note: Only playoff eligible players are shown (those with at least 1 win, 1 draw, or 1 non-forfeit loss).
Note 2: Players may have slightly more Rated Games than CICL Games, due to their Initial Rating Source.
Saturday, February 12, 2011

Rated
CICL
Rating Change

GAMBT

|  | CIULLA,S | 0 | 1 | 0 | -228 | 1748 | 2 | 2 | New |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GHAIBEH,AYMAN | 0 | 3 | 0 | -25 | 1679 | 10 | 11 | Provisional |
|  | GILBERT,S | 0 | 1 | 1 | +18 | 1618 | 4 | 2 | New |
|  | DOLGIN,A | 0 | 2 | 0 | -195 | 1563 | 5 | 5 | New |
|  | KRISHNAMURTHY,S | 0 | 3 | 0 | -12 | 1556 | 10 | 10 | Provisional |
|  | MITCHELL, D | 0 | 3 | 0 | -55 | 1495 | 10 | 10 | Provisional |
|  | MOORE,A | 0 | 3 | O | -84 | 1428 | 5 | 5 | New |
|  | STILES,J | 1 | 1 | 1 | +83 | 1258 | 6 | 7 | New |
|  | NIAZI,S | o | 1 | o | -17 | 1208 | 3 | 1 | New |
|  | ALI, F | 0 | 1 | 0 | -32 | 1168 | 3 | 1 | New |
|  | MOSLEY,A | O | 5 | O | -9 | 925 | 12 | 12 | Provisional |
| HEDGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RAUCHMAN,M | 2 | O | 1 | +10 | 2028 | 55 | 57 | Established |
|  | SMITH, M | 5 | 0 | 1 | +34 | 1970 | 63 | 58 | Established |
|  | JASAITIS,A | 3 | 1 | 3 | -34 | 1915 | 281 | 308 | Triple Centurian |
|  | SHEPARDSON,T | 4 | 2 | O | 0 | 1612 | 21 | 23 | Provisional |
|  | KRATKA,M | 2 | 1 | 0 | -2 | 1574 | 68 | 76 | Established |
|  | CHAVEZ,A | 4 | 0 | 2 | +99 | 1453 | 19 | 32 | Provisional |
|  | NICHOLS, P | 2 | O | O | +133 | 1333 | 4 | 2 | New |
|  | COOMBES,N | 2 | 1 | 0 | +2 | 1301 | 12 | 15 | Provisional |
|  | GALE,M | 1 | 1 | 0 | -87 | 1233 | 4 | 2 | New |
|  | FINE,A | 2 | 4 | 0 | -34 | 1166 | 8 | 6 | New |
|  | CROSBY,N | 1 | 2 | 0 | -9 | 1036 | 10 | 9 | Provisional |
|  | MCENEANY,T | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 994 | 9 | 8 | Provisional |
|  | RORVICK, C | 0 | 1 | 0 | -34 | 968 | 7 | 7 | New |

Note: Only playoff eligible players are shown (those with at least 1 win, 1 draw, or 1 non-forfeit loss).
Note 2: Players may have slightly more Rated Games than CICL Games, due to their Initial Rating Source.
Saturday, February 12, 2011

Rated
CICL Games Games

Rating Class

JJCCC


Note: Only playoff eligible players are shown (those with at least 1 win, 1 draw, or 1 non-forfeit loss).
Note 2: Players may have slightly more Rated Games than CICL Games, due to their Initial Rating Source.
Saturday, February 12, 2011

Player
W L D
Rating Rating
Rated
CICL
Rating Change Games Games Class

MKNGT

|  | FRIDMAN,Y | 5 | 0 | 1 | +23 | 2253 | 133 | 140 | Centurian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MORRIS,R | 5 | 0 | 1 | +7 | 2227 | 135 | 137 | Centurian |
|  | THOMSON,J | 5 | 0 | 1 | +30 | 2012 | 141 | 166 | Centurian |
|  | BALICKI,J | 2 | 1 | 3 | +10 | 1804 | 140 | 166 | Centurian |
|  | CHERKASSKY,G | 3 | 1 | O | +16 | 1754 | 47 | 54 | Established |
|  | KARANDIKAR,S | 0 | 0 | 1 | -5 | 1651 | 74 | 91 | Established |
|  | BABINEC,J | 1 | 2 | 1 | +31 | 1382 | 31 | 39 | Established |
| NWEST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | KRAVIK,P | O | 1 | 0 | -29 | 1774 | 9 | 7 | Provisional |
|  | SHEKHTMAN,L | 0 | 2 | O | -34 | 1767 | 8 | 2 | New |
|  | GAFNI,P | 1 | 1 | 1 | +48 | 1718 | 17 | 16 | Provisional |
|  | MODI,P | 0 | 0 | 2 | -14 | 1714 | 8 | 2 | New |
|  | LOU,J | 2 | 0 | 0 | +206 | 1495 | 7 | 7 | New |
|  | GINZBERG,D | 1 | 1 | 0 | +36 | 1417 | 8 | 2 | New |
|  | KIM, C | 1 | 0 | 0 | +116 | 1316 | 3 | 1 | New |
|  | WEINFELD, M | 1 | 1 | 0 | +98 | 1298 | 4 | 2 | New |
|  | WU,S | 0 | 1 | 0 | -21 | 1179 | 3 | 1 | New |
|  | BABCOCK,S | 0 | 2 | O | -33 | 1067 | 4 | 2 | New |

Note: Only playoff eligible players are shown (those with at least 1 win, 1 draw, or 1 non-forfeit loss).
Note 2: Players may have slightly more Rated Games than CICL Games, due to their Initial Rating Source.

## Saturday, February 12, 2011

Player
W L D Rating Rating
Rated
CICL
Rating Change Games Games Class

## PAWNS

| KRAS, T | 0 | 1 | 0 | -7 | 2142 | 113 | 115 | Centurian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAVENEY,J | 0 | 2 | 2 | -55 | 2067 | 22 | 16 | Provisional |
| KORENMAN,M | 2 | 2 | 2 | +27 | 1945 | 12 | 7 | Provisional |
| LATIMER, E | 0 | 2 | 3 | -47 | 1942 | 300 | 332 | Triple Centurian |
| HOLLAWAY,M | 2 | 2 | 2 | -44 | 1756 | 15 | 10 | Provisional |
| EDEUS,D | 2 | 1 | 2 | +6 | 1705 | 18 | 13 | Provisional |
| KUKURUZA,V | 3 | O | 1 | +69 | 1699 | 16 | 14 | Provisional |
| FABIJONAS,R | 1 | 1 | 1 | -53 | 1452 | 341 | 379 | Triple Centurian |
| HARPER, C | 3 | 1 | 2 | +2 | 1445 | 12 | 6 | Provisional |
| SPITZIG,M | 1 | 0 | 0 | +25 | 1359 | 34 | 39 | Established |

ROOKS

| BENEDEK,R | 2 | 2 | 1 | -2 | 2095 | 374 | 387 | Triple Centurian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HILL, R | 1 | 3 | 1 | -23 | 1922 | 235 | 247 | Double Centurian |
| SUAREZ,E | 2 | 1 | 1 | -8 | 1902 | 124 | 124 | Centurian |
| BAURAC,D | 3 | 1 | 1 | +24 | 1710 | 308 | 345 | Triple Centurian |
| DECMAN,S | 0 | 3 | 1 | -11 | 1535 | 273 | 305 | Triple Centurian |
| GWEKOH,R | 1 | 1 | 0 | +47 | 1355 | 9 | 9 | Provisional |
| RAMANATHAN,N | 2 | 0 | 0 | +6 | 1256 | 18 | 25 | Provisional |
| HLOHOWSKYJ,I | 0 | 3 | 0 | -15 | 1039 | 22 | 32 | Provisional |
| SUKAMAR,N | 1 | 3 | 0 | -88 | 858 | 4 | 5 | New |

Note: Only playoff eligible players are shown (those with at least 1 win, 1 draw, or 1 non-forfeit loss).
Note 2: Players may have slightly more Rated Games than CICL Games, due to their Initial Rating Source.
Saturday, February 12, 2011

Rated
CICL Games Games

Rating Class

## STCCC

|  | MARSHALL,J | 1 | 2 | O | -7 | 2258 | 58 | 53 | Established |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACOSTA,M | 1 | 0 | 1 | -3 | 2169 | 21 | 14 | Provisional |
|  | WIEWEL,J | 1 | 1 | 1 | -10 | 2140 | 76 | 70 | Established |
|  | FREIDEL, P | 1 | 0 | 0 | +9 | 1970 | 53 | 50 | Established |
|  | SUITS,J | 3 | 1 | O | +19 | 1877 | 62 | 59 | Established |
|  | VON HATTEN,J | 4 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 1810 | 33 | 30 | Established |
|  | AILES, T | 1 | 0 | 0 | +1 | 1792 | 41 | 42 | Established |
|  | SMITH, D | 2 | 1 | 0 | -7 | 1618 | 21 | 18 | Provisional |
|  | KOLB,S | 3 | 1 | 0 | +35 | 1609 | 10 | 6 | Provisional |
|  | PADILLA,R | 2 | O | 1 | +14 | 1594 | 31 | 31 | Established |
|  | GIERTZ, C | 1 | O | O | O | 1474 | 3 | 4 | New |
|  | CRISSMAN,J | 1 | O | 0 | +42 | 1463 | 7 | 2 | New |
|  | BOURIS,B | 0 | 1 | 0 | -51 | 1206 | 6 | 6 | New |
| SXUCT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CELENTANO,A | 1 | 1 | 0 | +21 | 1870 | 15 | 10 | Provisional |
|  | JACKSON,WILLIAM | 0 | 4 | 1 | -2 | 1376 | 25 | 28 | Provisional |
|  | SARNA,J | 1 | 3 | 1 | -56 | 1370 | 11 | 5 | Provisional |
|  | ABNEY,I | 2 | 3 | 0 | +39 | 1227 | 22 | 25 | Provisional |
|  | BRANNON,M | 3 | 3 | O | +118 | 1168 | 7 | 6 | New |
|  | ONWUMAH,I | 1 | 3 | 1 | +37 | 1161 | 13 | 14 | Provisional |
|  | MCDONNELL,B | 1 | 3 | O | +49 | 992 | 14 | 14 | Provisional |
|  | SINOPLE,J | O | 2 | O | -4 | 859 | 20 | 21 | Provisional |

Note: Only playoff eligible players are shown (those with at least 1 win, 1 draw, or 1 non-forfeit loss).
Note 2: Players may have slightly more Rated Games than CICL Games, due to their Initial Rating Source.
Saturday, February 12, 2011

Player
W L D Rating Rating
Rated
CICL
Rating Change Games Games Class

## TT

|  | HUNTER,JOSH | 0 | 4 | 1 | O | 1457 | 7 | 5 | New |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KHLUS, V | 1 | 5 | 0 | -27 | 1423 | 8 | 6 | New |
|  | GOTTEMOLLER,A | 2 | 3 | O | +214 | 1264 | 7 | 5 | New |
|  | SCHWARTZ,BRIAN | 1 | 4 | 1 | +99 | 1247 | 8 | 6 | New |
|  | VISSER,JOUBERT | 2 | 4 | 0 | +124 | 1181 | 12 | 6 | Provisional |
|  | WILK, M | 0 | 4 | 1 | -21 | 999 | 7 | 5 | New |
|  | VARGAS,R | O | 3 | O | -112 | 888 | 4 | 2 | New |
| TYROS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ALLSBROOK,F | 1 | O | 3 | +7 | 2166 | 65 | 61 | Established |
|  | DIAZ,P | 1 | 3 | 2 | -42 | 2006 | 235 | 241 | Double Centurian |
|  | GUIO, J | 0 | 4 | 0 | -40 | 1831 | 201 | 200 | *New* Double Centurian |
|  | STOLTZ, | 1 | 2 | O | -38 | 1824 | 206 | 220 | Double Centurian |
|  | DOBROVOLNY,C | 4 | 1 | 1 | +9 | 1737 | 235 | 256 | Double Centurian |
|  | BUCHNER,R | 1 | 0 | O | +11 | 1650 | 162 | 165 | Centurian |
|  | HAHNE, D | 2 | 1 | 1 | -12 | 1613 | 174 | 206 | Double Centurian |
|  | VAIL, M | 1 | 0 | 1 | +12 | 1597 | 71 | 83 | Established |
|  | MCPHAIL, C | 1 | O | O | +85 | 1335 | 4 | 6 | New |
|  | BYRNE,M | 2 | 3 | O | -28 | 1321 | 42 | 51 | Established |
|  | KARPIERZ,J | 0 | 1 | 0 | -20 | 1293 | 49 | 75 | Established |
|  | KURUVILLA,E | 3 | 2 | O | +68 | 1268 | 7 | 5 | New |

Note: Only playoff eligible players are shown (those with at least 1 win, 1 draw, or 1 non-forfeit loss).
Note 2: Players may have slightly more Rated Games than CICL Games, due to their Initial Rating Source.

## Saturday, February 12, 2011

| Team | Player | W | D | Rating <br> Change | Rating <br> Gated | CICL <br> Games | Rating <br> Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| UOP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LEONG,G | 1 | 2 | 1 | -8 | 1945 | 214 | 232 | Double Centurian |
|  | SIWEK,M | 1 | 1 | 1 | +10 | 1936 | 237 | 247 | Double Centurian |
|  | BOLDINGH,E | 5 | o | 2 | +72 | 1902 | 214 | 221 | Double Centurian |
|  | VAN MEER,J | 1 | 1 | 1 | -6 | 1898 | 72 | 70 | Established |
|  | EASTON,R | 1 | 2 | 1 | +4 | 1823 | 123 | 139 | Centurian |
|  | LECHNICK,J | o | 4 | 2 | -45 | 1658 | 133 | 157 | Centurian |
|  | MOSSBRIDGE,A | 1 | 1 | 1 | -30 | 1599 | 40 | 39 | Established |
|  | SCHWANBECK,S | 1 | 1 | o | +125 | 1525 | 4 | 2 | New |
|  | OLSEN,A | 3 | 2 | 2 | +35 | 1504 | 169 | 189 | Centurian |
|  | SHAH,A | 1 | o | o | +45 | 1345 | 3 | 1 | New |
|  | LANG,P | o | 1 | o | -71 | 1229 | 3 | 1 | New |
|  | RAMOS,J | o | 3 | o | -180 | 1220 | 5 | 3 | New |
|  | RAMIREZ,A | o | 2 | o | o | 1080 | 5 | 5 | New |
| WMBAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TENNANT,S | 4 | o | 1 | +27 | 2258 | 24 | 17 | Provisional |
|  | MENON,G | o | o | 1 | -5 | 2200 | 9 | 1 | Provisional |
|  | WEBER,L | 4 | o | 2 | -4 | 2070 | 70 | 67 | Established |
|  | FREITAG,T | 7 | 0 | 0 | +66 | 2056 | 33 | 28 | Established |
|  | ELLICE, W | 5 | o | 2 | +56 | 1762 | 201 | 209 | Double Centurian |
|  | ZOLKOS,A | 3 | o | 2 | +31 | 1747 | 29 | 26 | Established |
|  | FRANEK,M | 1 | 1 | 2 | -42 | 1667 | 245 | 301 | *New* Triple Centurian |
|  | CONNELLY,P | 1 | o | o | o | 1577 | 12 | 9 | Provisional |
|  | GARCIA, I | 1 | o | o | o | 1574 | 7 | 1 | New |
|  | RAJSKY,J | 2 | 2 | 2 | -125 | 1562 | 12 | 6 | Provisional |

Note: Only playoff eligible players are shown (those with at least 1 win, 1 draw, or 1 non-forfeit loss).
Note 2: Players may have slightly more Rated Games than CICL Games, due to their Initial Rating Source.
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