CHICAGO INDUSTRIAL CHESS LEAGUE BULLETIN

 Vol. XV
 No. 8
 May 5, 1972

 EDITOR:
 Ron Dargis
 R. R. Donnelley & Sons Co. 326-8728

 350 E. 22nd Street Chicago, Illinois 60616
 357-4400 (Ext. 240)

 FROBLEMS:
 Neuman Guttman Bell Labs
 682-3133

FROBLEMS: Neuman Guttman Bell Labs
Naperville
Illinois

ALL STAR MATCH

The second annual All Star Match, which pits the best players of the Senior Division against the top men of the 4 Man Division, was held April 27, 1972, at R. R. Donnelley & Sons Co. The result of the match was a complete turnaround from last year when the Junior (?) Division was swamped. This year the 4 Man Division (without its top 5 scheduled boards) defeated the 8 Man Division 10 to 8. Congratulations for a fine victory.

Following are the board by board results of the match.

8 MAN DIVISION				
Rating				
1926	0			
1874	0			
1826	0			
1806	1			
1783	ī			
1700	1			
1697	0			
1693	1			
	0			
	0			
1637	1			
1601	0			
1564	쿨			
1550	ONFINE			
	0			
1540	1			
1442	훋			
1262	1 1 1 8			
-	8			
	1783 1700 1697 1693 1681 1646 1637 1601 1564 1550 1549 1540 1442			

LOCAL USCF EVENTS

May 20-21 (Ill.) Hurrican Barbra

8-SS,30/1, 27 Montrose, Romeoville. EF: \$5, \$1 less to members of Romeoville CC. \$\$ 50, 30, 20, cash to top A, B, C, D, unr. Reg. ends 8-8:30 AM 5/20, rds. 9-1-4-7 both days. ENT: Paul Ilosvay, 204 Belmont

LOCAL USCF EVENTS (Continued)

May 22 (Ind.)
Buck Tornado #5

4-SS, 45/90, Purdue Univ. CC, State & Grant Sts., Purdue Memorial Center, Lafayette, Ind. EF: \$6. Prizes according to entries, trophies in A, B, C, D, unr. Reg. 9-9:45 AM, rds. 10-1-4-7. Bring clocks. ENT: Richard Swiatek, Owen Hall, Purdue Univ., Lafayette, Ind.

May 26-29 (Ill.)

Midwest Chess Congress 7-SS, 40/2, Chicago CC, 538 S. Wabash, Chicago 60605. In 2 sections: Masters & Experts, for those rated over 1900; Amateur, for those rated below 1900 or unrated. EF: Masters \$20, Amateur \$15, HS \$10, if mailed before 5/24, later \$2 more. \$\$ Masters 200, 100-50 top Exp.-A 75 (based on 25 entries); Amateur 75, 50, 25; 50 each to top B, C-below. Trophies to top jr., unr. Late reg. 4-7:30 FM 5/26; rds. 8, 11-5, 11-5, 10-4. Bring clocks, none supplied. If joining USCF for 1st time and paying dues with EF, deduct \$5

from EF, under 20 deduct \$3. Mail entries should include name, address, USCF exp. date, rating, birth date (if jr.). HR 10-14 (Hotel Harrison). Spectator fees: \$2/day, \$5/duration. ENT: Richard Verber, Chicago CC, address above.

June 4 (Ill.)

Spassky Tornado 4-SS, 30/1, 27 Montrose Dr., Romeoville. EF: \$4. \$\$ 50, 30, 20, cash to top A, B, C, D, unr. Reg. 8-8:30 AM, rds. 9-1-4-7. ENT: Paul Ilosvay, 204 Belmont Drive, Romeoville, Ill. 60441.

June 10-11 (Ill.)

Romeoville Open

5-SS, 40/2, 27 Montrose Dr., Romeoville. EF: \$10, under 20 \$6, all \$1 less if by 6/9. \$\$ 100, 50, 30, cash to top A, B, C, D, jr., unr. Reg. 9-9:30 AM 6/10, rds. 10-3-8, 10-3. ENT: Paul Ilosvay, 204 Belmont Dr., Romeoville, Ill. 60441.

June 14, 21, 28, July 5, 12 (III.)

Chicago Wednesday Swiss

5-SS, 40/2, Chicago CC, 538 S. Wabash, Chicago 60605. EF: \$12, HS students \$8, CC mems. \$2 less. \$\$ 50 lst, others according to entries; trophies to top A, B, C, D-E, unr., jr. (based on 25 entries). Rds. Weds. at 7 PM. Reg. ends 6:30 PM. ENT: Richard Verber, address above.

June 16-18 (Ill.)

Chicago Summer Holiday Open 5-SS, 40/2, Chicago CC, 538 S. Wabsh, Chicago 60605. EF: \$12, HS \$8, if mailed by 6/14, later all \$1 more. \$\$ 100, 65, 35, A 35, B 30, C-below 25; trophies to unr., jr. Brilliancy and best-game prizes. Prizes based on 65 entries. Reg. 4-7:30 PM 6/16, rds. 8, 11-5, 11-5. \$2 off EF (under 20 \$1 off) if joining USCF for 1st time. HR 10-14. ENT: Richard Verber, address above.

June 17-18 (Ill.) Hurricane Ann

dd 20/1 07 Mantagas Da Romogatile EF+ \$5 \$\$ 50, 20, 20, cash to ton

Vol. XV No. 8 May 5, 1972

FISCHER VICTORY CELEBRATION

I would appreciate a response from all Team Captains, after taking a poll of their club members, as to the number of people who would be interested in attending the proposed Victory Celebration this summer. Jim Brotsos is the Chairman of this event, and his discription of the event appears below.

The planned celebration is based on Bobby Fischer winning the World's Chess Championship this summer. All C.I.C.L. members and their families are invited. In order to foster goodwill and gain some publicity for our League, the following will also be invited:

Bobby Fischer.

Top 2 or 3 Masters of Chicagoland.

Presidents of the 3 or 4 largest chess clubs in Chicago. Editors of Chess Columns or Chess Bulletins in Chicago. All USCF officials in Illinois (13 of the 19 are in the metropolitan area).

Any adult who can identify himself as Robert J. Fischer (this is for publicity release purposes; there are no R.J. Fischer's in the Chicago Telephone Directory).

Two dates have been selected (and reserved for us by Irv Rosenfeld). If Fischer wins early, the celebration would be held on August 26 at La Bagh Woods East Shelter at Kolmar just north of Foster Avenue. If the match goes the distance, the picnic would be held on Sept. 16 at La Bagh Woods Shelter No. 1 (north). The latter is equipped with two large fireplaces. These woods can be reached by taking CTA buses to approximately Foster (5200 North) and Cicero (4800 West), a few blocks north of the Elston-Cicero intersection. The event would go on from 10:30 AM until nightfall. Those in attendance would be expected to bring their own food and athletic gear. The League treasury will cover beer, soda pop, ice, and decorations.

The only formal chess event planned at this time would be a Kriegspiel and/or Bughouse (put-back) Chess Tournament. Advanced registration would be required for these variants of chess and nominal prizes would be offered to winners. Team games, relay races, tree golf, and the distribution of name tags will help people to "mix" and get better acquainted. If skittles chess is your preference, bring your own chess set and clock; there are bound to be plenty of takers around.

This type of an event could easily draw a crowd of over 200. It could be a grand social event and a unique opportunity to publicize the C.I.C.L. To be a success, however, a committee of willing workers is required. Some of the jobs to be handled are:

Decorations - purchase & installation.

Advance Publicity and invitations to non-League members.

Registration - name tags, official greeters.

Chess tourney director.

Team game co-ordination.

Beverage supply.

Fireplace duty - if cool weather, need wood and fireplace

Fireplace duty - if cool weather, need wood and fireplace attendants. Photography - for the historian's archives.

CHICAGO INDUSTRIAL CHESS LEAGUE BULLETIN Vol. XV No. 8 May 5, 1972

FISCHER VICTORY CELEBRATION - Continued

If Fischer should lose the match, we have two alternatives: Cancel the whole event; Convert the affair to a 15th Anniversary Celebration for the League.

Jim Brotsos, Chairman

DEFOTIS SIMULTANEOUS EXHIBITION

An error in reporting the results of the Defotis Exhibition was brought to my attention. Apoligies to John Gilmer for the erroneous report. The results should read: Match #24 John Gilmer of Teletype vs. Greg Defotis - Drawn. Congratulations John on your fine game.

RULES COMMITTEE

At the Business Meeting this year, a heated argument arose during the discussion of an all 4-Man League. Strong feelings were voiced by both the 4-Man and 8-Man Divisions. Therefore, a Rules Committee was appointed to study the situation and make a proposal to the League. Frank Tegel, Jim Brotsos, and Charlie Ward studied means to improve competition and submitted the report that follows. Each Team Captain should cast his vote for or against the proposals and mail it to your President by June 2, 1972. If either proposal is accepted, it will be placed in effect for the 1972-73 season, after which a vote will be taken to see if it should become an amendment to the CICL's Policies & Procedures. Please vote on Proposal I and on Proposal II.

CHICAGO INDUSTRIAL CHESS LEAGUE

Report of the Committee studying means to improve the degress of competition in the CICL:

The Committee has studied the problems that have been affecting the League's ability to fulfill its objectives of fostering interest in chess and promoting friendly rivalry among member clubs. It was recognized that the interests and objectives of the member clubs necessarily differ, and that any proposals to be made by the Committee must be such that no member club would be coerced into a form of competition distasteful to it. In particular, it was noted that some clubs strongly preferred competing with four-man teams, while others were as strongly committed to the traditional eight-man teams. Therefore, proposals requiring certain teams to compete in eight-man team play, or of requiring others to compete as four-man teams, were dismissed as unsuitable. Also, dismissed on these grounds were any proposals to artifically limit the strength of teams representing multiple-team clubs. It was decided instead to seek solutions to problems within the existing league structure.

The Committee then turned its attention to the Senior Divison. The disparity of strength between teams has been apparent for a long time, and it has led to some sentiment on the part of teams perennially low in the standings to leave the Division or the League altogether, due to the improbability of ever challenging for the Division championship. (In the past ten years, only rarely has a team other than ones from Western Electric or Argonne won one of the three top positions in the Division standings.) The Committee has found

CHICAGO INDUSTRIAL CHESS LEAGUE BULLETIN Vol. XV No. 8 May 5, 1972

CHICAGO INDUSTRIAL CHESS LEAGUE - Continued

PROPOSAL I

The first method is the one we strongly prefer. We propose that:

- (a) The Senior Division teams be divided into two six-team sections, denoted in this report as A and B, based on strength as determined by the Division standings at the end of the present season;
- (b) Each section would compete among itself with a home-and-home double round robin schedule (games between teams in different sections could also be added if this seems desirable);
- (c) Trophies would be awarded to the highest finishers in each section;
- (d) At the end of each season, the highest finisher in section B and the lowest finisher in section A would exchange sections, thus allowing a continuing updating of the strengths of teams in the two sections;
- (e) In the case of catastrophic changes in a team's strength, or for other good and sufficient reasons, a team would be allowed to move to the other section between seasons, in addition to the normal post-season exchange of teams described above. This would require the consent of the League President, the Senior Division Chairman, and any other team which would also have to switch sections in order to preserve the proper number of teams in the two sections.

We recommend that this proposal be adopted on a trial basis for the 1972-73 season. At the end of the trial season, the Senior Division would then decide whether to make this system permanent, or to revert to the old single-division set-up.

The Committee feels that the above proposal is a good one which will re-invigorate the competitive spirit in the Senior Division without a drastic reorganization of the present League structure. We strongly urge its adoption.

PROPOSAL II

Although we favor the above proposal, we have also considered a second proposal which we consider to be feasible and which should achieve most of the goals outlined above. In this scheme, each team would be assigned a handicap, based on the previous year's results, which would be used to determine the outcome of team matches. The handicap would equal 70% of the average number of game points scored by a team during the previous year of competition, rounded to the nearest one-tenth of a point. A team's match score would then equal its actual game score plus the opponent's handicap. Tie-breaking in the final standings would be done using the actual game points scored, as at present.

We feel that this proposal does have the virtue of allowing a much more spirited competition for the championship by all teams in the Division. It does not, however, prevent the extreme mismatches of actual playing strength which occur all too frequently in present play and which has led to some of the current unrest.

CHICAGO INDUSTRIAL CHESS LEAGUE BULLETIN
Vol. XV No. 8 May 5, 1972

CHICAGO INDUSTRIAL CHESS LEAGUE - Continued

PROPOSAL II - Continued

Finally, the Committee considered the Junior Division. It was agreed that the notion of section assignment on the basis of strength is inconsistent with the geographical spread of participating clubs and that the present organization on the basis of geography seems well justified. There does not seem to be any well-established problem of organization that needs alteration at this time, and therefore we have no changes to recommend.

Respectfully submitted, Charles Ward Frank Tegel Jim Brotsos

OFFICERS FOR THE COMING SEASON

A Nominating Committee of Ron Tobias, Steve Schwartz, Jim Brotsos, and Joe Thormann, contacted all CICL Team Captains, and prepared the Ballot listing all candidates for the 1972-73 season. The ballot is attached as a separate item to this Bulletin, along with a pre-addressed envelope. Vote for 1 man for each office, enclose it in the envelope provided, and mail it to Steve Schwartz no later than June 5, 1972. New officers will be announced at this year's banquet at the Red Fox, June 16, 1972.

8 MAN TEAM STANDINGS

Place	Team	W	<u>L</u>	Points
l	ANL Knights	8	1	59 불
2	WE Gambiteers	8_	1	48 <u>늘</u>
3	Bell Labs	7늘	ઢ	49
4	Motorola	6 1	2 1	43불
5	lst National Bank	5불	3 \frac{1}{2}	38 ∑
6	WE Chargers	5불	3₹	37
7	Illinois Bell	44	5 1	35
8	ANL Bishops	3₹	5물	
9	Amoco	3	7	30 38
10	Teletype	ì	8	25 3
11	ANL Pawns	1	8	21를
12	Goss Company	1	8	18໊

8 MAN DIVISION TOP TEN UNDEFEATED PLAYERS

***************************************	NAME	TEAM	W	L	T
٧.	Krohn	ANL Knights	8	0	1.
J.	Jackson	ANL Knights	7	0	0
D.	Astrom	Bell Labs	7	0	l.
M.	Peterson	ANL Knights	7	0	1
J.	Warren	WE Chargers	7	0	2
S.	Mihailovich	ANL Knights	7	0	2
\mathbb{B}_{ullet}	Marcowka	WE Gambiteers	6	0	2
E.	Hauschild	Bell Lebs	5	0	0
F.	Mueller	ANL Knights	5	0	0
J.	Harkreader	ANL Knights	5	0	0

8 MAN DIVISION NOTES

The final two matches finds the competition for the 3 trophies intensified. Motorola won a close match with the Knights leaving no teams undefeated. All teams have at least one win. The best of luck to everyone in the final matches.

8 MAN DIVISION GAME RESULTS

AMOCO	4	TELETYPE	<u> </u>	ANL PAWNS	2불	WE CHARGERS	5호
H. Schroeder	0	F. Tegel	I	W. Walsh	Ō	J. Warren	l
R. Keske	l	J. Gilmer	0	E. Veleckis	2	D. Kumro	声
L. Muir	1	L. Aldort	0	J. Bingle	Õ	E. Puto	ĩ
F. Jacobsen	0	D. Gruber	1	M. Foster	0	K. Dobr	1
M. Dee	l	M. Rudziewicz	0	H. Feder	1	E. Radavicius	0
R. Watson	1	P. Hartleb	O	L. Baker	l	L. Nemcek	0
D. Roy	0	M. Pokorny	<u>1</u>	R. Frank	0	R. Mozockie	1
R. Hopkins	0	D. Chapman	1	Z. Tomczuk	0	J. Licht	l
MOTOROLA	4월	ANL KNIGHTS	3 1	GOSS	0	BELL LABS	8
MOTOROLA N. Goncharoff	4월	ANL KNIGHTS S. Mihailovich	3 <u>1</u> 2	GOSS P. Bradley	0	BELL LABS J. Ason	8
**************************************		V-V-1	3½ 2 1	**************************************			8 1 1
N. Goncharoff	\$	S. Mihailovich	3½ 1 0	P. Bradley	0	J. Ason	8 1 1
N. Goncharoff P. Greenspan	\$	S. Mihailovich V. Krohn	3½ 100	P. Bradley H. Mazur	0	J. Ason D. Astrom	8 1 1 1
N. Goncheroff P. Greenspan J. Neumark	章 0 1	S. Mihailovich V. Krohn R. Maglic	31/2 1 0 0 1	P. Bradley H. Mazur F. Bialek	0 0	J. Ason D. Astrom G. Benson	8 1 1 1 1
N. Goncharoff P. Greenspan J. Neumark R. Micek	1 1	S. Mihailovich V. Krohn R. Maglic R. Wilson	31/2 2 1 0 0 1	P. Bradley H. Mazur F. Bialek B. Haywood	0000	J. Ason D. Astrom G. Benson F. House	8 1 1 1 1
N. Goncharoff P. Greenspan J. Neumark R. Micek R. Ogasawara	1 0 1 0	S. Mihailovich V. Krohn R. Maglic R. Wilson M. Peterson	3½ 2000 1000 1000	P. Bradley H. Mazur F. Bialek B. Haywood D. Wypijewski	0 0 0 0	J. Ason D. Astrom G. Benson F. House D. Anderson	8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

8 MAN DIVISION GAME RESULTS - Continued

ANI	BISHOPS	3 1	ILLINOIS RELL	4불	Ist	t NATIONAL	BK.6⅓	AN.	L PAWNS	먑
D.	Dever	0	H. Tacon	1	W.	Nyman	Ţ	W.	Walsh	Ō
J.	Rusnak	l	J. Rodriguez	0	D_{\bullet}	McCluskey	호	L.	Ba ker	0 \$0
J.	Wrobel	O.	K. Wollenberg	1	R.	Buchanan	Ī	H.	Feder	Ō
C.	Ward	훋	R. Lauth	1 2	\mathbf{E}_{ullet}	Gestalu	l	R.	Frank	0
L.	Shirkey	õ	K. Buell	Ī	A_{\bullet}	Schiller	l	J.	McKee	0
D.	Givens	1	J. Peters	0	C.	Williams	l	\mathbf{z}_{\star}	Tomezuk	0
G.	Kinsella	l	G. Keith	0	Y.	Brown	1	\mathbf{P}_{ullet}	Doolin	0
R.	Isenberg	0	J. Spaulding	1			OF	E.	Johnson	7.
s.	Decman	*	N. Mangiaracina	0						
H.	Rhude	0	G. Unruh	1						
AMO	oco	2	III.BEIL	6						
H.	Schroeder	1	J. Rodriguez	0						
\mathbf{L}_{ullet}	Muir	l	H. Tacon	0						
F.	Jacobsen	0	K. Wollenberg	1						
M.	Dee	0	R. Lauth	1						
\mathbb{D}_{ullet}	Roy	0	K. Buell	1						
R_{ullet}	Hopkins	0	J. Peters	l						
R_{ullet}	Keske	OF'	G. Unruh	lf						
R_{ullet}	Watson	OF	J. Spaulding	lf						

4 MAN DIVISION NOTES

The season has ended and the playoffs are in full swing. I want to thank everybody for making it an interesting and enjoyable season. The races for the most part were close and unpredictable in all divisions. A close inspection of the section races indicate that, in the North Rauland finished first by one-half geme point; in the Central Sears finished second by one-half match point; and in the South Sherwin Williams finished second by one-half match point.

Thanks guys.

4 MAN DIVISION FINAL STANDINGS

	NORTH		
Place	Team	Record	Points
	Alumni	10-1	32 2
l	Rauland	5 }-4}	22
2	Procon	5 5 -4 5	21 \$
3	Desoto	45-53	212 202
3 14	IMC	3 \$ -6 \$	16
5	Signode	12-82	10
	CENTRAL		
Place	Te s m	Record	Points
I	R.R.D. "A"	7출-2출	243
2	Sears	6 } -3 }	26
3	Chicago Title & Trust	6-4	21 }
7			Ψ

4 MAN DIVISION FINAL STANDINGS - Continued

South						
Place	Team	Record	Points			
I.	Amoco	11 2-2	36 2			
2	Sherwin Williams	7 1-41	27 \f			
3	R. R. Donnelley "B"	7-5	28			
14.	C.C.C.	6-6	28 }			
5	C.R.A.	5} -6 }	23			
6	R. R. Donnelley "C"	3 \$ -8 \$	18 1			
7	Kraft	1-11	5 "			

There is only one player in the Division with a minimum of 7 matches who has not lost. He is Ron Schulman of Rauland with a 7-0-3 record. Congratulations, Ron.

4 MAN DIVISION GAME RESULTS

R.R.D. "B"	<u>}</u>	R.R.D. "C"	3⅓	C.T.&T.	3½	INT.HARV.	_ 글_
B. Burk	0	E. Falconer	l	I. Rosenfeld	I	Rajkovich	0
J. Carlson	Ø	T. Mayer	1	Dunworth	1	Bazar	O
J. Thormann	Õ	B. Davis	1	Collings	I A I	Wilde	0 NF 10
J. Roberts	Ş	R. Shavers	출	Mergili	-	Katada	ō
RAULAND	12	PROCON	2 <u>1</u>	SEARS	3	INT.HARV.	1
B. Wang	i S O	A. Stys	o i	E. Latimer	0	D. Rajkovich	I
R. Schulman	\$	J. Kozacky	\$	S. Schwartz	l	T. Bazar	0
P. Miller	0	A. Dailidka		J. Zahora	1	J. Wilde	0
B. Rowe	0	M. Viteri	1 1	M. Wallace	1	F. Katada	0
L. Schicht	0	P. Gawron	1				
R.R.D. "A"	3	C.T.&T.	ĭ	SIGNODE	1호	ALUMNI	<u> </u>
Dobbins	0	Rosenfeld	1	A. Brosig	0	E. Buerger	1
Loury	l	Dunworth	0	L. Stensaker	0	R. Edahl	1 1 1 200
Henry	1	Collings	0	R. Langenberg	0	R. Clark	1
Swanson	1	Mergili	0	A. Klein	0	A. Czerna	l
		-		J. Klein	고 O	J. Kasang	<u> </u>
				R. Granath	ī	J. Meks	ō
DESOTO	2	IMC	2	SHER.WMS.	0	AMOCO	4
E. Zychowski	0	R. Crom	1	T. West	0	G. Cekis	1
C. Gaudin	0	K. Kolling	1	H. Nellett	0	J. Greczek	1
J. Holyk	1	E. Thompson	0	B. Flores	0	L. Sheridan	1
A. Brandau	1	D. Belter	0	F. Needham	0	B. Wenzel	1
ccc		R.R.D. "C"	1				
R. Preto	I	R. Shavers	Ô				
J. Ellis		B. Davis					
W. Gailus	1 2 1	G. Benes	0 1 0				
R. Terp	ĺ	E. Green	ō				
- · · · · •	•						

EXHIBITION GAMES

There were four Exhibition Games. Three between Encyclopedia Britannica and teams from the South section and one between Signode of the 4-Man Division and Teletype of the 8-Man Division. Following are the game results:

TELETYPE	3	SIGNODE	4	CHRISTIAN-ROGE	1	E.B.	3
J. Gilmer	I	A. Klein	0	V. Santiago	0	M. Hingary	1
D. Gruber	1	J. Klein	0	J. Tottenhoff	0	W. Stephan	1
B. Kenyon	0	W. Oomens	1	B. Damkevala	0	H. Chapman	1
T. Kaczmarek	0	J. Everman	1.	A. Myatt	1	H. Sharp	0
	OF	R. Langenburg	1F	-			
M. Rudziewicz	1	L. Stensaker	0				
	OF	A. Brosig	1F				
E.B.	3	ccc	1	SHERWIN-WMS.	1	E.B.	3
M. Hingary	1	C. Lee	0	C. Tindor	l	W. Stephan	Ō
W. Stephan	l	R. Preto	0	T. West	0	H. Chapman	1
H. Chapman	l	W. Gailus	0	B. Newberg	0	G. Poole	1
G. Poole	0	J. Ellis	1	R. Mell	0	H. Shapr	1
H. Sharp	(1)	C. Morris	(0)			-	

GAME PLAYED ON MARCH 16, 1972

K-N2

K-R3

 P_XP

Nik Concherate

23. Q-R3

P-KN3

PxBP

24.

25.

Nik	Goncharoff	- White						
Jim	Sullivan -	Black						
W	hite_	Black		White	Black		White	Black
1.	P-K4	P-QB4	26.	B x B	QxB	51.	K-K1	NxRP
2.	P-KB4	N-QB3	27.	Q-B3	P-N4	52.	K-Q2	K-B5
3∙	N-KB3	P-Q3	28.	P-KR3	N-Nx	53•	R-R5	P-R14
4.	B-N5	B-Q2	29.	QR-Bl	P-N5		R-B5 ch	K-N5
5.	0-0	P-KN3	30.	PxP	PxP	55.	R-BP (4)) K-B5
6.	N-QB3	B-N2	31.	QXNP	R-KNI	56.	RxBP (3)) K-K4
7.	P-Q3	P-QR3	32.	K-R1	R-KR1		R-B5 ch	K-Q3
8.	BxN	PxB	33∙	K-N2	K-NS	58.	RxRP	N-N5
9.	Q-KI	P-K3	34.	R-KRL	P-B6 ch.	59•	K-B3	N-B3
10.	n-QR4	N-K2	35•	K-B2	Q-Q5 ch.	60.	R-R6	Res.
11.	B-Q2	0- 0	36.	K-N3 :	R-KB1			
12.	B- R5	Q-Nl	37•	Q-N5	P-B7			
13.	n-n6	R-R2	38.	Q-R6 ch.	K-B3			
14.	NxB	RxN	39•	Q-R5 :	Q-K6 ch.		•	
15.	B-B3	P-K ¹ 4	40.	Q-B3 ch.	QxQ ch.			
16.	P_XP	PxP	41.	KxQ	$K-N^{\downarrow}$ ch.			
17.	N-02	P-KB ¹ 4	42.	K-N3 :	$N-K^{1}+$			
18.	N-Blt	P- B5	43.	QR-KBI	R-B6 ch.			
19.	Q-R4	B - B 3	44.	K-N2	K-N5			
20.	G-M7	R-Q3	45.	RxP	R-N6 ch.			
21.	NxR N	QxN	46.	K-B1	N-B6			
22.	P-Q \$ 3	P-KR4	47.	R-N2	N-Q5			
		_	1					

48. RxR ch.

P-B4

49. P-B3

50.

KxR

N-N4

N-B6

GAME PLAYED ON FEBRUARY 24, 1972

S. Mihailovich - White

J. Warren - Black

A.T.&.T. vs. CICL - Board 1

	STORES INC.							
	White	Black		White	Black		White	Black
1.	NKB3	PQB4	19.	RN4	QB7	37.	PK3	RQR8
2.	PKN3	F9/4	20.	PQR3	QxQ	38.	RxR	BxR
3.	BN2	PKN3	21.	QRxQ	PQ 6	39•	NB5	PN5
4.	PQ4	NQB3	22.	PxN	PQ7	40.	NN3	ввб
5.	0-0	BM2	23.	PN5	PxRBQ	41.	BQ5	RQ
6.	PxP	PK^{1}	24.	RxQ	NQ5	42.	PK4	KB
7.	PB3	PQR4	25.	BB3	NxNP	43.	KB	KK2
8.	NR3	KNK2	26.	RQ	NxB	44.	KK2	RQ2
9•	n qn 5	0-0	27.	PxN	RK3	45.	PN ¹ 4	RR2
10.	NQ6	RN	28.	RQ5	R3xQP	46.	PR4	PR4
11.	NxB	QxN	29.	RxP	RN3	47.	PN5	RR7+
12.	RN	QB ⁱ #	30.	RR7	RN	48.	K B	RN7
13.	BK3	qrq.	31.	NNS	RxP	49.	BB4	PB3
14.	Q B	PQ5	32.	NB^{\dagger}	BQ5	50.	PxP	BQ7
15.	$P_{\mathbf{X}}P$	$P_{\mathbf{x}}P$	33+	RR4	RQ	51.	PxP	BQ7
16.	BB4	MO ₇ t	34.	NQ3	RN8+	52.	PK5	RB7
17.	воб	KRK	35+	KN2	PON4	53.	NK4	$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{B}$
18.	RK	N ¹ +N5	36.	RR5	RN	54.	NxB	RN5
				•		55.	Resigns	

PROBLEMS

Postmark Deadline June 1, 1972.


These are the last problems of the season.

PROBLEM #15

Black 6

P
B
B
B
R
R
R

PROBLEM #16



White 5

White 10

SOLUTIONS

Problem #13 (P. F. Blake, 1910) 1. Q-B4 threatening 2. Q-KB1+
PxQ 2. RxP (Q4)
Q-R3 2. RxP (K6)

Problem #14 (P. F. Blake, 1917)

1. N-R6 threatening 2. N-B5 K-Q4 3. NxP (K4)+
and 2. B-R2+ K-Q4 3. N-N4

SOLVERS LADDER (Incomplete)

 J. Warren
 36

 R. Wang
 32

 A. Trammel
 26

 N. Goncharoff
 25

 J. Henning
 25

PLAYOFFS


R. Gleason

Note: Home Team is on top.

22

The first round has been completed. Procon defeated Amoco 3-1 and Sears defeated Sherwin Williams $3\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$. Amoco will now play Sherwin Williams for fifth place.

Home Team will be the team that finished highest in its Division, If both teams finished the same, a coin flip will decide the Home Team.



Page 13
CHICAGO INDUSTRIAL CHESS LEAGUE BULLETIN
Vol. XV No. 8 May 5, 1972

PLAYOFFS - GAME RESULTS

AMOCO	l	PROCON	3
I. Gotlieb	1	M. Viteri	0
J. Greczek	0	J. Kozacky	1
R. Lee	0	A. Stys	1
G. Cekis	0	Z. Dailidka	1
SEARS	3 }	SHERWIN WMS.) j
E. Latimer	l	T. West	Ō
S. Schwartz	ļ	H. Nellett	Ō
J. Zahora	ঠ	B. Flores	0 5 7
T. Andresen	ī	B. Newberg	ō

			_	·	
Adams, M	1193#	Craven, K	%	Gestalu, E	1498#
Aldort, L	1554	Crom, R	1496#	Gilmer, J	1671
Anderson, D	1650#	Cychowsky, E	%	Givens, D	1545
Andresen, T	1458*	Czerna, A	1375	Gleason, B	1225*
Arko, A	%	Czordas, E	%		1897
Arnett, J	1200&	Dailidká, Z	1538#	Gonzalez, Ŕ	%
Arnett, S	%	Damkevala, B	1173#	Goranson, B	%
Ason, J	1842*	Danes, D	K	Cottlieb, I	1450*
Astrom, D	1682*	Danko, D	%	Graae, J	1251#
Bailey, P	1162*	Dargis, R	1529*	Granath, R	%
Baker, L	1495	Davis, B	1200#	Granberry, M	%
Bapple, E	1532	Davis, J	1481&	Greczek, J	1506*
Bara, D	1200&	Dawson, J	1529	Green, B	%
Baran, P	%	Decesari, J	1557*	Green, E	%
Baran, R	1272#	Dee, M	1382#	Greenspan, P	1619
Barbeau, J	1500#	DeLaPena, J	1197#	Grodesky, E	1396#
Barker, A	1276@	DeParry, T	1772	Grosskopf, L	%
Barnes, L	\$	Dever, D	1611*	Gruber, D	1293*
Barnes, R	1.60¼#	Dmy	%	Gryczon, R	1439*
Barton, M	1267#	Dobbins, G	1680	Hajny, J	%
Baxa, G	1260&	Dobr, K	1667	Handler, S	%
Bazar, J	# #	Dopheide, K	1647	Harkreader, J	1514*
Beck, N	1460*	Downs, B	1200%	Hartleb, P	1200#
Belter, D	141#	Dragel, G	1430*	Hauschild, E	1563#
Benes, G	1285&	Dubinin, M	1171*	Haywood, B	1384#
BenPorat, M	%	Dunworth, J	1834*	Henning, J	1552@
Benson, G	1771@	Edahl, R	1908	Henry, C	1701
Berry, R	1229*	Edwards	%	Hernandez, D	1617*
Bialek, F	1506@	Ekstein, H	1566	Herschberger	1823*
Bingle, J	1623	Elliott, W	1803	Hess, P	70.7.
Bohl, F	1/112*	Ellis, J	1273*	Hoffins, J	1310#
Bradley, P	1464	Ellis, W	1562#	Holyk, J	1421#
Brandau, A	1400*	Emrich, D	1654&	Holzhauer, J	% %
Brauneker, R	1262#	Everman, J	%	Hopkins, R	1278&
Brosig, A	1697*	Fabijonas, R	1720	House, F	1884
Brotsos, J	1724	Fajkus, J	1644	Huske, C	1256#
Brown, Y	1261#	Falconer, E	g g	Ilic, R	<u> </u>
Buchanan, R	1640@	Fanchi	1196#	Isenberg, R	%
Buell, K	1496#	Feder, H	1439	Jackson, J	2023
Buerger, E	2050	Feiler, K	1792*	Jacobson, F	1548#
Burba, K	1510	Fillman, C	1200&	Jaszkowski.	1262&
Burk, B	1587#	Flores, B	1444#	Johnson, E	1200&
Butler, A	**************************************	Flynn, J	1604#	Kaczmarek, T	**************************************
Cahill	%	Foster, M	1513	Kaehler, J	1261&
	1396&	Frank, R (ANF		Kane, S	1361&
Caine, W Callaghan	1)/OC	Frank, R (SIC		Kaput, B	1368&
Carlson, J	1495#	Freeman, D	·	Karlovies	# JOUG
Catania, F	1280@	Frehse, G	ĝ	Kasang, J	1267*
Catledge (CNA)	#20 0 G	Friedman	%	Katada	1409&
Catledge, T	1829 ~	Gailus, W	1376#	Keith, G	1207&
Cekis, G	1468#	Galvin, J	1580*	Kellogg, K	1334#
Chapman, D	1242&	Garcia, R	1370#	Kenny, B	2046
Clark, L	I LIEU	Garner, E	% %	Keske, R	1758*
Clark, R	15 31 *	Gasner, E	1659~	Kimbler, C	1462&
onmand to	-25 ALE VALLE -4			chaintant a	a sport of

Klein, J	1187*	Morris, C	1052#	Schriner, L	1488
Klemm, J	1458	Mozockie, R	1473	Schroeder, H	1721*
Kolling, K	1257*	Mueller, F	1829*	Schulman, R	1712*
Kostoff, S	1394&	Muir, L	1658*	Schwartz, S	1741
Kovar, J	%	Myatt, A	966#	Seymour, J	1549%
Kowalski, D	1393	Naami, S	1440&	Shavers, R	1261#
Kozacky, J	1721*	Nedham, F	%	Sheridan, L	%
Kraft	1414&	Neiman, T	%	Shirkey, L	1489@
Kral, G	1711	Nellett, H	1437*	Shropshear, G	%
Krohn, V	1883	Nemcek, B	%	Simpson	1389&
Kulzer, J	%	Nemcek, L	1571&	Sinnott, M	%
Kumro, D	1775	Neumark, J	1618	Sirgedas, P	1419*
Kunzer, J	%	Newberg, B	1188#	Spaulding, J	€44/
Lange, H	1200#	Newman, M	1279&	Stachler, J	Я
Langenberg, R	1391*	Noose, E	1615@	Stamm, V	1476
Latimer, E	1828#	Nyman, W	1891	Stanfield, L	1286
Lauth, R	1436	Ockers, S	1480*	Stark, L	1388&
Lawrenz, D	K	Oelrich, B	1524#	Stensaker, L	1328*
Lee, C	1980*	Ogasawara, R	1629	Strabac, E	1377
Lee, L	1252#	Oomens, W	1410#	Stys, A	1725*
Lee, R	1565*	Osak, É	1384*	Sullivan, J	2046
Lemke	%	Owen, J	%	Summerville	%
Lems, B	1518*	Padgett, M	%	Sundrarman, R	%
Leparski, J	%	Panosh, R	%	Swanson, H	1623*
Lesnik, Á	1200#	Pehas, A	1926	Swiderski, M	1247@
Licht, J	1420*	Peters, J	1240#	Szudajski	8
Lin, M	1999	Peterson, M	1605	Tacon, H	1670%
Lisiecki	1217*	Phillips	\$	Taylor, E	1300#
Loury, G	1774*	Pokorny, M	1461#	Tegel, F	1948
Lowenstrom, K	1/2	Poppe, W	1259#	Thomas, J	1189#
McCluskey, D	1524	Prescott, F	#- <i>D</i> /II	Thompson, D	e e
McCoy	Z Z	Preto, R	1276&	Thompson, E	1227*
McDonald	Ž	Puchala, V	1561	Thorman, J	1272#
McKee, J	×	Puto, E	1715	Tinder, C	1355*
Maglic, R	1768@	Racaitis, R	1552	Tobias, R	1597*
Maks, J	1471@	Radavicius, E		Tomezuk, Z	1319&
Malone, J	1457	Rajkovich	1680&	Trammel, A	1222#
Mangiaracina, N		Reter, B	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	Unruh, G	1253
Marcowka, R	1922	Rhude, H	7, 7,	Valancius	**************************************
Markunas	1420#	Roberts, J	1401£		1326&
Marselos, N	1383%	Rode, R	Z Z	Vanscoit, J	1523#
Martiniak, G	1298	Rodriguez, J	1816#		1652
Matulovic	72.70 %		roio#	Veleckis, E	1404&
	,	Rose, E Rosenfeld, I	1885	Vick, H	1226&
Mayor T	*	•		•	
Mayer, T	1468	Rowe, B	1492#	Viteri, M	151#
Mazur, H		Roy, D	1292&	Volpe, M	1450
Mejzr, M	1630	Rubishko, D	1252#	Walker, R	1502*
Mendala, J	31.26#	Rudziewicz, M		Wallace, M	73.104
Mergili, G	1426#	Rudzitis, E	1843	Walsh	1496
Metz, H	1381*	Rusnak, J	1690*	Wang, B	1893@
Micek, R	1593	Salkauskas, C		Ward, C	1586@
Mihailovich, S	2065	Santiago, V	1809#	Harren, J	2083
Miller (I-H)	% 351.74	Scehovil, J	7,070	Matson, R	1545#
Miller, P	1547*	Scherer, J	1879	Waz, T	% %
Mohr	K	Schicht, L	1499&	Weatherly, C	<u>%</u>
Mordechai	K	Schiller, A	1406#	Weierman	%

	178 B	* A	
Wenzel, B	1056&	SENIOR DIVISION BIG TEN	
Wescott, R	B		_
West, T	1389#	J. Warren	2083
Mettersten, H	1384	S. Mihailovich	2065
White, J	%	J. Sullivan	20116
Whitmer	1440#	J. Jackson	2023
Wilde, J	1469#	F. Tegel	1948
'filliams, C	%	R. Markowka	1922
Williams, R	ÿ.	N. Goncharoff	1897
Milliamson, H	1452	W. Nyman	1891
∀ilson, R	1694	F. House	1884
Mollenberg, K	1561	V. Krohn	1883
Woods, M	%		
Wrobel, J	1535	:	
Wypijewski, D	1245*		
Zahora, J	1555	FOUR MAN DIVISION BIG TEN	
Zychowski, E	1371*		
,	- ·	E. Buerger	2050
		B. Kenny	2046
30 or more ga	mes	C. Lee	1980
@ 25-29 games		R Edahl	1908
* 10-24 games		B. Wang	1893
# 5-9 games		I. Rosenfeld	1885
& 4 or less gam	es	J. Scherer	1879
% insufficient		J. Dunworth	1834
	-	E. Latimer	1828
	•	V. Santiago	1809
	•		-