

# CHICAGO INDUSTRIAL CHESS LEAGUE BULLETIN

VOLUME 24, NUMBER 4, JANUARY 1981



**USCF AFFILIATE  
FOUNDED 1957**





CHICAGO INDUSTRIAL CHESS LEAGUE  
OFFICERS, 1980-81



President:

Gee Leong  
Kemper Insurance Co.  
Field Systems B-3  
Long Grove, IL 60047  
540-2233 (work)  
367-4132 (home)

Secretary:

Charles Ward  
Dept. 5446, Room 6H341  
Bell Telephone Laboratories  
Warrenville & Naperville Roads  
Naperville, IL 60556  
462-6250 (work)  
325-3885 (home)

North Division Chairman:  
Treasurer:

Jim Brotsos  
6563 N. Tahoma Ave.  
Chicago, IL 60646  
775-5054 (home)

Near West Division Chairman:

Dan Kumro  
802 S. Elmhurst Road  
Mt. Prospect, IL 60056  
981-2221, 981-2224 (work)  
259-4981 (home)

East Division Chairman:

Tom Gaffigan  
First National Bank  
16th Floor  
1 First National Plaza  
Chicago, IL 60670  
732-3988

Far West Division Chairman:

Joe Brozovich  
Western Electric Co.  
Indian Hill, Room 4F408  
Warrenville & Naperville Roads  
Naperville, IL 60556  
462-6545

Bulletin Editor:

Don Graft  
Rockwell-Wescom  
8245 Lemont Road  
Downers Grove, IL 60515  
985-9000, ext. 2731


Ratings Chairman:

Paul Weston  
1741 Violet Street  
Aurora, IL 60505  
983-4090 (work)  
898-7683 (home)

# GRAFFITI

I have always liked the Budapest Defense to the Queen's Gambit (1.P-Q4 N-KB3 2.P-QB4 P-K4). It is a bizarre opening that suits my temperament (as certain readers will testify). Although it can be refuted in several ways, I have found that most players, and sometimes even fairly strong players, tend not to play the best line against it. It is a useful psychological ploy in that the player of a Queen's Gambit generally is aiming for a quiet, positional game. My best result with the Budapest so far is a draw against a 2000+ player.

Given this preamble, it should not be difficult to imagine my surprise when my chess automaton (Sensory Chess Challenger) played a totally new and seemingly absurd line that seems to hold the black gambit pawn while preventing black from developing any counterplay to speak of. The line is 1.P-Q4 N-KB3 2.P-QB4 P-K4 3.PxP N-N5 4.Q-Q4. Amazing! This move is given in no opening book that I can find yet it simultaneously protects the pawn on K5 and attacks the knight on N5. This effectively prevents black from regaining the pawn. A long analysis aided by Roy Benedek failed to turn up any significant play for black. If 4.Q-Q4 is indeed a playable, even good, move, then how much credit can be given to Sensory Chess Challenger for finding it? I am inclined to say not much, because the move is fairly obvious if we forget about the general principle that the queen should not be developed early. Nevertheless, it looks like Sensory Chess Challenger has caused me to abandon the Budapest, unless some clever reader sends me a good line for black against 4.Q-Q4. (Next month's issue will contain a review of Sensory Chess Challenger.)



January 8, of course, was Sir Alfred Russell Wallace's birthday (he was the discoverer of evolution by natural selection). Alfred was not known to be a chess player, but then neither was Charles Darwin or JC. Therefore...

MERRY WALLACEMAS AND  
BEST WISHES FOR YOUR  
FUTURE EVOLUTION

Don Graft

# chess news & views

by Helen Warren

## THOSE INCREDIBLE TIEBREAK POINTS

Winning by a whisker isn't nearly as close as losing by a single tiebreaker. Ask the Hungarian team at the Malta Olympiad completed last month. Just when it looked as if Hungary would edge the USSR and repeat its stunning showing of two years ago at Buenos Aires, what happens? A curious run of circumstances and luck forced the Magyars to content themselves with the silver.

Here's how it happened...The last round of the Olympiad paired Hungary with Iceland and the USSR with Denmark, and the top spots were right on the line: Hungary and USSR went into the final encounter with equal scores. Should each match end in the same score, the tie would be broken by totals of the teams faced by each. Yes, that's what happened: The USSR mustered 3½ vs Denmark and Hungary did the same against Iceland--so the outcome ironically depended on two teams going nowhere, teams playing each other in the last round: Scotland vs Greece! The USSR had previously faced Greece and Hungary had played Scotland, so with all other tiebreakers counted, it came to this: should Scotland manage 1½ of the 4 vs Greece, the gold medal would go to Hungary; the USSR could clinch the gold if it took the match 3-1.

Scotland's foursome had been led by IM Pritchett. As the fates would have it, he was absent for the final match, sorely weakening the Scottish team. You guessed it! Greece trounced Scotland and gave the Soviets the gold medal on 449½ - 448 tie-break points--and Buenos Aires had been avenged.

The bronze was won by Yugoslavia with the USA a respectable fourth, and Czechoslovakia a point behind in fifth. Yasser Seirawan took the silver medal for Board 2 play with his fine 8-3 performance including a spectacular win over Tal. Others playing for the U.S. included Alburt, Christiansen, Tarjan, Shamkovitch, and deFirmian. All except deFirmian finished with a plus score.

Well, for the Hungarians all that might have been tolerable enough had there not been a repeat performance in the ladies' room... If I told you that the Hungarian women took 14 of 18 points in their finals rounds, you say, "That's looks like enough to win it!" You'd be wrong...the Soviet females racked up 14½ of their last 18. Women's World

Champion Maya Chiburdanidze scored a remarkable 11½/13 on Board 1 to lead the Soviets, and the USSR also captured board prizes for Board 2 with former World Champion Nona Gaprindashvili contributing 9½/11 and young Ioseliani earning 7½/9 on Board 4. The Polish women edged into third place overall for the bronze. Where did our girls come in? Not bad, really. We finished about where we started--in 16th.

+++++

Brilliancy prizes are generally ill-conceived attempts to reward flashy play, almost always accompanied by moves from a cooperative opponent. Not so this time. The women's brilliancy prize was won by Veroci of Hungary in the following stupendous game:

### VEROCI - GLAZ (Israel)

1 e4	c5	15 Nd6	Bd7
2 Nf3	e6	16 Rb7:	Bb6
3 d4	cd	17 Be4	Qf2:
4 Nd4:	Nf6	18 Kh1	h5
5 Nc3	Bb4	19 Qg5	Qe2
6 e5	Nd5	20 Bf3	Qc2:
7 Qg4	Kf8	21 Nf7:	e4
8 Bd3	d6	22 Rcl	Qd3
9 O-O	Nc3:	23 Ne5	Qa3:
10 bc	Bc3:	24 Rc8	Qf8
11 Rbl	de	25 Rf8:	Kf8:
12 Nb5	Ba5	26 Ng6	Kg8
13 Ba3	Kg8	27 Be4:	Resigns
14 Rfd1	Qf6		

+++++

It wasn't all fun and games at Malta, however. Some business was conducted--and some titles were awarded. Three GM titles went to American players: Edmar Mednis, Yasser Seirawan, and Andy Soltis. Six new nations were welcomed in the FIDE family: Antigua, Brunei, Egypt, Kenya, Senegal, and Zimbabwe. The site for the 1982 Olympiad was discussed without a firm decision made since Switzerland's original bid was revised and met with opposition. Final site choice will be announced in April when the FIDE Executive Council meets. 1984 will be a big year for Puerto Rico! They hold the 1984 first option for the Olympiad.

So Malta was able to pull it off after all, despite early misgivings. The Maltese deserve applause, indeed. But if I were an Hungarian, I'd consult a gypsy before 1982.



## BOOK REVIEW

By John Tomas

CHESS IS MY LIFE, Anatoly Karpov and Aleksander Roshal, Pergamon Press, 359 pages, index, photographs, List \$30 (HB) available from APCT for \$25.25; List \$14 (PB), APCT price \$12.85.

This book purports to be a biography of world champion Anatoly Karpov with autobiographical fragments and games. It is nothing of the sort. It is a work of propaganda in which the real life Karpov is only a subsidiary figure. The real hero is the Soviet state on which the book closes. Karpov's role is to be the perfect citizen of that state, different in degree but not in kind from any other citizen of that state—a sort of super soviet boy scout.

It goes without saying that this boy scout can do no wrong—even when his actions are diametrically opposed. If Karpov doesn't need a win and plays for a draw, his "realism" is praised; if, in an identical situation, he plays for a win, his fighting spirit is praised. If he refuses a chance for revenge, his equanimity is praised; when he takes a chance for revenge his personal pride is lauded.

If this was the extent of Roshal's silliness it would be relatively benign. Unfortunately, for Roshal at least, the existence of a hero presupposes a villain, and he didn't have to look very far to find one. Why else would the Soviets insist on the same English title for this book as for the previously published Korchnoi autobiography? Occasionally Roshal's need to expunge every mention of Korchnoi's name is unintentionally humorous. In an interview, Robert Byrne listed "Karpov, Tal, and Larsen" as favorites for the qualifying spots from the 1973 Leningrad Interzonal. In the next paragraph, however, he is quoted as saying "...I merely singled out these four." Any bets on whose name was dropped?


There is little humorous, however, about the long diatribe against Korchnoi. Roshal denigrates his ability,

attacks his manners at and away from the board and subjects his personality to the most self-serving pseudo "psychological" analysis. Were these Karpov's opinions there might be some justification for their inclusion. As it is they are merely one more attempt by the Soviet state to exact its revenge upon Viktor Korchnoi—the same state, it must be recalled, which attempted to strip Korchnoi of all FIDE titles, introduce a FIDE organized boycott of him and now boycotts every organizer who dares invite him, while preventing his wife's emigration and imprisoning his son.

These are not the only lies the book propounds. There is a consistent, subtle attempt to rewrite history—much as Kotov does in his biography of Alexander Alekhine. Salo Flohr is given a patronymic, just as though he is originally Russian and not an emigre from Czechoslovakia in the 1930s. The sections on Fischer and FIDE are masterpieces of innuendo; any FIDE vote Roshal dislikes is a result of the Machiavelian machinations of Ed Edmondson; any vote he likes is a triumph of the democratic process that he otherwise deplores.


The prime virtue of the book is that in it Karpov annotates his own games. In the last two decades only Fischer, Tal, Karpov, Reshevsky, and Larsen have done so among the great players. Since many of the games annotated here are also annotated in RHM's volume of Karpov's best games, the large number of unannotated games appended to the text by the English publishers should give it the edge. While I don't really like Karpov's often dry style or believe that his annotations are the equal of Fischer's or Larsen's (both of whom make me want to play chess), he should be commended for the attempt. Let us hope that this volume is remembered for Karpov's chess and not Roshal's propaganda.

(Reprinted with permission from Illinois Chess Bulletin, December 1980. John Tomas is the Associate Editor of the Illinois Chess Bulletin and a USCF Voting Member.)



# GAMES

By Dave Baurac



Send games to Dave Baurac, 7517 Country Lane South, Darien, Illinois 60559. If you give notes, you are entitled to a diagram, so be sure to indicate where you want it.

\*\*\*\*\*


Guatemala Defense: D. Graft, Wescom-V. Stamm, Western Electric Gambiteers, 1980. Notes by Don Graft unless otherwise indicated.

1. P-K4 P-QN3 2. N-KB3 B-R3 3. BxB NxB 4. P-Q4 (In the January 1981 issue of Chess Life, a letter to the "Ask the Masters" column asked for an opinion on "La Defensa Guatemalteca." International Master Jack Peters replied that "White can get an advantage with 1. P-K4 P-QN3 2. P-Q4 B-R3 3. BxB NxB 4. N-KB3 or 4. P-QB4!" After 4. N-KB3, we have the game at hand. -- D.B.) P-QB4 5. P-B4 PxP 6. NxP P-K4? (This leaves the black QP backward. Better is 6. ... R-B1, followed by ... N-B4, ... P-Q3 and the fianchetto of the KB.) 7. N-KB3 B-N5+ 8. QN-Q2 Q-B2 9. P-QN3 (9. Q-B2? P-QN4! 10. P-QN3 PxP 11. PxP R-B1, and now White too has a weak pawn.) B-B6 10. R-N1 N-B4 11. Q-B2 B-N5? (Since Black can be forced to play ... BxN+ by P-QR3, this move loses a tempo.) 12. O-O BxN? (The loss of a tempo is manifested in this capture, because the bishop moved twice to make the capture when one move would have sufficed. White used the free tempo to castle.) 13. NxB (Permitting P-B3.) N-K2 (The knight has limited mobility on K2. Black is preparing ... P-KB4 -- a bad plan, as will be seen.) 14. N-B3 (With the black knight on K2, White does not need P-B3.) O-O 15. B-N2 P-KB3 16. QR-Q1 (To exploit the weak QP.) Q-B3 17. KR-K1 QR-Q1 18. R-K2 (Prepares to double the rooks and allows a reactivation of the knight by way of K1.) P-Q3 19. N-K1 P-KB4? 20. PxP NxBP (20. ... RxP is no better.) 21. BxP! R-Q2 (21. ... PxB 22. RxR RxR 23. QxN N-Q2 is better because it eliminates White's strong QB.) 22. B-N2 N-KR5 23. P-B3 R2-B2 24. R-Q5! (Threatening 25. R-R5.) N-B4 25. Q-Q2 N-N2 26. N-B2 P-QR4 27. N-Q4 NxN 28. QxN R-Q1 29. R-KN5 Q-B2 30. R-N5 N-B4 31. P-QR3 R-K2 32. RxR QxR 33. Q-Q2 Q-QB2 34. Q-Q5+ K-R1 (In view of the pressure on the long black diagonal, 34. ... K-B1 seems better.) 35. P-QN4 PxP 36. PxP Q-K2 37. Q-Q2 N-R5 38. B-Q4 R-QN1 39. R-N5 R-N1 40. Q-K3 QxQ 41. BxQ N-N7 42. R-N4 P-KR4? (Thank you!) 43. R-R4 K-R2 44. RxP+ K-N3 45. R-R4 R-QB1 46. R-Q4 NxP 47. B-B4 R-Q1?? (47. ... R-B3 48. P-N5, and White regains the second pawn, which had only been on loan to Black, and wins easily with a two-pawn advantage and a bishop versus a knight.) 48. RxN K-B3 49. R-B6 P-QN4 50. RxP+ RxR 51. BxR K-K3 52. B-B5. 1-0.

\*\*\*\*\*

FIND WHITE'S BEST MOVE


This position is from D. Green-H. Underwood, Argonne Pawns v. Wheaton College, February 1980. White to move. What is his best? The answer is on the last page of the games section.



\*\*\*\*\*

Sicilian Defense: R. Reyes, Sears-M. Klein, Standard Oil, 1980.

1. P-K4 P-QB4 2. N-KB3 N-QB3 3. N-B3 P-QR3 4. P-Q4 PxP 5. NxP P-Q3  
 6. B-QB4 P-K3 7. O-O Q-B2 8. B-N3 N-B3 9. B-K3 B-K2 10. P-B4 O-O  
 11. Q-B3 NxN 12. BxN P-K4 13. B-K3 B-K3 14. P-B5 B-B5 15. R-B2 P-QN4  
 16. BxB QxB 17. B-N5 Q-B2 18. BxN BxB  
 19. N-Q5 Q-Q1 20. R-Q1 R-B1 21. P-B3  
 R-B4 22. K-R1 P-QR4 23. P-QR3 R-B5 24.  
 R2-Q2 B-N4 25. R-Q3 P-B3 26. P-QN3 R-B3  
 27. Q-K2 R-KB2 28. R-R3 Q-N1 29. Q-R5  
 P-R3 30. R3-Q3 Q-K1 31. P-KR4 B-B5 32.  
 P-N3 R-B4 33. PxP PxP 34. Q-B3 Q-K4 35.  
 NxP R-B3 36. N-N6 Q-B4 37. P-N4 PxP  
 38. BPxP Q-N3 39. P-K5 BPxP 40. RxP.  
 1-0. (If 40. ... RxR 41. Q-R8ch Q-Q1  
 42. QxQch RxQ 43. RxRch R-B1 44.  
 RxRch K-R2 45. R-R8 mate. -- R. Reyes.)



Final position.

\*\*\*\*\*

French Defense: W. Osborne, Standard Oil-T. Andresen, Sears, 1980.

1. P-K4 P-K3 2. B-B4? (2. P-Q4!) P-Q4 3. PxP PxP 4. B-N3 N-KB3 5. P-Q4  
 B-Q3 6. N-KB3? (6. B-N5!) B-N5 7. N-QB3? (Under the circumstances, a  
 better set-up for White would be 7. B-N5, followed by QN-Q2 and P-B3.  
 A defensive set-up, to be sure, but White has already abdicated the  
 initiative.) P-QB3 8. P-QR3? (Accomplishes nothing.) O-O 9. O-O Q-B2  
 10. P-R3 B-R4 11. B-N5 QN-Q2 12. Q-Q3? B-N3 13. Q-Q2 N-K5 14. NxN BxN  
 15. Q-K2 QR-K1 16. B-K3 B-B5 17. KR-K1 N-KB3 18. QR-B1 P-QN4 19. P-QR4  
 P-QR3 20. B-QR2 B-N3 21. Q-Q1 B-R4! 22. P-QN3 N-K5 23. P-QB3 N-KN4 24.  
 P-KN4 NxPch 25. K-N2 BxP 26. B-N1 P-KN3 27. B-Q3 N-KN4 28. B-K2 BxB 29.  
 PxP RxP 30. R-KB1 N-K5 31. Q-K1 Q-B1 32. K-R2 Q-N1 33. K-N2 PxP 34.  
 R-QB2 QxP 35. Q-N1 QxQ 36. RxQ NxP 37. K-B2 RxBch 38. RxR NxR 39. KxN  
 R-K1ch 40. K-B2 BxN 41. KxB R-K5 42. R-QB1 RxP 43. RxP P-KR4 44. RxRP  
 R-QN5 45. R-Q6 P-Q5 46. K-K4 P-KR5. O-1.

\*\*\*\*\*

Bishop's Opening: Greco-Anonymous, circa 1625. Odds game: remove  
 White's KN.

1. P-K4 P-K4 2. B-B4 N-KB3 3. P-Q4 NxP 4. PxP NxP 5. O-O NxQ 6. BxPch  
 K-Q2 7. B-N5 mate. 1-0.

\*\*\*\*\*

Victor Korchnoi of Switzerland was declared winner of the final candidates' match when his opponent, Robert Huebner of West Germany, withdrew after eight games.

The match, played in Merano, Italy, had been scheduled for 16 games. Korchnoi, who was leading 4 1/2 to 3 1/2, will face Anatoly Karpov of the Soviet Union this summer for the world championship.

"Huebner's actions tell me that his nerves cracked," said GM Michael Stean, Korchnoi's second, "that he couldn't stand the match pressure. But we were prepared for a fight."

Huebner, however, gave no explanation for his withdrawal. Shortly before the adjourned ninth and 10th games were to be resumed, he went to Korchnoi's hotel room, shook hands and left. According to GM Robert Byrne of the New York Times, Huebner held a small advantage in game nine and was at a disadvantage in game 10.

Huebner took an early lead, winning the first game of the match, but Korchnoi won the second to pull even. After a draw in game three, Huebner took the fourth contest to resume the lead.

He held the lead with draws in games five and six, but Korchnoi won game seven when Huebner blundered on move 63 and dropped a rook. The shock of losing a piece in a simple position apparently carried over into game eight, which Huebner also lost.

Caro-Kann Defense: R. Huebner-V. Korchnoi, Game One, Final Candidates' Match, Merano, 1980.

1. P-K4 P-QB3 2. P-Q4 P-Q4 3. N-QB3 PxP 4. NxP B-B4 5. N-N3 B-N3 6. P-KR4 P-KR3 7. N-B3 N-Q2 8. P-R5 B-R2 9. B-Q3 BxB 10. QxB Q-B2 11. B-Q2 KN-B3 12. O-O-O P-K3 13. N-K4 B-K2 14. K-N1 P-B4 15. NxNch NxN 16. PxP BxB 17. Q-K2 O-O 18. N-K5 KR-Q1 19. P-KB4 QR-B1 20. P-KN4 B-N3 21. B-B1 N-Q2 22. NxN RxN 23. P-N5 RxR 24. RxR Q-B5 25. R-Q3 PxP 26. PxP P-K4 27. P-N6 PxP 28. PxP R-B3 29. Q-N2 P-K5 30. R-KN3 R-B3 31. R-N4 Q-K3 32. RxP RxP 33. Q-K2 Q-R6 34. Q-B4ch K-B1 35. P-N3 R-QB3 36. B-R3ch. 1-0.

English Opening: V. Korchnoi-R. Huebner, Game Two, Final Candidates' Match, Merano, 1980.

1. P-QB4 P-QB4 2. P-KN3 N-QB3 3. N-KB3 P-KN3 4. P-Q4 PxP 5. NxP B-N2 6. N-B2 N-B3 7. B-N2 O-O 8. N-B3 P-Q3 9. O-O B-Q2 10. P-N3 Q-B1 11. B-N2 B-R6 12. R-N1 R-Q1 13. P-K4 BxB 14. KxB P-K3 15. R-K1 P-KR3 16. N-R4 R-N1 17. R-QB1 Q-Q2 18. Q-B3 Q-K2 19. N-K3 N-Q2 20. BxB KxB 21. Q-K2 P-KR4 22. KR-Q1 N-B4 23. N-B3 Q-B3 24. P-B3 P-QN4 25. PxP N-Q5 26. Q-KB2 NxP/4 27. N-K2 N-R6 28. N-Q4 QR-B1 29. Q-K2 P-Q4 30. P-K5 QxP 31. N/3-B5ch K-B3 32. QxQch KxQ 33. N-K7 P-R4 34. NxR RxN 35. R-B3 K-Q3 36. P-B4 P-B3 37. R/1-QB1 R-B2 38. P-QN4 N-R3 39. P-N5. 1-0.

English Opening: V. Korchnoi-R. Huebner, Game Four, Final Candidates' Match, Merano, 1980.

1. P-QB4 P-QB4 2. P-KN3 N-QB3 3. N-KB3 P-K4 4. N-B3 P-KN3 5. B-N2 B-N2  
6. O-O KN-K2 7. N-K1 P-Q3 8. N-B2 B-K3 9. P-Q3 P-Q4 10. P-N3 O-O 11.  
R-N1 R-B1 12. P-K4 PxKP 13. PXP N-Q5 14. N-K3 Q-Q2 15. N/K3-Q5 P-B4 16.  
B-N5 NxN 17. BPxN B-B2 18. B-R3 Q-Q3 19. P-B3 B-K1 20. R-B1 R-QB2 21.  
PxP PxP 22. B-K3 P-N4 23. Q-Q3 P-QR3 24. P-KN4 P-N5 25. BxN KPxB 26.  
N-Q1 B-N4 27. R-B4 PxP 28. BxP QxP 29. N-K3 Q-N4 30. N-N2/R-K2 31. Q-B2  
BxR 32. QxBch K-R1 33. QxRP P-Q6 34. QxP B-Q5ch 35. K-R1 R-R2 36. P-KR4  
Q-N3 37. QxQ PxQ 38. P-R5 PxP 39. B-K6 RxRP 40. P-B4 R-R8 41. RxR BxR  
42. K-R2 K-N2 43. K-N3 R-K1 44. B-Q5 R-K7 45. K-B3 R-Q7 46. B-B4 B-Q5  
47. N-K1 K-B3 48. N-N2 R-B7ch 49. K-N3 R-B7 50. B-N8 R-B6ch 51. K-R2  
B-B7. 0-1.

French Defense: R. Huebner-V. Korchnoi, Game Seven, Final Candidates' Match, Merano, 1981.

1. P-K4 P-K3 2. P-Q4 P-Q4 3. N-Q2 P-QB4 4. PxQP KPXP 5. B-N5ch N-B3  
6. KN-B3 PxP 7. Q-K2ch Q-K2 8. NXP QxQch 9. KxQ B-Q2 10. N/2-B3 N-B3  
11. R-K1 NxNch 12. NxN O-O-O 13. B-Q3 B-QB4 14. P-QB3 QR-K1ch 15. K-B1  
RxRch 16. KxR N-N5 17. B-KB4 P-B3 18. R-Q1 N-K4 19. B-B2 BxN 20. RxB  
B-K3 21. P-B3 P-KR3 22. K-B2 R-K1 23. R-Q1 K-Q2 24. R-K1 N-B5 25. P-KR4  
P-R3 26. B-N6 R-QB1 27. P-KN4 R-B3 28. R-K2 P-N4 29. P-R5 P-R4 30. B-Q3  
R-N3 31. P-N3 N-Q3 32. R-B2 N-B2 33. B-K2 N-K4 34. R-Q2 K-B3 35. K-N3  
R-N2 36. B-K3 N-B2 37. B-Q4 R-N1 38. B-B1 K-B2 39. K-B4 N-Q1 40. B-Q3  
B-Q2 41. K-N3 N-K3 42. B-KB2 P-R5 43. B-B2 PxP 44. PxP K-Q3 45. B-K4  
B-B3 46. B-K3 R-QR1 47. P-QB4 PxP 48. PxP P-Q5 49. BxQP BxB 50. BxPch  
K-B4 51. B-K7ch KxP 52. PxB R-R2 53. B-Q6 N-N4 54. K-B4 R-B2ch 55. K-K3  
R-B6ch 56. K-K2 R-B2 57. B-K5 N-B6 58. R-B2ch K-N4 59. B-R1 N-R7 60.  
K-Q3 NXP 61. R-N2 R-B6ch 62. K-Q4 R-B5 63. K-Q5?? N-K6ch 64. K-K5.  
0-1.

Queen's Gambit Accepted: V. Korchnoi-R. Huebner, Game Eight, Final Candidates' Match, Merano, 1981.

1. P-Q4 P-Q4 2. P-QB4 PxP 3. N-KB3 P-QR3 4. P-K3 N-KB3 5. BxP P-K3  
6. Q-K2 P-B4 7. PxP BxP 8. O-O P-QN4 9. B-Q3 N-B3 10. N-B3 B-N2 11.  
P-K4 N-Q2 12. R-Q1 Q-B2 13. B-N5 O-O 14. QR-B1 P-B3 15. B-K3 BxB 16.  
QxB QR-K1 17. P-QR4 PxP 18. NXP Q-R4 19. B-B2 N/2-K4 20. NxN PxN 21.  
Q-B5 R-Q1 22. QxQ NxQ 23. N-B5 B-B1 24. B-Q3 R-Q5 25. B-B1 K-B2 26.  
RxR PxR 27. P-QN4 N-B3 28. N-Q3 N-K2 29. R-B7 R-Q1 30. P-B4 R-Q3 31.  
K-B2 K-K1 32. N-K5 K-Q1 33. R-B4 K-K1 34. B-Q3 B-Q2 35. R-B7 B-N4  
36. BxBch PxB 37. R-R7 N-N3 38. NxN PxN 39. P-K5 R-B3 40. RxP R-B5  
41. RxP K-B2 42. R-N3 RxP 43. R-Q3. 1-0.

\*\*\*\*\*

Scotch Game: Biriescu-Ciocaltea, Satu Mare, 1979.

1. e4 e5 2. Nf3 Nc6 3. d4 ed 4. Nd4 Bc5 5. Nb3 Bb4+ 6. Bd2 Bd2+ 7. Qd2  
Nf6 8. Nc3 O-O 9. O-O-O Re8! 10. f3 d6 11. g4 Be6 12. g5 Nd7 13. h4 a5!  
14. Bb5 Nb4! 15. Bd7 Bd7 16. a3 Nc6 17. f4 b5! 18. h5! b4 19. Nd5 Ne7  
20. Nf6?! gf 21. gf Nf5! 22. e5?! Kh8 23. Rhg1 Rg8 24. ab ab 25. Qb4  
Rg1! 26. Rg1 Ra4! 27. Qd2 Qa8 28. Kb1 Be6 29. Re1 Ral+! 0-1.

\*\*\*\*\*

Nana Alexandria and Nana Ioseliani, both of the Soviet Union, will soon be playing a match for the right to challenge the women's world champion, 17-year-old Maya Chiburdanidze, also of the Soviet Union. Alexandria and Ioseliana, who is also 17 years old, are the survivors of a field of eight candidates.

Sicilian Defense: Z. Veroci-N. Ioseliani, Women's Candidates' Match, 1980.

1. P-K4 P-QB4 2. N-KB3 P-Q3 3. P-Q4 PxP 4. NxP N-KB3 5. N-QB3 P-QR3  
6. B-K3 P-K3 7. P-KN3 P-R3 8. Q-K2 N-B3 9. O-O-O B-Q2 10. P-B3 R-B1  
11. P-KR4 NxN 12. BxN P-K4 13. B-K3 Q-R4 14. B-R3 RxN 15. PxR P-Q4  
16. PxP B-R6ch 17. K-N1 B-N4 18. Q-K1 B-B5 19. B-QB1 O-O 20. B-B1  
BxPch 21. KxB BxBch 22. K-N1 Q-R6 23. RxB NxQP 24. QxP NxPch 25. QxN  
QxQ 26. B-Q3 R-Q1 27. KR-K1 P-KN3. 0-1.

\*\*\*\*\*


Sicilian Defense: Guseinov-Uusi, USSR, 1979.

1. e4 c5 2. Nf3 Nc6 3. d4 cd 4. Nd4 Nf6 5. Nc3 d6 6. Bc4 e6 7. Be3 Be7  
8. Qe2 a6 9. O-O-O Qc7 10. Bb3 O-O 11. g4 Nd7 (11. ... Nd4 12. Rd4 b5  
13. g5 Nd7 14. h4 Nc5 15. f4!? is unclear.) 12. Rhg1 Nc5 13. g5 Bd7 14.  
Qh5 (White intends Rg3-h3.) Rfc8 15. Rg3 g6 16. Qh6 Bf8 17. Qh4 Be7 18.  
Bc4?! h5 (Advantage to Black.) 19. Nf5!? b5! (19. ... ef 20. Nd5 Qa5  
21. Nf6+ Bf6 22. gf Be6 23. Qh5 and White should win. If 20. ... Qd1  
21. Bc5 dc 22. ef Bg5+ 23. Qg5 Qg5+ 24. Rg5 and White threatens both  
25. Nf6+ and 25. Nb6.) 20. Nh6+ Kg7 21. Bf1 b4 22. Bc5 bc 23. Ba3 cb+  
24. Bb2+ e5 25. Rd2 (25. f4 Nb4) Rab8 26. Bc4-Rb2! 27. Kb2 Qa5 28. Rd5  
Qb4+ 29. Bb3 Be6 30. a3 Qb6 31. Re3 a5 32. a4 Bd5 33. ed Na7? (33. ...  
Nd4!) 34. Rf3 Rf8 35. Re3 Nc8 36. Re4 (36. Qg3! Qd8 37. h4.) Qd8 (Black  
has a winning advantage.) 37. f4 ef. 0-1.

\*\*\*\*\*

King's Indian: Plachetka-Basagic, Sofia, 1979.

1. d4 Nf6 2. c4 g6 3. Nc3 Bg7 4. e4 d6 5. Nf3 O-O 6. Be2 Bg4 7. O-O  
Nfd7 8. Be3 Nc6 9. d5 Bf3 10. gf!? (A theoretical novelty, improving  
upon 10. Bf3 Na5 11. Be2! after which Black stands slightly better.  
Cuellar-Tal, Leningrad, 1973, continued  
11. ... Bc3 12. bc e5 13. de! fe 14. f4  
Qe7 15. Qa4 b6 16. Rael Nb7 17. Bf3  
Nbc5 18. Qc2 Rae8 with a small advantage  
to Black.) Ncb8 11. f4 Bh6 12. Kh1 e5  
13. Qd2 f6 14. Rg1 a5 15. Rg3 Rf7 16.  
Rag1 Nf8 17. Bg4 ef 18. Bf4 Bf4 19. Qf4  
Qe7 20. Nb5 Na6 21. Nd4 Nc5 22. f3 Qe5  
23. Qe3 Rg7 24. b3 Ra6? (24. ... Re8!  
See diagram.) 25. Nf5! (And White  
wins.) gf 26. Bf5 Rg3 27. Rg3+ Kf7 28.  
Qh6! Nd3 29. Qh5+! Ke7 30. Rg7+ Kd8 31.  
Qf7 Qa1+ 32. Rg1. 1-0.



Position after 24. ... Ra6.

\*\*\*\*\*

Bent Larsen of Denmark won the Clarin International Tournament held last November in Buenos Aires, Argentina, with a 9 1/2 to 3 1/2 score. He won eight, lost two and drew 3.

World Champion Anatoly Karpov of the Soviet Union tied for fourth place with 7 1/2 points, winning four, losing two and drawing seven. This was the first time since his last match with Korchnoi that Karpov entered a tournament and failed to win it.

Larsen finished a scant 1/2 point ahead of the field, but the tournament was not close. He won 9 1/2 of the first 11 rounds, and lost only the last two games which by then were meaningless.

Caro-Kann Defense: Y. Balashov-B. Larsen, Buenos Aires, 1980.

1. P-K4 P-QB3 2. P-Q4 P-Q4 3. PXP PXP 4. P-QB4 (The Panov-Botvinnik attack, which nearly put the Caro-Kann out of business in the 1940s until the proper defense was found.) N-KB3 5. N-QB3 P-K3 6. N-B3 B-K2 7. PXP PXP 8. B-Q3 N-B3 9. P-KR3 O-O 10. O-O B-K3 11. B-K3 R-B1 12. Q-K2 N-QN5 13. B-N1 N-K5 14. R-B1 NxN 15. RxN RxR 16. PXR N-B3 17. B-Q3 Q-B1 18. R-QB1 B-R6 19. R-N1 P-QN3 20. Q-B2 P-KR3 21. R-K1 B-Q3 22. B-Q2 R-Q1 23. Q-N1 B-B1 24. Q-B2 N-K2 25. N-R4 Q-B3 26. P-KB4 B-Q2 27. N-B3 Q-B1 28. N-K5 B-B4 29. P-N4 BxB 30. QxB N-B3 31. P-B5 NxN 32. PxN Q-B5 33. QxQ PxQ 34. R-K2 P-QN4 35. B-K3 R-Q6 36. BxQRP RxBP 37. K-N2 R-Q6 38. B-K3 P-N5 39. P-KR4 P-N6 40. PXP PXP 41. B-B1 B-N5 42. B-N2 K-B1 43. P-N5 PXP 44. PXP B-B4 45. P-K6 PXP 46. PXP B-Q5 47. B-R3ch K-K1 48. P-N6 B-B3 49. R-KB2 R-Q4 50. K-R3 R-R4. O-1.

\*\*\*\*\*

The USCF reports that GM Tony Miles of England posted a 7-5 score to win the 1980 Vrbas Tournament in Yugoslavia. Ten of the 12 participants were grandmasters.

Sicilian Defense: Ivanovic-Miles, Vrbas 1980.

1. e4 c5 2. Nf3 d6 3. d4 cd 4. Nd4 Nf6 5. Nc3 g6 6. Be3 Bg7 7. f3 O-O 8. Qd2 Nc6 9. Bc4 Bd7 10. h4 Rc8 11. Bb3 h5 12. O-O-O Ne5 13. Bg5 Rc5 14. f4 Nc4 15. Qd3 b5 16. f5 Ne5 17. Qe3 a5 18. fg Ng6 19. a3 b4 20. Bf6 Bf6 21. Na4 Ba4 22. Ba4 Qb6 23. Rh3 ba 24. Qa3 Bd4 25. Rd4 Rc2+ 26. Bc2 Qd4 27. Kb1 Rc8 28. Rh1 Qd2 29. Qb3 Ne5 30. Rc1 Kf8 31. g3 Nc4 32. Qc3 f6 33. e5 de 34. Bf5 Na3. O-1.

\*\*\*\*\*

Answer to Green-Underwood: The game continued 18. Rh3 Bf2+ 19. Kg2 Qc6+ 20. Bd5 and Black resigned, but White could have forced mate immediately with 18. Qh7+!

\*\*\*\*\*



---

## NORTH DIVISION REPORT

---



By Jim Brotsos

Through majority approval by North Division Captains, Dean Muench's inclusion on the Kemper squad is permitted for the remainder of this season. This does not include residual eligibility privileges.

The Excaliburs have used one of their privileges under Section II, Paragraph C of the rules. Buerger's clock was started on board 1 against Oelhafen of Apex, and Buerger subsequently failed to appear. If the Excaliburs repeat this type of forfeiture, they will forego this privilege for the remainder of the season, and opposing team captains can then require all Excaliburs present to move up to fill board vacancies before a match begins.

Incidentally, this was the first time since Buerger began playing as an alumnus about nine years ago that he forfeited a game--a case of mixed-up communications on the match date.

At the moment, the Teletype Knights appear to be the team to beat in the North Division. Along with the Excaliburs and Motorola, they are undefeated, and also happen to have the best ratio of game points won per match played. Motorola figures to be the other playoff contestant from the North Division.



---

## NEAR WEST DIVISION REPORT

---



By Dan Kumro

If a universal (all league) tie break system for the MVP awards is not settled by the four division chairmen, the Near West Division will use the tie break system outlined in Bulletins 3 and 4 of last season.

After five rounds, the teams leading the Near West Division (.500 average or better) are the Bell Labs Players, WE Chargers, Argonne Knights, and WE Gambiteers.

Team captains please note that round 7 must be completed on schedule as rounds 8, 9, and 10 will be a Swiss system, and I must know all the results, including round 7, to make the pairings.

The answers to my quiz questions in the previous Bulletin will appear in the next Bulletin. It is taking rather more time to research definitive answers than I had expected.



## FAR WEST DIVISION REPORT



By Joe Brozovich

Pardon me while I choke on my own feet, shoes and all. While I've been telling everyone about the two-horse race in the Far West Division, the ANL Pawns have been knocking off all their opponents without uttering a sound. It looks like the Pawns have risen again to take an active part in the battle for first place in the Far West Division. The next round features a showdown between the league-leading Royals and the second-place Pawns. Good luck to all as we finish the first half of our schedule and start the second half. And look out for those Pawns; they're silent but deadly.



## CICL SIMULTANEOUS DISPLAY ANNOUNCEMENT



On March 21, 1981, at 12:00 noon, USCF Master Gene Martinowsky will give a simultaneous display. The display will be held at Western Electric's Albright Gym (50th Avenue and West Cermak Road). Full details will be included in the next bulletin.



## MOST IMPROVED PLAYERS



HOSKINS, E	SIGND	89
SPLAVER, H	PLYRS	80
BRIONES, H	PRCON	72
SUE, H	TTY2	71
SANELSON, C	PLYRS	67
HANSON, D	SARAL	66
WALHOUT, M	CRSDR	57
WILKINSON, D	FERMI	53
SKUJINS, P	KENPR	52
THOMAS, G	PLYRS	51
ANDERSON, D	ROYLS	51



# CICL TEAM STANDINGS



## NEAR WEST DIVISION 13-Jan-81

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME MATCH		PCT
				POINTS	POINTS	
BTL PLAYERS	4	0	1	19.0	4.5	0.900
WE CHARGERS	4	1	0	22.0	4.0	0.800
ANL KNIGHTS	2	2	0	13.5	2.0	0.500
WE GAMBITEERS	1	1	2	12.0	2.0	0.500
INT'L HARVESTER	1	2	1	10.5	1.5	0.375
WESCOM	0	2	2	8.5	1.0	0.250
CPC INTERNATIONAL	0	4	0	4.5	0.0	0.000

## NORTH DIVISION 13-Jan-81

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME MATCH		PCT
				POINTS	POINTS	
EXCALIBERS	5	0	0	24.0	5.0	1.000
MOTOROLA	5	0	0	23.5	5.0	1.000
TELETYPE KNIGHTS	4	0	0	19.5	4.0	1.000
ZENITH-GLENVIEW	2	3	1	13.0	2.5	0.417
KEMPER INSURANCE	2	1	0	10.5	2.0	0.667
FEL-PRO	2	2	0	10.0	2.0	0.500
PROCON/UOP	1	2	1	12.0	1.5	0.375
SIGNODE	1	2	1	11.0	1.5	0.375
TELETYPE #2	0	3	1	8.5	0.5	0.125
KITCHENS OF SARA LEE	0	5	0	9.0	0.0	0.000
APEX TOOL WORKS	0	4	0	3.0	0.0	0.000

## FAR WEST DIVISION 13-Jan-81

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME MATCH		PCT
				POINTS	POINTS	
BTL ROYALS	4	0	1	20.0	4.5	0.900
ANL PAWNS	4	1	0	21.5	4.0	0.800
ANL ROOKS	3	1	1	18.5	3.5	0.700
WHEATON COLLEGE	3	3	0	17.0	3.0	0.500
FERMILABS	1	3	1	12.5	1.5	0.300
WE KINGSMEN	1	3	1	12.0	1.5	0.300
AMOCO	0	5	0	6.5	0.0	0.000

## EAST DIVISION 13-Jan-81

TEAM NAME	W	L	D	GAME MATCH		PCT
				POINTS	POINTS	
SEARS	2	0	1	14.5	2.5	0.833
ALUMNI CENTRAL	1	0	1	8.0	1.5	0.750
HARZA ENGINEERING	1	2	0	9.0	1.0	0.333
STANDARD OIL COMPANY	1	2	0	6.0	1.0	0.333
FIRST NATIONAL BANK	1	2	0	3.5	1.0	0.333



DIVISION TOP TEN



EAST DIVISION

REYES, R	SEARS	2312
CZERNIECKI	ALUHN	2122
LATIMER, E	SEARS	1962
NURME, U	HARZI	1933*
GIBBS	FNBK	1921
ANDRESEN, T	SEARS	1883
GOLLA, R	SEARS	1842
FABIJONAS, R	ALUHN	1825C
DUNWORTH, J	ALUHN	1825
FEILER, K	FNBK	1797

FAR WEST DIVISION

STREETS, D	PAUNS	2107
JAKSTAS, K	ROYLS	2082
JACKSON, J	ROOKS	1907C
MIHAILOVICH, S	PAUNS	1898C
PARKER, L	KNGHN	1866
BERRY, G	ROOKS	1865C
WILKINSON, D	FERMI	1846*
WALHOUT, M	CRSDR	1818
LEONARD, T	CRSDR	1784*
GAINES, I	FERMI	1740

NORTH DIVISION

BUERGER, E	EXCAL	2169
WONG, F	EXCAL	2023
TEGEL, F	TTY	2022C
LEONG, G	KEMPR	1938
SULLIVAN, J	EXCAL	1863C
JACOBS, N	TTY	1860
STYS, A	PRCON	1859
KARLOVICS, S	EXCAL	1841
SCHULMAN, R	ZENTH	1813
KOZACKY, J	PRCON	1800

NEAR WEST DIVISION

BENEDEK, R	KNGHT	2124
WARREN, J	CHRGR	2023C
SPLAVER, H	PLYRS	1941
MARCOWKA, R	CHRGR	1856
YOUNG, C	KNGHT	1851
PETERSON, M	KNGHT	1803C
GRAFT, D	WESCH	1729
KUMRO, D	CHRGR	1727C
DOBR, K	CHRGR	1726C
KUBAL, C	GAMBT	1685*



# MATCH RESULTS



## FAR WEST DIVISION

20-NOV-80		AMOCO		0	ANL PAWNS		6	
ROUND 4	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	MUIR, L	1627	-3	0	STREETS, D	2092	3	1
2	DEE, M	1518	-5	0	MIHAILOVICH, S	1880	3	1
3	LEE, RJ	1664	-24	0	GREEN, D	1645	24	1
4	DZADZIC, P	1527	-18	0	RENNER, T	1604	18	1
5	SPANGLER, M	1505	-31	0	FEDER, H	1363	31	1
6	LEE, J	0000	0	0	DRAGEL, G	1298	0	1

02-DEC-80		ANL ROOKS		2.5	ANL PAWNS		3.5	
ROUND 6	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	JACKSON, J	1915	-8	0	STREETS, D	2095	12	1
2	BERRY, G	1880	-15	0	MIHAILOVICH, S	1883	15	1
3	WILSON, R	1733	-3	.5	GREEN, D	1669	5	.5
4	BAURAC, D	1617	25	1	APPELMAN, E	1648	-25	0
5	DECHMAN, S	1504	-15	0	RENNER, T	1622	15	1
6	SHIRKEY, L	1545	14	1	WALSH, W	1531	-14	0

17-DEC-80		BTL ROYALS		5	WHEATON COLLEGE		1	
ROUND 6	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	JAKSTAS, K	2075	7	1	LEONARD, T	1791	-7	0
2	HOPFNER, P	1730	-18	0	WALHOUT, M	1800	18	1
3	BHOJWANI, C	1700	13	1	UNDERWOOD, H	1545	-13	0
4	ANDERSON, D	1663	9	1	LUBENEC, S	1420	-9	0
5	LI, T	1280	33	1	CONGDON, B	1458	-33	0
6	WESTON, P	1420	0	1	SCHIEFERSTEIN, A	0000	0	0

## NORTH DIVISION

11-NOV-80		ZENITH-GLENVIEW		4	KITCHENS OF SARA LEE		2	
ROUND 4	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	SCHULMAN, R	1836	0	1	CHAN, P	0000	0	0
2	AGPAWA, T	1625	-5	.5	SIVULA, B	1548	5	.5
3	NINKOVIC, S	1524	-8	.5	HANSON, D	1395	8	.5
4	SKULSKI, I	0000	0	0	SZATHMARY, F	1384	0	1
5	SKINNER, S	0000	0	1	RATAJCZAK, J	1184	0	0
6	KARLSSON, T	1123	0	1	TATRO, B	0000	0	0

13-NOV-80		TELETYPE KNIGHTS		6	APEX TOOL WORKS		0		
ROUND 4	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE			
1	TEGEL, F	2016	0	1	DELHAFEN, A	0000	0	0	
2	JACOBS, N	1860	0	1	WHITTENHALL; JR	0000	0	0	
3	WAGNER, R	1697	0	1	RACUTT, E	0000	0	0	
4	DURKEE, D	1749	0	1	DIBERARDINO, D	0000	0	0	
5	ZUERCHER, J	1364	0	1	PANFIL, J	0000	0	0	
6	MANZKE, B	0000	0	1	BOWERS, A	0000	0	0	
(TTY2)	7	CARPENTER, P	0000	0	1	WHITTENHALL; SR	0000	0	0
(TTY2)	8	SHUKLA, P	1319	0	1	ABE, P	0000	0	0

18-NOV-80		KEMPER INSURANCE		5	SIGNODE	1		
ROUND 3	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	LEONG, G	1965	4	1	STENSAKER, L	1543	-4	0
2	BARBER, H	1442	18	1	HUFF, S	1377	-18	0
3	SKUJINS, P	1564	13	1	PASTURCZAK, RJ	1409	-13	0
4	JOHNSTON, D	1348	9	1	EVANS, V	1115	-9	0
5	MOSHER, G	1229	-22	0	HOSKINS, E	1238	22	1
6	CUMMUTA, P	1124	0	1	WHITTHAN, R	0000	0	0
7	MUNKACSY	0000	0	1	LESNER, K	0000	0	0

25-NOV-80		KEMPER INSURANCE		1.5	PROCON/UOP	4.5		
ROUND 4	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	LEONG, G	1969	-31	0	STYS, A	1835	31	1
2	BARBER, H	1460	0	0	NICHLICH, F	0000	0	1
3	SKUJINS, P	1577	16	1	DNYTRENKO, S	1476	-16	0
4	JOHNSTON, D	1357	1	.5	STUHLBARG, D	1359	-1	.5
5	BLOEDOW, P	1449	-14	0	BRIONES, M	1588	14	1
6	MOSHER, G	1207	-15	0	CHEVERESAN, S	1331	15	1
7	CUMMUTA, P	1124	0	1	BAUMANN, W	0000	0	0

02-DEC-80		TELETYPE #2		1.5	MOTOROLA	4.5		
ROUND 5	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	SUE, H	1479	39	1	GONCHAROFF, N	1789	-26	0
2	KLEHM, J	1508	-10	0	NEUMARK, J	1723	7	1
3	GILMER, J	1435	13	.5	GREENSPAN, P	1650	-8	.5
4	SHUKLA, P	1319	-7	0	OGASAWARA, R	1627	4	1
5	GERNES, L	1341	-9	0	HENNING, J	1581	6	1
6	CARPENTER, P	0000	0	0	BLAZEVIK, C	1442	0	1
7	ERICSON, D	1314	0	1	TOLSON, M	0000	0	0
8	DALKA, C	906	0	0	HEFFERNON, D	0000	0	1

03-DEC-80		FEL-PRO		2.5	ZENITH-GLENVIEW	3.5		
ROUND 5	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	FERNANDEZ, J	1458	-5	0	SCHULMAN, R	1836	5	1
2	SHAPIRO, H	0000	0	1	AGPAWA, T	1620	0	0
3	WEITZ, R	1315	30	1	CONWAY, F	1434	-30	0
4	POKATILOFF, W	1385	9	.5	NINKOVIC, S	1516	-9	.5
5	KASPER, J	974	0	0	SKULSKI, I	0000	0	1
6	ELIKAN, F	0000	0	0	WEIGAND, J	1335	0	1
7	EDWARDS, B	0000	0	0	SKINNER, S	1132	0	1

03-DEC-80		EXCALIBERS		4	PROCON/UOP	2		
ROUND 5	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE		
1	BUERGER, E	2162	7	1	STYS, A	1866	-7	0
2	WONG, P	2023	0	1	NICHLICH, F	0000	0	0
3	KARLOVICS, S	1878	-37	0	BRIONES, M	1652	37	1
4	SULLIVAN, J	1859	4	1	VITERI, M	1542	-6	0
5	BROTSOS, J	1605	9	1	DNYTRENKO, S	1460	-14	0
6	BYCKOWSKI, M	1496	-31	0	STUHLBARG, D	1358	31	1
7	VOLPE, M	1282	38	1	ANSARI, N	1580	-38	0
8	EDWARDS, S	1223	30	1	CHEVERESAN, S	1346	-30	0

04-DEC-80 KITCHENS OF SARA LEE			1	TELETYPE KNIGHTS			5
ROUND 5	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE	
	1	ANDERSON,N	1771 -9	0	TEGEL,F	2016 6	1
	2	CHAN,P	0000 0	.5	JACOBS,N	1860 0	.5
	3	SIVULA,B	1553 12	.5	DURKEE,D	1749-12	.5
	4	HANSON,D	1406 -7	0	WAGNER,R	1697 7	1
	5	SZATHARY,F	1384-14	0	CARUS,B	1523 14	1
	6	RATAJCZAK,J	1184-12	0	ZUERCHER,J	1364 12	1
	7	TATRO,B	0000 0	0	HANZKE,B	0000 0	1

11-DEC-80 MOTOROLA			5	ZENITH-GLENVIEW			1
ROUND 6	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE	
	1	GONCHAROFF,N	1763 18	1	SCHULMAN,R	1841-28	0
	2	NEUMARK,J	1730 6	1	NINKOVIC,S	1507-10	0
	3	OGASAWARA,R	1631 0	0	SKULSKI,I	0000 0	1
	4	HENNING,J	1587 0	1	PUSKAS	0000 0	0
	5	BLAZEVICH,C	1442 14	1	FOGELSON,M	1307-14	0
	6	VICK,H		0	1F SKINNER,S		0 OF

### NEAR WEST DIVISION

16-DEC-80 BTL PLAYERS			3.5	WESCOM			2.5
ROUND 5	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE	
	1	SPLAVER,H	1941 0	1	DAWSON,T	0000 0	0
	2	SAHELSON,C	1679 4	.5	GRAFT,D	1733 -4	.5
	3	DEAK,T	1487-18	0	POPPS,W	1562 18	1
	4	DYER,G	0000 0	1	MEYER,D	0000 0	0
	5	DEWITT,G	1350 0	1	SINDEWALD,G	0000 0	0
	6	HADISON	0000 0	0	STELTON,N	0000 0	1

17-DEC-80 CPC INTERNATIONAL			1.5	WE GAMBITEERS			4.5
ROUND 5	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE	
	1	PARMETER,S	1603 1	.5	STAMM,V	1610 0	.5
	2	ARMBRUSTER,F	1477 29	1	KIMBLER,C	1572-29	0
	3	URBAS,B	1527-14	0	KUBAL,C	1671 14	1
	4	WALKER,W	0000 0	0	ALOJADO,M	1592 0	1
	5	STEJSKAL,J	1296-15	0	THOMAS,J	1414 15	1
	6	MUDDE,J	1251-24	0	STOCK,R	1223 24	1
	7	FORFIET		0	0F ALBRECHT,R		0 1F

18-DEC-80 ANL KNIGHTS			2	WE CHARGERS			4
ROUND 5	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE	
	1	BENEDEK,R	2132 -8	.5	WARREN,J	2018 5	.5
	2	YOUNG,C	1825 26	1	MARCOUKA,R	1882-26	0
	3	PETERSON,M	1823-20	0	KUMRO,D	1707 20	1
	4	KELLOGG,K	1629-17	0	DOBR,K	1715 11	1
	5	DEVER,D	1549 5	.5	RADAVICIUS,E	1615 -5	.5
	6	BURBA,K	1408-11	0	FAJKUS,J	1600 7	1
	7	COOVER,K	1386 4	.5	SWIDERSKI,M	1439 -4	.5
	8	UHERKA,K	1383 0	0	DISSEN,B	0000 0	1



# RATINGS



NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
ABE, P	APEX	0	2	0	0000?	CHOD, Y	STAND	1	0	1	0000?
ABEL, W	PRCON	0	0	1	0000?	COMROE, R	MTRLA	1	0	0	1279*
AGEE, L	STAND	2	1	0	0000?	CONGDON, B	CRSDR	0	1	0	1425*
AGPAVA, T	ZENTH	1	1	1	1620	CONWAY, F	ZENTH	0	2	1	1404
ALBRECHT, R	GAMBT	2	0	0	0000?	COOVER, K	KNIGHT	1	2	1	1390*
ALLEN, T	APEX	1	0	0	0000?	COX, M	ALUMN	1	0	0	1750*
ALOJADO, M	GAMBT	2	1	1	1592	CUMMUTA, P	KEMPR	3	0	0	1124#
ANPULSKI, J	EXCAL	1	1	0	980*	CZARNATA	PRCON	0	1	0	0000?
ANDERSON, C	PLYRS	1	1	1	1540#	CZERNIECKI	ALUMN	1	1	0	2122
ANDERSON, D	ROYLS	4	0	1	1672	DALEY, J	PAWNS	0	1	0	1364
ANDERSON, N	SARAL	1	3	0	1762	DALKA, C	TTY2	0	4	0	906#
ANDRESEN, T	SEARS	2	0	0	1883	DARGIS, R	ALUMN	0	1	0	1564
ANSARI, N	PRCON	0	2	0	1542*	DAWSON, T	WESCH	0	4	0	0000?
APPELMAN, E	PAWNS	0	1	0	1623	DEAK, T	PLYRS	1	3	1	1459*
ARNBRUSTER, F	CPCIL	2	1	0	1506*	DECHAN, S	ROOKS	3	1	1	1459
ATKINSON, J	FNBK	0	1	0	0000?	DEE, M	AMOCO	0	3	2	1513
BALASE, E	SEARS	1	0	0	1435#	DELEON, J	MTRLA	1	0	0	0000?
BARBER, H	KEMPR	1	2	0	1460*	DETMERS, J	ROYLS	1	0	0	0000?
BARRETT, B	MTRLA	1	0	0	0000?	DEVER, D	KNIGHT	1	1	2	1554
BAUHANN, W	PRCON	0	1	0	0000?	DEWITT, G	PLYRS	2	1	0	1350*
BAURAC, D	ROOKS	3	1	1	1642	DIBERARDINO, D	APEX	1	3	0	0000?
BENEDEK, R	KNIGHT	2	1	1	2124	DISSEN, B	CHRGR	2	0	0	0000?
BERRY, G	ROOKS	3	1	1	1865C	DNYTRENKO, S	PRCON	0	4	0	1446
BHOJUANI, C	ROYLS	3	1	1	1713	DORF, K	CHRGR	3	1	0	1726C
BIESZKI, B	MTRLA	1	0	0	0000?	DONOVAN, E	PRCON	1	0	0	0000?
BINGLE, J	PAWNS	1	1	1	1568C	DORFMAN, L	PRCON	1	0	0	0000?
BLAUMUELLER, F	GAMBT	1	1	0	0000?	DRAGEL, G	PAWNS	1	1	1	1298
BLAZEVIK, C	MTRLA	2	0	0	1456#	DULAY	PRCON	0	1	0	0000?
BLAZIE, J	KNGMN	2	1	2	1636	DUNWORTH, J	ALUMN	1	1	0	1825
BLOEDOW, P	KEMPR	0	1	0	1435*	DURKEE, D	TTY	3	0	1	1737*
BOWERS, A	APEX	0	3	0	0000?	DYER, G	PLYRS	4	0	0	0000?
BRIONES, M	PRCON	3	0	0	1639*	DZADZIC, P	AMOCO	0	1	2	1509*
BROADUS, R	MTRLA	0	1	0	0000?	DZIEDZIC	IHARV	1	0	0	0000?
BROSIG, A	SIGND	2	0	0	1677	EDWARDS, S	EXCAL	2	1	0	1253*
BROTSOS, J	EXCAL	5	0	0	1614C	EHRlich, E	WESCH	0	1	0	0000?
BROZOVICH, J	KNGMN	1	3	1	1673	ELIKAN, F	FLPRO	1	2	0	0000?
BRUNER, B	CPCIL	0	1	0	0000?	ELSHEIMER, B	CRSDR	3	2	0	0000?
BUCHANAN, R	FNBK	0	1	0	1556	ERICKSEN	FLPRO	0	1	0	1131*
BUERGER, E	EXCAL	5	0	0	2169	ERICSON, D	TTY2	1	0	0	1314#
BUJWID	HARZA	2	0	1	1548*	EVANS, V	SIGND	2	2	0	1106#
BURBA, K	KNIGHT	1	2	1	1397	EWARDS, B	FLPRO	0	1	0	0000?
BYAS, E	CPCIL	1	2	0	1357*	FABIJONAS, R	ALUMN	2	0	0	1825C
BYCKOWSKI, H	EXCAL	1	1	0	1465	FAJKUS, J	CHRGR	3	1	0	1607C
CAMPBELL, C	STAND	0	1	0	0000?	FEDER, H	PAWNS	1	0	0	1394
CARPENTER, P	TTY2	3	2	0	0000?	FEILER, K	FNBK	0	1	0	1797
CARUS, B	TTY	1	1	0	1537*	FELDMAN, A	FLPRO	1	1	1	1545#
CATIRON, W	AMOCO	0	0	1	0000?	FERNANDEZ, J	FLPRO	1	3	0	1453*
CHAN, H	SEARS	2	1	0	1722	FIGIEL, J	SIGND	0	1	0	0000?
CHAN, P	SARAL	1	1	1	0000?	FISCHLER, H	FERMI	1	4	0	1437*
CHEVERESAN, S	PRCON	2	1	0	1316*	FOERSTER, G	MTRLA	0	0	0	0000?

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
FOGELSON, M	ZENTH	0	2	0	1293	LEE, J	AMOCO	0	1	0	0000?
GAFFIGAN, T	FNBK	0	2	1	1651	LEE, RJ	AMOCO	0	4	1	1640
GAINES, I	FERMI	4	1	0	1740	LEMS, W	SEARS	2	1	0	1565
GALVIN, J	EXCAL	0	1	0	1543	LEONARD, T	CRSDR	1	3	2	1734*
GECZY, P	SIGND	1	1	0	0000?	LEONG, G	KEMPR	2	1	0	1938
GERNES, L	TTY2	2	2	0	1332*	LESNER, K	SIGND	0	2	0	0000?
GESIOR, A	IHARV	0	1	0	1065#	LI, T	ROYLS	2	1	2	1313*
GIBBS	FNBK	0	2	0	1921	LICHT, J	GAMBT	0	1	0	1422
GILMER, J	TTY2	0	2	1	1448	LITVINAS, A	ALUMN	0	1	0	1440
GLENN, S	FNBK	1	0	0	0000?	LOPRESTI, P	SIGND	0	1	0	0000?
GOLLA, R	SEARS	0	1	1	1842	LUBENEC, S	CRSDR	2	3	1	1411*
GONCHAROFF, N	MTRLA	2	2	1	1781C	MADISON	PLYRS	1	3	0	0000?
GRAFT, D	WESCH	2	1	1	1729	MAGEE, D	STAND	0	1	0	0000?
GREEN, D	PAUNS	3	0	2	1674	MANZKE, B	TTY	4	0	0	0000?
GREEN, H	EXCAL	1	2	0	1550	MARCOUKA, R	CHRGR	3	1	0	1856
GREENSPAN, P	MTRLA	1	0	2	1642C	MATHEWS, J	ALUMN	0	0	0	0000?
GROSS, D	FERMI	0	1	0	0000?	MAY, G	WESCH	0	1	0	1187*
HAN, A	CRSDR	2	1	1	1506*	MCAHREL, K	KNGMN	1	1	0	0000?
HANSON, D	SARAL	2	1	1	1399*	MEISINGER	GAMBT	0	2	0	0000?
HARRINGER, R	KNGHN	1	1	0	1579	MEYER, D	WESCH	0	2	0	0000?
HEFFERNON, D	MTRLA	1	0	0	0000?	MICHLICH, F	PRCON	1	1	0	0000?
HENNING, J	MTRLA	4	0	0	1587C	MICKLICH, F	PRCON	0	1	1	0000?
HILL, C	FERMI	0	2	2	1683*	MIGNERON, R	FERMI	0	2	0	0000?
HOGENDORP, W	FNBK	1	2	0	0000?	MIHAILOVICH, S	PAUNS	4	0	1	1898C
HOLLAND	PLYRS	0	2	0	0000?	MONZANI, A	FLPRO	1	1	0	1648*
HOPPNER, P	ROYLS	0	3	2	1712	MORGAN	STAND	0	1	0	0000?
HOSKINS, E	SIGND	3	1	0	1260*	MOSHER, G	KEMPR	0	2	0	1192#
HOWANSKY, A	MTRLA	1	0	0	0000?	MOTYCKA, R	IHARV	1	3	0	1244
HUFF, S	SIGND	1	2	0	1361	MUDDE, J	CPCIL	0	3	1	1227
JACKLIN	IHARV	1	1	0	1189*	MUIR, L	AMOCO	1	3	1	1624
JACKSON, J	ROOKS	1	3	1	1907C	MUNKACSY	KEMPR	1	0	0	0000?
JACOBS, N	TTY	3	0	1	1860	MUNOZ, R	HARZI	1	2	0	1679
JAKSTAS, K	ROYLS	4	0	1	2082	NAIL, D	STAND	0	1	0	0000?
JOELSON, A	MTRLA	1	1	0	0000?	NEUMARK, J	MTRLA	2	0	2	1736C
JOHNSTON, D	KEMPR	2	0	1	1358#	NIMRY, T	AMOCO	0	4	0	0000?
KANAS, W	IHARV	1	2	0	1284*	NINKOVIC, S	ZENTH	1	3	2	1497*
KARLOVICS, S	EXCAL	4	1	0	1841	NURME, U	HARZI	1	1	1	1933*
KARLSSON, T	ZENTH	1	1	0	1123#	O'NEAL, J	WESCH	0	2	0	0000?
KARUS, B	TTY	1	0	0	0000?	DELHAFEN, A	APEX	0	3	1	0000?
KASPER, J	FLPRO	0	2	0	974*	OGASAWARA, R	MTRLA	4	1	0	1631C
KELLOGG, K	KNGHT	2	2	0	1612	OSBORNE, W	STAND	0	1	0	0000?
KIMBLER, C	GAMBT	1	1	0	1543	QUENS	IHARV	0	2	0	0000?
KLEIN, A	SIGND	0	1	0	1401	PAESE, M	CRSDR	1	0	1	0000?
KLEIN, H	STAND	0	1	2	0000?	PANFIL, J	APEX	0	1	0	0000?
KLEHM, J	TTY2	1	4	0	1498	PARKER, L	KNGMN	0	2	1	1866
KOJAK, A	KNGHN	0	1	0	0?	PARMETER, S	CPCIL	0	2	1	1604
KOLENDA	HARZI	1	1	0	1659*	PASTURCZAK, RJ	SIGND	2	2	0	1396
KOZACKY, J	PRCON	0	0	1	1800	PEEL, A	IHARV	2	1	0	1644*
KROUSHL, J	PRCON	0	0	1	0000?	PETERSON, H	KNGHT	2	1	0	1803C
KUBAL, C	GAMBT	2	2	0	1685*	PETERSON, W	IHARV	1	1	2	1672*
KUMRO, D	CHRGR	3	1	0	1727C	PETTY, B	AMOCO	0	1	0	0000?
LACHAPPELLE, G	IHARV	1	0	0	1174#	PFEFFER, H	FERMI	0	1	0	1447
LAMBERT, E	MTRLA	0	0	0	0000?	PLANT, B	KNGHN	1	0	0	1615#
LASSILA, K	FERMI	0	0	2	0000?	POKATILOFF, W	FLPRO	0	2	2	1394*
LATIMER, E	SEARS	3	0	0	1962	POPPS, W	WESCH	2	2	0	1580*

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
PRESCOTT, F	GAMBT	2	0	0	0000?	STUHLBARG, D	PRCON	2	0	2	1329
PUSKAS	ZENTH	0	1	0	0000?	STYS, A	PRCON	1	1	1	1859
RACUTT, E	APEX	0	3	1	0000?	SUE, H	TTY2	3	2	0	1518*
RADAVICIUS, E	CHGR	2	1	2	1610	SULLIVAN, J	EXCAL	2	0	0	1863C
RATAJCZAK, J	SARAL	0	5	0	1172*	SWIDERSKI, M	CHGR	2	0	3	1435
RAVANAM, S	IHARV	0	0	1	1220#	SZATHARY, F	SARAL	2	2	1	1370
RENNER, T	PAUNS	2	0	0	1637	TATRO, B	SARAL	0	5	0	0#00?
REYES, R	SEARS	3	0	0	2312	TEGEL, F	TTY	3	1	0	2022C
ROBINSON, B	ZENTH	0	1	0	1313#	THOMAS, G	PLYRS	2	1	0	1350*
ROD, R	STAND	0	1	0	0000?	THOMAS, J	GAMBT	2	1	0	1429
ROSEN, J	KNGHM	1	0	1	1564	THOMPSON, R	FLPRO	0	1	0	1217#
SANDY, A	HARZA	1	0	0	1927#	TOLLENTINO, E	AMOCO	0	1	0	0000?
SAMELSON, C	PLYRS	3	1	1	1683*	TOLSON, M	NTRLA	0	1	1	0000?
SANDY	HARZA	0	1	0	0000?	UHERKA, K	KNGHT	0	1	0	1383
SAPP, G	STAND	0	1	0	0000?	UNDERWOOD, H	CRSDR	1	4	0	1535*
SATTERLEE, D	IHARV	0	3	0	1633	URBAS, B	CPCIL	0	2	0	1513
SCHIEFERSTEIN, A	CRSDR	0	1	0	0000?	VICK, H	NTRLA	1	1	0	1518*
SCHULMAN, R	ZENTH	3	2	0	1813	VITERI, M	PRCON	1	2	0	1536
SCHWARTZ, S	SEARS	1	0	0	1697C	VOLPE, M	EXCAL	2	0	0	1320
SCOTT, R	PRCON	0	1	0	0000?	VUKSANOVICH, D	HARZA	1	1	0	0#00?
SELIN, E	FNBK	0	2	0	0000?	WAGNER, R	TTY	3	0	1	1704*
SHAFF, R	KNGHM	0	1	0	1477	WALHOUT, M	CRSDR	4	1	1	1818
SHAFFER, R	STAND	0	1	0	0000?	WALKER, B	CPCIL	0	1	0	0#00?
SHAPIRO, M	FLPRO	2	1	1	0000?	WALKER, R	FERMI	1	3	1	1382
SHIRKEY, L	ROOKS	4	0	0	1559C	WALKER, W	CPCIL	0	1	0	0#00?
SHUKLA, P	TTY2	2	3	0	1312*	WALSH, W	PAUNS	1	2	0	1517
SINCLAIR, L	HARZA	1	0	0	0000?	WARD, C	PLYRS	2	2	0	1#22C
SINDEWALD, G	WESCH	1	1	0	0000?	WARREN, J	CHGR	2	1	1	2#23C
SIVULA, B	SARAL	0	1	3	1565	WEIGAND, J	ZENTH	1	2	0	1335*
SKINNER, S	ZENTH	2	3	0	1132#	WEITZ, R	FLPRO	2	0	0	1345*
SKUJINS, P	KEMPR	3	0	0	1593*	WENTLING	STAND	0	0	1	0#00?
SKULSKI, I	ZENTH	2	1	0	0000?	WESTON, P	ROYLS	3	1	1	1420
SMITH, BR	KNGHM	1	3	1	1564	WETTERSTEN, H	CHGR	2	0	0	1372
SMITH, M	FNBK	1	2	0	1419*	WHITTENHALL, T	APEX	0	1	0	0#00?
SOBUN, J	KNGHM	1	0	0	1572	WHITTENHALL; JR	APEX	1	2	0	0#00?
SOPYRCH, T	STAND	2	1	0	0000?	WHITTENHALL; SR	APEX	0	4	0	0#00?
SPANGLER, M	AMOCO	2	2	1	1474*	WHITTHAN, R	SIGND	0	1	0	0#00?
SPLAVER, H	PLYRS	4	0	0	1941	WIENCEK, T	ROOKS	0	1	0	0#00?
STAMM, V	GAMBT	2	1	1	1610C	WILKINSON, D	FERMI	3	1	1	1846*
STEJSKAL, J	CPCIL	0	3	1	1281	WILSON, A	ALUMN	2	0	0	1573
STELTON, N	WESCH	3	1	0	0000?	WILSON, R	ROOKS	1	1	3	1730C
STENSAKER, L	SIGND	2	2	0	1539	WONG, P	EXCAL	4	0	0	2023
STOCK, R	GAMBT	1	2	0	1247#	YOUNG, C	KNGHT	2	1	1	1851
STREETS, D	PAUNS	5	0	0	2107	ZUERCHER, J	TTY	3	1	0	1376#

? - UNRATED  
# - 5 TO 9 GAMES  
\* - 10 TO 24 GAMES  
C - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER



# ILLINOIS CHESS BULLETIN

Official Publication of the Illinois Chess Association, a USCF Affiliate

The ILLINOIS CHESS BULLETIN is published 6 times per year.

The Illinois Chess Association is a not-for-profit organization dedicated to the promotion of chess in Illinois. Magazine features include up-to-date reporting on chess tournament activity in Illinois, with many games annotated by Illinois chess masters and experts. The ILLINOIS CHESS BULLETIN gives advance notice for major chess tournaments in our state and provides a list of chess clubs.

## SUBSCRIBE TO THE ILLINOIS CHESS BULLETIN

Subscription to the ILLINOIS CHESS BULLETIN includes a 1 year membership in the Illinois Chess Association, an affiliate of the U.S. Chess Federation. As well as publishing the ILLINOIS CHESS BULLETIN, the ICA organizes chess tournaments in Illinois and serves as a federation of chess clubs and other chess organizations throughout the state. ICA officers donate their time; no one is paid.

During the last 2 years since Helen Warren became Editor for the ICB, the ILLINOIS CHESS BULLETIN, as the premier state chess publication in the United States, has received numerous chess journalism awards. By subscribing to the ICB, you are not only assuring yourself of the best chess news coverage for Chicago and Illinois. You are also helping to support chess in Illinois.

Regular 1 year subscription is \$5. Patron Membership is \$25.

Send subscriptions to :

HELEN WARREN, EDITOR, ILLINOIS CHESS BULLETIN, P.O. BOX 70,  
WESTERN SPRINGS, ILLINOIS 60558

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_

CITY & ZIPCODE \_\_\_\_\_

ARE YOU A USCF MEMBER ? \_\_\_\_\_

RATING \_\_\_\_\_

Please make your check payable to the Illinois Chess Association.

### \$5 REGULAR MEMBERS \$25 PATRON