# CHICAGO INDUSTRIAL CHESS LEAGUE BULLETIN

**VOLUME 24, NUMBER 2, NOVEMBER 1980** 



USCF AFFILIATE FOUNDED 1957

•

(200).

<u>Z</u>	I
CONTENTS	PAGE
GRAFFITI. STATISTICS ANNOUNCEMENT. CHESS NEWS AND VIEWS. CICL BULLETIN ANNOUNCEMENT. COMPUTERS AND CHESS. CHESS SIMULTANEOUS DISPLAY A BIG SUCCESS GAMES. CICL INCOME-EXPENSE STATEMENT (REVISED). LETTER TO THE EDITOR. CICL TEAM STANDINGS. NEAR WEST DIVISION REPORT. NORTH DIVISION REPORT. FAR WEST DIVISION REPORT. MATCH RESULTS. MOST IMPROVED PLAYERS.	5 6 10 14 17 18 19 20
DIVISION TOP TEN	27









ON THE COVER.....At home in his New York City apartment, internationally-acclaimed artist Marcel Duchamp relaxes at one of his chessboards, this one with pieces designed by Max Ernst. "He was always more interested in taking risks in order to play a beautiful, artistic game," said chess grandmaster Edward Lasker, "than in being cautious and brutal in order to win." Marcel must not be that great a player, else he would set up the pieces correctly! Or is that the reason for the silly smirk on his face...?



CICL members will be interested to learn that two employees of Bell Laboratories, Ken Thompson and Joe Condon, have won the World Computer Chess Championship held in September in Linz, Austria. Bell Laboratories currently has two teams entered in CICL competition, and is a long-standing supporter of the CICL. The Thompson-Condon program, Belle, was the favorite to win the 4-round Swiss event. Belle has done well in important tournaments in the past, for example, Belle won the 1978 North American Computer Chess Championship over Chess 4.6 (Slate and Adkin, authors of Chess 4.6, regained the North American Championship in 1979 with Chess 4.7).

At the World event this year, Slate and Adkin went their separate ways. Adkin entered Chess 4.9 while Slate entered a new program called Nuchess. Chess 4.9 lost in the first round to a dark horse and never recovered. Nuchess drew Belle in the second round but later lost to another opponent. After four rounds, Belle was tied with a University of Michigan program, Chaos, with 3-1/2 points each. In a playoff game, Belle snatched pawns early on and rapidly converted them to a victory, thereby gaining the World Title.

Belle is not simply a program that will run on a particular general-purpose digital computer. Thompson and Condon utilize special hardware to implement high-speed parallel processing, necessary for extensive searching through possible variations. Belle can examine nearly 30 million positions in the three minutes per move allowed by tournament rules. Artificial intelligence experts now accept that brute-force searching through the tree of possible variations (using well-known heuristic shortcuts) and selective searching via application of chess knowledge form a spectrum, and not mutually exclusive categories. A machine can compensate for lack of knowledge by more extensive searching. The brute-force machines currently dominate the selective-search machines. I believe that this is due, not to the inherent power of the brute-force approach, but to the failure of the selective-search approach.

We can see that the development of a human grandmaster requires long and arduous learning that cannot be imparted as a set of static rules. This is why significant results should not be expected from those selective-search machines which select variations for analysis by applying fixed rules. The improvement in performance that results from a reduction in searching time is overweighed by the deterioration of performance that results from the exclusion of good variations that don't fit the fixed rules.

The deep problem here is to simulate human knowledge utilization. As I will argue in later articles, only the combination of an effective knowledge utilization function and brute-force searching will produce truly formidable chess playing. And then, the strength of the machine will be primarily determined by the effectiveness of the knowledge function.

As a first offering in my computer chess series, I present an amusing description of an unusual combination of computers and chess. In later articles I will deal with the theory of computer chess, and explore the connections with the problem of artificial intelligence.

Jim Brotsos has written to inform me that R. R. Donnelley and Illinois Bell Telephone have dropped out of the CICL. They were two of the six teams that founded the CICL. For this reason, their departure is an especially great loss for the CICL. Let's hope Donnelley and IBT can resurrect themselves in the near future. The only founder teams left in the league now are Western Electric and First National Bank.

Don Graft



## STATISTICS ANNOUNCEMENT



All corrections to the team listings have been incorporated into the listings contained in this issue. If you failed to communicate your changes to Paul Weston by the cut-off date, we regret to inform you that it is now too late. You will have to tolerate the inaccuracies for the remainder of the season. Paul thanks all team captains who took the time and effort to send him corrections.

No results have yet been received from the East Division. This explains the absence of match reports and the Division Top Ten.







Fischer 1972-1975

## machess news & views makes to the chess news & views makes and the chess news an



by Helen Warren

CHESS BLINDNESS: NOT FOR THESE GUYS!

I've known Al Sandrin a long time. In 1949 he won the U.S. Open. His sight had been failing since his teen years, although in 1949, with the aid of high-powered lighting, he could still see the board and pieces. Sometimes I DO wonder what Al's chess life would have been like had he enjoyed full sight for the past thirty years. Anyone who says it doesn't much matter, that he "sees" the board anyway, that his chess has been great in any event-well, that anyone may make a terrific rehabilitation nurse, but he's missing the big forest for the small elm. The real fact is that Al might have reached the top ranks in this country, and perhaps even beyond. In an age when keeping up with chess literature is imperative, when a new wrinkle in opening analysis can cancel native playing ability, with the technicians now playing, well, all that and more, makes it likely that Al's rating would be a full class higher than it is or has ever been-and that would place him well into the senior master class, a likely candidate for decent scores in such events as the U.S. Closed Championship.

But AI has effectively placed chess in perspective in his life, and recently has come out of hiding to play in open chess tournaments as well. And his results, if not spectacular, have been on the plus side and more than rarely he has wheeled off impressive games that remind spectators of the old times.

The Sixth Blind Olympiad was held in the Netherlands a few months back and Al was on the U.S. team which finished fifth, a most respectable score. The U.S. team went through their preliminary section, but not without a significant struggle, finishing

ahead of West Germany, Austria, Denmark, Belgium, and Italy. The Finals, however, proved to be a different story. The domination of teams from Eastern Europe was evident as the mighty entourage from the USSR finished first, fully five points ahead of Hungary, East Germany, West Germany, and in fifth the USA. Yet this was the best result ever achieved by a U.S. team for the visually handicapped.

Sandrin's performance on Board One for the U.S. was four wins, three losses, and three draws.

What qualifies a visually handicapped player for the Blind Olympiad? There's no ready answer to this question. Each country has its own criteria for judging a player and, incredibly, the International Olympic Committee for the Visually Handicapped honors the definition of "blindness" as it is interpreted by the individual nations. So we have the plethora of stories about semisighted (or more) players, such as the following one that is often repeated by Koltanowski. Many years ago it was reported that Kolty was standing quite idly chatting with a group of players in the hall for the Blind Olympiad, when a Board One player for an Eastern European country hailed him from thirty feet across the hall-quite sans cane, or dark glasses, with nary a German shepherd..... "Hey Kolty, how ARE you?" Yes indeed, many of the blind players who qualify for competition are quite sighted by independent standards.

But back to Al Sandrin. Among the nice games he played in the Blind Olympiad is the following gem against the first board from Italy.

SANDRIN (USA)-VACANI (Italy) 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 c3 d5 4 d3 dxe4 5 dxe4 Qxdl+ 6 Kxdl Bg4 7 Bb5 O-O-O+ 8 Kc2 Bxf3 9 gxf3 Kc7 10 Bf4+ e5 11 Bxc6 exf4 12 Bd5 Nh6 13 Na3 a6 14 Nc4 f6 15 a4 Bd6 16 Rhdl Be5 17 Ra3 b6 18 Rb3 Rb8 19 Be6 Rhe8 20 Rd7+ Kc6 21 Rxb6+ and Black resigned.

Other members of the U.S. team were Mack Garner who won the silver medal for his results on Board Two, Ted Bullockus, James Slagle, and 80-year-old E. Schuyler Jackson. Funds for the U.S. team's participation in this year's event came from the USCF, the American Chess Foundation, and the U.S. Braille Chess Association.

Our visually handicapped players acquitted themselves in great style and we look forward to increasingly good results from our contingent in future Olympiads.

Meanwhile, Chicago chess is proud and happy that Al Sandrin is back playing again. He has style and grace and a love for the game. You and I should see so much.




## CICL BULLETIN ANNOUNCEMENT



Readers may have noticed that Issue 2 has arrived toward the end of the month of November whereas Issue 1 arrived toward the beginning of October. This is because production was delayed to include the updated ratings and statistics supplied by Paul Weston shortly after the 15th of November (the deadline for statistics corrections). For all subsequent issues, you will receive your copy(ies) toward the end of each month. Therefore, the new deadline for material is the 10th of the month. Thus, an article received on December 10 will make the December issue.

If you notice that you have not been included in the list of ratings this month, it is because you either are a member of the East Division (which has as yet reported no results) or you have not yet played this season. You will be listed after playing a game this season.




#### THE SECRETS OF CHESSTER

By Don Graft

Chesster's static RAMs shuddered as he contemplated the ramifications that his next move was to have on the gelatinous mass of cytoplasm, referred to by humans as 'Pat Nelson', that sat transfixed before the pieces, wondering how a 40K, 8-bit, digital computer could possibly be beating him. After resigning and drifting out as though vacated by his psyche, Nelson's neurons beat in frantic dischord, frequencies filling his cranium and obliterating his every thought. Meanwhile, Chesster's 8080A central processing unit was cycling at its usual 2MHz clock speed. "These humans", Chesster computed, "are too prone to nerves. That one will probably not generate decent chess moves for days."

The above might well have been an En Passant report on a game that actually took place (En Passant is the chess journal of Wescom, Inc.). Pat Nelson, then #2 on the Wescom chess ladder, was defeated by Chesster, alias a Heath H8 computer. This is public record (En Passant, Issue 14). The detailed workings of Chesster, however, are not known. I intend here to reveal Chesster's most intimate secrets.

After drawing with Chesster in a deep, dangerous game in which Chesster sacrificed his queen, Bob Medinger (then #4, recently #2) made the innocent yet unknowingly penetrating comment "Why go to all of the trouble writing a program? Just get a box big enough to hide someone, like Maelzel." Maelzel had fooled many, including Royalty, by doing just that over a century ago. Bob had stumbled onto Chesster's deepest secret, for thanks to modern electronics, the man need not be in the box at all, so long as he can communicate the moves to the required destination over radio, wires, etc. In fact, Chesster is nothing more than a simple monitor program that covertly receives moves from its operator (that is, me) and displays them as its own. The engineering and magical coordination is very subtle (refer to Figure 1). The computer stands on a typing table with a ribbon cable (8-bit parallel port) running out its back and beneath the table toward the front. The end of the cable is equipped with a connector and is hung onto a hook under the table. By unhooking it, an 8-inch length can be swung out. Shown lying on the table is a large loose-leaf notebook on one of whose covers is mounted a small control box. This box has a connector which mates the cable connector, and 8 momentary pushbuttons. For computer buffs, the interface hardware consists of one 7430 8-input NAND integrated circuit for address decoding, and one 8212 I/O integrated circuit wired as an input port.



In operation, the human player is seated to the right of the operator. The operator sits down, opens the notebook on his lap with the upper edges of the notebook resting on the front table edge. This effectively conceals a large area. left hand then discreetly reaches under the table and obtains the cable, then plugs it into the control box mounted on the underside (front cover) of the notebook. This maneuver presents the greatest danger of discovery but is easily misdirected by asking the opponent to please set up the pieces (or please turn off that fan, etc.). When this is done, the con is on. When Chesster is to move, the operator has only to place his left hand onto the left edge of the notebook as if supporting it, and then enter the move by four appropriate button pushes with a hidden finger. Significantly, Chesster waits 15 seconds after receiving a move before displaying it, giving the operator time to remove his hand (I then twiddle my pen with the offending hand or bite one of its fingernails) so that Chesster's moving is not associated with the hand being on the book. The right hand records the game score on the right-hand page of the notebook. The left-hand page contains computer jargon that the operator occasionally refers to. All this makes the presence of the notebook believable (in technical conjuring language,

the notebook is 'pragmatically justified'). When the game is over, the cable is disconnected and replaced on its hook under the table. An elastic cord could be used to retract the cable automatically. In any case, the notebook is closed with the front cover held down, and is then placed out of sight (out of mind) in a drawer.

The success of the con reaffirms the basic principles of conjuring and misdirection (at one time a strong avocation of mine) and demonstrates that with today's technology fraud can never be dismissed prima facie. This is an important lesson for parapsychologists, and other pseudoscientists.



## CHESS SIMULTANEOUS DISPLAY A BIG SUCCESS



#### By Paul Weston

On October 18th and 19th, our league helped the Fox Valley Shopping Center in Aurora with their Leisure Time Show by giving a chess simul that was a great success.

On Saturday, October 18th, our four demonstrators were Terry Andresen, Ruben Reyes, Jim Gibbs, and Jim Jackson. They each played for a period of two hours and no more than 15 boards at a time. I would like to tell you that we were undefeated, but in truth we lost about 5 games that day. We had a good turn out all day long and I think everyone enjoyed themselves.

Sunday was also very good and our demonstrators Irwin Gaines, Lou Parker, and Abe Ellenberg did a fine job as well. All in all it was a good time for everyone.

We received two benefits from our simul. One was a list of names of people interested in our league, and the other was an honorarium in the form of a check for \$50.00 from the Fox Valley Mall, the latter being very unexpected.


I feel we can learn from this experience and help ourselves. I'm working on a publicity paper that I will send out to the 30 or so people that signed up for information. Also, maybe we could do another simul, say in Chicago, and hand out our publicity release. If anyone has any ideas or suggestions, please contact me.

In all, I would like to thank those who volunteered their time and expertise to make the weekend a success.



## **GAMES**

By Dave Baurac



Again, I've had to rely on master and grandmaster games to fill out the games section. Their games are instructive, because they are generally better played than ours, but CICL players would like to see at least a few games by other CICL players. If you've got one, please send it to Dave Baurac, 7517 Country Lane South, Darien, Illinois 60559. A photocopy of the scoresheet will be fine, but please play through it to be sure the moves are recorded accurately. Short notes or annotations are welcome — they make the game more valuable to play through. If you give notes, you are entitled to a diagram as well, so be sure to indicate where you want it. Please also include your phone number so I can call you if I have any questions. If you have any questions, my daytime phone number is (312) 972-5584.

\*

Ruy Lopez: J. Brotsos, EXcaliburs-M. Fogelson, Zenith, 1980. (Notes and comments by Jim Brotsos, unless otherwise indicated.)

Several years ago, Mark Fogelson was a very consistent and successful fourth board competitor on the Zenith-Rauland team. He apparently has a long history of chess-playing, which began in his boyhood home of Riga, Latvia. He remembers the young Mikhail Tal, who grew up in his neighborhood.

Then Mark stopped playing over-the-board chess. Home projects took up a lot of his time. He bought an Apple Computer and a Sargon chess-playing program, which satisfied his chess needs. This season, Mark came out of retirement, and his lack of practice in "real chess" became evident.

1. P-K4 P-K4 2. N-KB3 N-QB3 3. B-N5 N-B3 4. 0-0 P-QR3 5. B-R4 P-QN4 6. B-N3 B-K2 7. R-K1 0-0 8. P-B3 B-N2 (8. ... P-Q4! -- D.B.) 9. P-Q4 P-Q3 10. B-B2 N-QR4 11. QN-Q2 (After I made this move, Mark smiled wryly and said, "In this kind of position, my computer would invariably play pawn takes pawn." He obviously was a little surprised -- and disappointed.) N-N5? (A wasted move.) 12. P-KR3 N-KB3 13. PxP PxP 14. NxP R-K1 15. N-N4 B-Q3 (I would have tried to activate the QR or QN.) 16. P-K5 Q-K2 17. NxN+ PxN 18. Q-N4+ K-B1 (18. ... K-R1, 19. Q-R5.) 19. N-B3 PxP?? (The black queen must vacate K2.) 20. B-R6 mate. 1-0

\*

Pirc: K. Forster-A. Blasi, Speed game.

1. P-K4 P-Q3 2. P-Q4 N-KB3 3. N-QB3 P-KN3 4. B-KN5 B-N2 5. Q-Q2 P-B3 6. O-O-O Q-R4 7. N-B3 P-QN4 8. P-K5 P-N5 9. PxN PxN 10. QxP QxQ 11. PxB. 1-O.

\*

Slav Defense: P. Benko-J. Bradford, 1980 U.S. Championship, Greenville, Pennsylvania. First Brilliancy Prize.

1. Nf3 d5 2. d4 Nf6 3. c4 e6 4. Nc3 c6 5. e3 Nbd7 6. Bd3 Be7 7. 0-0 0-0 8. b3 b6 9. Bb2 Bb7 10. Qe2 Re8 11. Rfd1!? (More direct is a plan developed and popularized by the American player H. N. Pillsbury around the turn of the century: 11. Rad1 Qc7 12. Ne5, followed by f4 and Rf3, with a quick K-side attack.) Qc7 12. Rac1 Rad8 13. Ne5 Qb8?! (Best is 13. ... dc! 14. bc Ne5 15. de Nd7 followed eventually by ... Nc5. If 15. Qe5? 16. Nd5 Qb8 17. Ne7+ Re7 18. Bf6 gf 19. Qg4+ Kh8 20. Qh4 wins for White.) 14. f4 Nf8 15. a3 dc 16. Bc4 Nd5 17. Bd3 Rc8 18. b4 c5? 19. dc bc 20. Nd5 Bd5 21. Qh5! g6 22. Ng4! f6 23. Bf6 Bf6 24. Nf6 Kf7 25. Qh4 cb 26. Ne8 Ke8 27. ab Rc1 28. Rc1 Qb4 29. Qf6 Qb6 30. Bb5+ 1-0. (Source: U.S. Chess Federation.)

Sicilian Defense: Kasparov-Korsunsky, USSR, 1978.

1. e4 c5 2. Nf3 e6 3. d4 cd 4. Nd4 Nc6 5. Nc3 d6 6. Be2 Be7 7. 0-0 Nf6 8. Be3 a6 9. f4 Bd7 10. Qe1 b5 11. a3 0-0 12. Qg3 Qc7 13. Kh1 Rab8 14. e5 de?! (A theoretical novelty. More usual is 14. ... Ne8 with a small edge to White.) 15. fe Ne5 16. Rf6! Bf6 17. Bf4 Qb6 18. Be5 Be5 19. Qe5 b4 20. ab f6 21. Qc5 Qb4 22. Qb4 Rb4 23. Nb3 Bb5 24. Kg1 Be2 25. Ne2 Rc8 26. Nc3 Rc6 27. Kf2 Kf7 28. Ra2 g5 29. Nc1 Rf4+ 30. Ke2 Rg4 31. g3 h5? (After 31. ... Rb4 32. Nd3 Rb8 33. Kd2 h5, White has only a small advantage. Now he quickly obtains a winning advantage.) 32. Nd3 Rgc4 33. Ke3! h4 34. Ne4 hg 35. hg Rb6 (No better is 35. ... Rc2 36. Nb4 Rc7 37. Nc2 Rc2 and White is winning.) 36. c3 (White has a won game.) Rc8 37. Ndc5! f5 38. Ng5+ Kg6 39. Nd7 Rb2 (If 39. ... Rd6 40. Ne5.) 40. Rb2 Rc3+ 41. Kd4 Rg3 42. Ne6 1-0.


King's Gambit: J. Arnason-W. Shipman, New York, 1980. Best Played Game Prize.

1. P-K4 P-K4 2. P-KB4 PxP 3. N-KB3 P-KN4 4. B-B4 P-N5 5. 0-0 (The Muzio Gambit, considered sound. White offers the knight for the attack. For example: 5. ... PxP 6. QxP Q-K2 7. QxP Q-B4ch 8. P-Q4 QxPch 9. B-K3 QxB 10. Q-K5ch N-K2 11. QxR N-N3 12. QxP N-B3 13. P-QN3 Q-K3 14. N-B3 and, according to the Encyclopedia of Chess Openings, White stands better.) Q-K2 6. N-K5! (A new move which gives up the piece for a powerful center and a huge lead in development. The Encyclopedia of Chess Openings recommends 6. P-Q4 PxN 7. QxP with the attack as more than enough compensation for the piece.) QxN 7. P-Q4 Q-KR4 8. BxP P-Q3 9. N-B3 P-QB3 10. Q-Q3 N-Q2 11. QR-K1 N-N3 12. P-Q5! (12. P-K5? P-Q4) NxB 13. QxN B-Q2 14. P-K5 0-0-0 15. P-K6 PxP 16. PxP B-N2 (16. ... B-K1? 17. Q-Q4 Q-QB4 18. QxQ PxQ 19. B-K5) 17. PxBch RxP 18. N-K4 P-Q4 19. Q-B5 N-K2 20. QxRP K-Q1 21. Q-N8ch N-B1 22. N-Q6 RxN 23. BxR B-Q5ch 24. K-R1 B-N3 25. B-K5 R-K1 26. QxP 1-0.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

French Defense: Klovan-Lputian, USSR, 1978.

1. e4 e6 2. d4 d5 3. Nc3 Bb4 4. e5 b6 5. Qg4 Bf8 6. Bg5 Qd7 7. h4! h5?! 8. Qf3 Ne7?! (8. ... Ba6) 9. Nh3 Nf5 10. O-O-O (Advantage to White.) Ba6 11. Ba6 Na6 12. Nf4 g6 (Diagram.) 13. Nfd5! ed 14. Nd5 (White has won.) Bg7 15. Nb6. 1-O.



Position after 12. ... g6.

Ruy Lopez: S. Reshevsky-R. C. Griffith.

1. P-K4 P-K4 2. N-KB3 N-QB3 3. B-N5 N-B3 4. 0-0 NxP 5. P-Q4 B-K2 6. R-K1 N-Q3 7. BxN NPxB 8. PxP N-N2 9. N-B3 0-0 10. N-Q4 N-B4 11. P-B4 N-K3 12. B-K3 NxN 13. BxN P-Q4 14. Q-B3 B-KB4 15. P-KN4 BxBP 16. QR-B1 P-QB4 17. RxB PxB 18. NxP P-QB4 19. P-B5 B-N4 20. RxP R-B1 21. RxR QxR 22. P-B6! R-K1 23. N-K7ch RxN 24. PxR BxP 25. R-KB1 Q-K1 26. Q-Q5 B-Q1 27. RxP! QxR 28. QxBch Q-B1 29. QxQch KxQ 30. K-B2. 1-0. Reshevsky played this game blindfolded at the age of eight.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

## Gruenfeld Defense: Plachetka-Pribyl, Trnava, 1979

1. d4 Nf6 2. c4 g6 3. Nc3 d5 4. Nf3<sup>-</sup>Bg7 5. cd Nd5 6. e4 Nc3 7. bc c5 8. Be2 Nc6! 9. d5?! Bc3+ 10. Bd2 Ba1 11. Qa1 Nd4! 12. Nd4 cd 13. Qd4 f6 (13. ... 0-0!) 14. e5 0-0! 15. Bc4 b5! 16. Bb3 a5! 17. d6+ e6 18. 0-0 Bd7?! (18. ... a4! gives Black a winning advantage.) 19. a3!! Ra6 20. Rc1 fe 21. Qe5 Qf6 22. Qe3 Rd6 23. Ba5 (The position is approximately equal.) Qd4 24. Qe1! Rf5 25. Bb4! Ra6 26. Rd1! Qg7 27. Qd2 Be8 (Black is in time trouble.) 28. h3 Qc7 29. Qe3 Bd7 30. Qd4. 1-0.

## Petroff Defense: J. Timman-A. Karpov, Tilburg, 1980

1. P-K4 P-K4 2. N-KB3 N-KB3 3. NxP P-Q3 4. N-KB3 NxP 5. Q-K2 Q-K2 6. P-Q3 N-KB3 7. B-N5 QxQch 8. BxQ B-K2 9. N-B3 P-B3 10. 0-0-0 N-R3 11. N-Q4 N-B2 12. QR-K1 N-K3 13. NxN BxN 14. P-B4 P-Q4 15. B-B3 K-Q1 16. N-K2 R-K1 17. N-N3 P-KN3 18. N-K2 B-Q2 19. P-B4 PxP 20. PxP N-N1 21. N-B3 P-B3 22. B-R4 B-Q3 23. RxRch KxR 24. N-K2 B-K3 25. P-QN3 B-KB4 26. K-N2 R-Q1 27. B-B2 BxP 28. P-KN4 B-K4ch 29. K-B1 B-K3 30. BxRP R-R1 31. B-Q4 RxP 32. R-K1 K-B2 33. BxB PxB 34. P-R3 N-B3 35. N-B3 R-R7 36. P-N5 N-Q2. 0-1.

\*

## CHICAGO INDUSTRIAL CHESS LEAGUE INCOME-EXPENSE STATEMENT, 1979-80

Balance from previous year		<b>\$383.</b> 56
Income		•
Dues, 34 teams @ \$20 Interest on Savings Account	\$680.00 26.26	
Total Income		706.26
Total Funds Available		\$1089.82
Expenses		
Tornado Tourney (See Exhibit 1) Awards Banquet (See Exhibit 2) Bulletin (See Exhibit 1) Trophies & Awards Annual Affiliate Membership Dues U.S. Chess Federation \$25.00 Ill. Chess Association 15.00  Honorariums Bulletin Editor, B. Price \$50.00 Rating Chairman, P. Weston 35.00	\$48.08 128.45* 112.59 265.72	·
Mailing Expenses Secretary, C. Ward \$ 3.60 Treasurer, J. Brotsos 3.84 Far West Div. Chairman, J. Brozovieh 6.00  Misc. Checking Account Expenses	13.44 11.39	
Total Expenses		704.67
Closing Surplus		\$385.15

Respectfully Submitted,

Jim Brotsos, CICL Treasurer

\*This supersedes statement included in Treasurer's Report made on Aug. 21, 1980. Revision based on additional \$30 in Awards Dinner receipts collected at business meeting of Aug. 21.

## Exhibit 1

## fernade Teurnament, May 17, 1980

Income Advance Payments 14 CICL members @ \$4 3 Nen- CICL contestants @ \$5	\$56.00 15.00
Payments at Door: 12 @ \$6	72.00
1 USCF membership	16.00
Total Receipts	<b>\$159.0</b> 0
Cash Prises \$132.00 USCF membership & rating fees 31.50 Tournament Director's Fee 30.00 Trophy, 1st Place CICL member 8.27 Book prise, Top Junior 5.31 Total Expenditures	207.08
Net Loss	(\$48.08)

## CICL Bulletin

Income  28 Team Subscriptions @ \$7  13 Individual Subscriptions @ \$3  Total Receipts	- \$196.00 39.00 \$235.00
Expenses Production Costs \$249.36 Postage 98.23	
Postage 98.23 Total Expenses	347.59
Net Loss	(\$112.59)

#### Exhibit 2

(Revision of Awards Banquet Income-Expense Statement\*)

## Income

Neal Guarantees Prepaid by 34 teams @ \$10	\$340.00
Individual Dinner Receipts	981.50
Total Funds Received	\$1321.50

#### Expenses

Meal Costs Paid to Holiday Inn	\$1070.45
SpeedChess Cash Prizes	134.00
Cash Gift to Speaker (T. Shkolnik)	25.00
Refunds on Team Prepayments	220.50

Total Expenses	1449.95
Net Less	(\$128.45)

\*This supersedes statement included in Treasurer's Report (Exhibit 1) made on Aug. 21, 1980. Revision based on an additional \$30 in dinner receipts collected at business meeting of Aug. 21.

Jim Brotsos, CICL Treasurer



## **UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION**

186 ROUTE 9W • NEW WINDSOR, NEW YORK 12550 PHONE: (914) 562 - 8350

October 14, 1980

Mr. Donald Graft Wescom, Inc. 8245 Lemont Road Downers Grove, IL 60515

Dear Mr. Graft,

I enjoyed the expanded <u>CICL Bulletin</u> you recently sent us. All of us appreciate the nice plug you gave the USCF. (By the way, the name of the magazine reverted to <u>Chess Life</u> at the beginning of the year.) Industrial chess is a major element in chess development in this country, and one in which we will be working more actively in the years ahead.

Since you are not currently on our press release list, I have taken the liberty of adding your name. We normally mail releases about once a week on a variety of topics concerning chess and chessplayers. I would appreciate your suggestions and comments concerning the releases whenever you might care to share your thoughts with me.

I hope the <u>CICL Bulletin</u> and the League itself continue to flourish. If I can be of help, please let me know.


SincereTy

Peter C. Prochaska Associate Director

PCP:bja

Thanks to all who called with compliments on my first issue, and thanks to all who contributed material....

Page 17



NEAR WEST DIVI	SIO	N	13-		W4. ** B1.	
TEAM NAME	u	L	D	POINTS	MATCH POINTS	PCT
WE CHARGERS	3	1	0	18.0	3.0	0.750
BTL PLAYERS	2	ò	1		2.5	
ANL KNIGHTS	2	0	-	9.5		
INT'L HARVESTER	1			10.5		
WE GAMBITEERS		1	1	4.5	0.5	0.250
WESCON	Ö			3.0		
CPC INTERNATIONAL	0	3	0		0.0	
NORTH DIVISION	. 1	3-À	lov-		==	
			_		MATCH	
TEAM NAME	ij	L	D	POINTS	POINTS	PCT
EXCALIBERS	2	0	0	12.0	2.0	1.000
MOTOROLA	2	0	0	10.5	2.0	1.000
SIGNODE	1	0		5.0.		
TELETYPE KNIGHTS	1	0	0	4.5	1.0	
KENPER INSURANCE	1	0	0	4.0	1.0	1.000
FEL-PRO	1	1	0	3.5	1.0	0.500
KITCHENS OF SARA LEE	0	2	0	4.0	9.0	0.000
TELETYPE #2	0	1	0	2.0	0.0	0.000
ZENITH-GLENVIEW	0	2	0	1.5	0.0	0.000
APEX TOOL WORKS	0		0	1.0	10.0	0.000
PROCON/UOP	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000
INT'L TEL # TEL	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000
FAR WEST DIVIS	ION	1	3-1			
			_		MATCH	
TEAN NAME	u	L	IJ	POINTS	PUINTS	PCT
BTL ROYALS	3	0	1	15.0	3.5	0.875
WHENTON COLLEGE	3	0	0	12.0	3.0	1.000
A: FOOKS	2	0	1			
A: AUNS	1	1	0	8.0	1.0	0.500
Ahuuj	0	3	0	5.0	0.0	
FERMILABS	0	3	0	5.0		0.000
WE KINGSHEN	0	2	0	3.5	0.0	0.000
EAST DIVISION	13	-No	v-8		MA 75"	
TPAN NAMP					MATCH	DOT
TEAN NAME	U	L	ע	POINTS		
SEARS	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
STANDARD DIL COMPANY	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
FIRST NATIONAL BANK	0	0	0	0.0		0.000
HARZA ENGINEERING #1	0	0	0	0.0		0.000
ALUMNI CENTRAL	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000



#### By Dan Kumro

TEAM CAPTAINS--Argonne Bishops (Team #8) becomes a "bye" in the schedule. International Harvester has a new captain. His name is Ted Owens and his phone number is 887-2245. Also, CPC's contact is John Mudde, as Joe Desjardins is on sick leave.

Team captains should be well-acquainted with the CICL Policies and Rules. In the Near West Division, I would like to point out Section II, Paragraph C, Forfeit Losses. CPC's team privilege has been withdrawn for the remainder of the season. This means that CPC cannot start an absent player's clock (except board #6, or board #5 if #6 is absent, etc.) for the rest of the season. Also, captains should be careful not to violate Section II, Paragraph D, Part 1. For example, if a player has an established rating of 1490 and comes late, he cannot play board #6 if a player on board #5 is rated at 1360 (however, provisional ratings do\_not apply in these situations).

I would like to mention that Rockwell-Wescom has used one of their privileges under Section II, Paragraph C, so team captains should be alert about this situation.

After finishing in sixth place last season, the BTL Players are off to a good start. In Round 2, they upset the WE Chargers 3½-2½ and are currently at 2½-½. Other front-runners are the two perennial contenders, the Argonne Knights at 2-0 and the WE Chargers at 3-1. All the other teams in the Division are on the "scoreboard" excepting CPC.

The League (under the six-man policy) currently has four different "Champions" and this year the odds get better for a repeater to show up. However, what company has won the most 8-man championships? 4-Man championships? What team has won the most 8-man championships? 4-Man championships? Answers in the next Bulletin.



### NORTH DIVISION REPORT



#### By Jim Brotsos

The favorites are rolling up lopsided scores, partly because your chairman has created a schedule which purposely matches the top finishers of last year with each other in the last half of the schedule.

Our new team, Apex Toolworks, is taking its lumps but shows great spirit and promises to improve along the lines of surprising Felpro.



## FAR WEST DIVISION REPORT




#### By J. M. Brozovich

Last year's division champions, the Kingsmen, are off to a slow start with two straight losses in their first two matches. Wheaton College is in first place with a perfect record. The Bell Laboratories Royals and the Argonne Rooks are in hot pursuit with all wins and one tie against each other. It looks like a three-horse race this year unless the rest of the teams can knock off the leaders.

At this writing, no new teams came into either Western Division, so we will use the seven-team schedule sent to all team captains at the beginning of the year. For those teams who haven't lost to Wheaton yet, their captain is Tim Leonard. He can be reached on 260-5540 after 4:30 pm and sometimes earlier if you're lucky.

Please try to stick to the schedule as closely as possible because a number of teams are very late in getting their matches scheduled. Don't wait until the round starts to try to set a date. Try to schedule the matches as early as possible and don't wait to finish one round before scheduling the next round.



## FAR WEST DIVISION

24-SEP-8		WHEATON COLLEGE		3.5	ANL PAUNS		2.5
ROUND 1			RATINGS		•	RATING	S SCORE
	1	LEONARD, T	1788 -8	0	STREETS, D	2064	8 1
	2	WALHOUT, H	1761 7		MIHAILOVICH, S	1865 -	4 .5
	3	UNDERWOOD, H	1568-18	0	GREEN, D	1630 1	8 1
	4	HAN,A	1479 29	1	BINGLE, J	1582-2	9 0
	5	LUBENEC, S	0000 0	1	WALSH, W	1521	0 0
•	6	ELSHEINER, B	0000 0	1	DRAGEL,G		0 0
					,		
24-SEP-8	5	BTL ROYALS		7 5	EEDMII ADO		
ROUND 1		DIE ROINES	DATTMER	3.5	FERMILABS		2.5
NOUND I		IAVETAC V	RATINGS				S SCORE
	1	JAKSTAS, K	2057-15		WILKINSON, D	1793 1	-
	2	HOPPNER, P	1783 -4		HILL,C	1732	
	3		1681-21		GAINES, I	1697 2	
	4	ANDERSON, D	1621 15		FISCHLER, M	1505-1	
		LI,T	1266* 9		WALKER,R	1406	9.5
		WESTON, P	1398 0		GROSS, D	0000	0 0
	Z	DETHERS, J	0	1F	FORFEIT	i	O OF
						•	
24-SEP-80		ANL ROOKS		4.5	AMOCO		1.5
ROUND 1	BD		RATINGS	SCORE	•	RATING:	S'SCORE
	1	JACKSON, J	1952 5	1	LEE,RJ	1688 -	B 0
	2	BERRY, G	1862 6	1	MÙIR,L	1605 -	
	3	WILSON, R	1758 -9	.5	DEE, M	1531 13	
	4	BAURAC, D	1607-30	0	SPANGLER, N	1488 3	
	5	DECHAN, S	1493 0	1	NIHRY,T		0 0
	6	SHIRKEY,L	1534 0	<del>-</del> 1	TOLLENTINO, E		0 0
06-001-80		FERMILABS		2	WHEATON COLLEGE		4
ROUND 2	BD		RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE
	1	WILKINSON, D	1808 21	1	LEONARD, T	1780-21	
	2	HILL,C	1736-20	0	WALHOUT . H	1768 20	
	3	GAINES, I	1718 12	1	UNDERWOOD.H	1550-12	
	4	FISCHLER, M	1490-21	0	HAN,A	1508 21	
	5	PFEFFER,H	1473-26	0	LUBENEC, S	1416 26	•
	6	WALKER, Ř	1397 0	0	ELSHEIMER, B	0000	
		GROSS, D	0		FORFEIT	Ċ	
07-DCT-80		WE KINGSHEN		2	ANL ROOKS		4
ROUND 2	BD		RATINGS			RATINGS	
	1		1674 16	.5	JACKSON, J	1957-10	
			1578 -7		BERRY, G	1868 5	
			1616 9		WILSON,R	1749 -6	-
		·	1587-23		BAURAC.D	1577 23	
			0000 0		DECHAN,S	1493 0	
			1615 0		WIENCEK,T	0000 0	
		•	•	•		1000	v

23-0CT-8		ANL PAUNS		5.5	FERMILABS	.5
ROUND 3	BD		RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS SCORE
	1	STREETS,D	2072 9	1	WILKINSON, D	1829 -9 0
	2	MIHAILDVICH,S	1861 10	1	GAINES,I	1730-14 0
	3	GREEN, D	1648 0		MIGNERON,R	0000 0 0
	4	BINGLE, J	1553 17		FISCHLER, H	1469-17 0
		WALSH, W	1521 10		WALKER,R	1397-15 0
	6	DRAGEL, G	1298 0		LASSILA,K	0000 0 .5
		J	12/0 0	••	LHOULENAR	. 0000 0 .3
26-001-8	0	ANOCO		2	BTL ROYALS	
ROUND 2			RATINGS		BIE RUIMES	7 2477.200 00000
NOUND 2	1	LEE,RJ			IANCTAC V	RATINGS SCORE
	-		1680 -5		JAKSTAS,K	2042 5 1
	2	MUIR,L	1597 33	1_	HOPPNER, P	1779-33 0
	3	DEE, H	1544 8			1660 -8 .5
		SPANGLER, N	1518-15		ANDERSON.D	1636 15 1
		DZADZIC,P	1542 -9		WESTON, P	1398 9 .5
	6	NIHRY,T	0000 0	0	LI,T	1275 0 1
				•		
06-NDV-8		WHEATON COLLEGE		4.5	ANDCO.	1.5
ROUND 3	BD		RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS SCORE
	1	LEONARD, T	1759 -9	.5	MUIR, L	1630 9 .5
	2	WALHOUT, H	1788 15	1	LEE.RJ	1675-15 0
* .	3	UNDERWOOD, H	1538 23	1	DEE.M	1552-23 0
		HAN,A	1529 -2	.5	SPANGLER . H	1503 2 .5
	5	LUBENEC,S	1442 6		DZADZIC,P	1533 -6 .5
	6	ELSHEINER, B	0000 0	1	NIHRY,T	0000 0 0
06-NDV-8	n	BTL ROYALS		4.5	WE KINGSMEN	
ROUND 3		DIE VOINES	RATINGS		AE VIUDOUEK	1.5
NOORD 3		JAKSTAS,K			DADWED I	RATINGS SCORE
•	1		2047 13		PARKER,L	1898-13 0
		HOPPNER,P	1746-26		BROZOVICH, J	1690 26 1
		BHOJWANI,C	1652 21		BLAZIE, J	1625-21 0
	4	ANDERSON, D	1651 17	1	SMITH, BR	1571-17 0
	5	LI,T	1275 16	.5	•	1564-16 .5
	6	WESTON, P	1407 29	1	SHAFF,R	1506-29 0
	7	REDDY, K	0	1F	FORFEIT	0 OF
						•
12-NOV-8	)	ANL ROOKS		3	BTL ROYALS	3
ROUND 4	BD		RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS SCORE
	1	JACKSON, J	1947-10	0	JAKSTAS,K	2060 15 1
	2	BERRY, 6	1873 -6	.5	HOPPNER.P	1720 10 .5
	3	WILSON, R	1743-18	0	BHOJWANI,C	1673 27 1
	4	BAURAC, D	1600 5	.5	•	1668 -5 .5
		DECHAN,S	1493 11	1	LI,T	1291-11 0
		SHIRKEY,L	1534 11	i	WESTON.P	1436-16 0
(KNGHT)	7	COOVER,K	1414 0	ò	DETHERS, J	0000 0 1
		<del></del>		•		-*** A 1

## NEAR WEST DIVISION

23-SEP-8	30	BTL PLAYERS		5	CPC INTERNATION	IAL :	1
ROUND 1	BI	<b>l</b>	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	•
	1	SAMELSON, C	1616 18	1	URBAS.B	1545-18	
	2	DEAK,T	1517-26	0	ARMBRUSTER, F	1456 26	_
	3	WARD,C	1631 5		BYAS,E	1332 -7	
	4	ANDERSON,C	0000		STEJSKAL, J	1287 0	-
	5	DYER, G	0000	-	BRUNER, B	0000 0	
	6	DEWITT, G	1331 19	-	HUDDE, J	_	•
		22411170	1001 17	•	nobbe,5	1272-19	0
23-SEP-8	10	ANL KNIGHTS		4.5	INT'L HARVESTER		1.5
ROUND 1	BD		RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	
	1	BENEDEK,R	2164 3	1	SATTERLEE, D	1685 -3	
	2	YOUNG, C	1867-12	.5		1669 12	
	3	KELLOGG, K	1631-24		PEEL.A	1602 24	
	4	DEVER, D	1578 7		KANAS, U	1295 -7	
	5	BURBA, K	1405 14		MOTYCKA,R	1263-14	0
	6	COOVER,K	1414 0	-	GESIOR, A	0000 0	0
	-	,		•	ocolor, a	0000 0	U
24 050 0	^	NE CHARGERA					
24-SEP-8		WE CHARGERS		6	WESCON		0
ROUND 1	BU		RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE
	1	WARREN, J	2033 4	1	GRAFT, D	1703 -6	0
	2	MARCOUKA,R	1855 7	1	POPPS, U	1563 -7	0
	3	KUMRO, D	1733 0	1	EHRLICH, E	0000 0	
	4	DOBR,K	1722 1	1	MAY'. G	1189 -2	Ö
	5	RADAVICIUS, E	1660 0	1	D'NEAL,J'	0000 0	ŏ
	6	SWIDERSKI, H	1417 0		STELTON, N	0000 0	Ö
	7	WETTERSTEN, H	1372 0	1	DAUSON,T	0000 0	Ŏ
07-001-8	0	WE GAMBITEERS		1.5	INT'L HARVESTER		4.5
ROUND 2	BD	•	RATINGS			RATINGS	
	1	STANK, V	1586 19		SATTERLEE, D	1682-29	0
	2	KUBAL,C	1709-28	ò	PEEL,A	1626 28	1
	3	ALDJADO, N	1581 7	-	PETERSON, W		-
	4	STOCK,R	0000 0	0	KANAS, W	1681 -7	.5
		LICHT, J	1422 0			1288 0	1
		MEISINGER	0000 0		LACHAPELLE,G	0000 0	1
		PRESCOTT,F			MOTYCKA,R	1249 0	1
	•	r KESEU (1, j	0000 0	.1	DWENS	0000 0	0
08-001-80		ANL KNIGHTS		5	CPC INTERNATIONA	L .	t
ROUND 2	BD		RATINGS			RATINGS	SCORE
		BENEDEK, R	2167 2	1	PARMERTER,S	1609 -2	
	2	YOUNG, C	1855 5	1	ARMBRUSTER,F	1482 -5	0
	3	PETERSON, H	1818 0		WALKER, B	0000 0	0
	4	KELLOGG,K	1607 7		•	1325 -7	
	5	DEVER, D	1585-16			1287 16	
	6	BURBA, K	1419-11			1253 11	.5

16-0CT-8	30	WE CHARGERS		2.5	BTL PLAYERS	3.5
ROUND 2	BI	· · ·	RATINGS			RATINGS SCORE
	1	WARREN, J	2037 0	0	SPLAVER,H	0000 0 1
	2	MARCOUKA,R	1862 10	1	SAMELSON, C	1634-10 0
	3	DOBR, K	1723 11	1	WARD, C	1636-11 0
	4	KUHRO, D	1733-28	0	THOMAS, G	1299 42 1
	5	RADAVICIUS, E	1660-11	.5	DEAK,T	1491 11 .5
	6		1592 0	0	DYER.G	0000 0 1
	7				ANDERSON, C	1557 -9 .5
	8	WETTERSTEN, H	1372 0		HOLLAND	0000 0 0
	9	ALBRECHT,R	0000 0	. 1	MADISON	0000 0 0
28-0CT-8	0	VESCOM		3	INT'L HARVESTER	3 .
ROUND 3	BD		RATINGS			RATINGS SCORE
	1	GRAFT, D	1697 20	1	SATTERLEE.D	1653-20 0
	2	POPPS, W	1556 30	1	PETERSON, W	1674-30 0
	3	EHRLICH,E	0		LACHAPELLE,6	0 1F
	4	O'NEAL,J	0000 0		DZIEDZIC	0000 0 1
	5	Dauson, T	00000	0	JACKLIH	1193 0 1
	6	STELTON, N	0000 0	. 1	HOTYCKA,R	1249 0 0
29-0CT-8	ი	CPC INTERNATION	Δ!	1	WE CHARGERS	
ROUND 3		OLD THIERMALIDI	RATINGS		WE CHARDERS	PATINCE CODE
	1	PARMERTER,S	1607 -3		WARREN.J	RATINGS SCORE 2037 2 1
	2	URBAS.B	0	0F	DOBR,K -	0 - 1F
	3	ARMBRUSTER,F	Ŏ		KUHRO.D	ů - 1F
	4	BYAS.E	1318 39		RÆDAVICIUS, E	1649-39 0
	5		1303 -7		FAJKUS,J '	1592 5 1
	6	MUDDE, J	1264-13	0	SWIDERSKI, M	1426 13 1
05-NOV-86	۸	HE CAMBITEERS			<b></b>	
ROUND 3		WE GAMBITEERS	5477400	3	BTL PLAYERS	3
KOOKD 3		CTAMM II	RATINGS			RATINGS SCORE
	1	STANH, V KUBAL, C		1	WARD,C	1625-16 0
	3	ALOJADO, H	1681 0	0	SPLAVER, H	0000 0 1
	4	THOMAS, J	1588-20 1395 19	0 1	SAMELSON, C	1624 20 1
	5	PRESCOTT,F	0000 0	1	THOMAS.G DEWITT,G	1341-19 0
	6	BLAUHUELLER, F	0000 0	Ó	DEAK, T	1350 0 0
	7	ALBRECHT,R	0000 0	1	5	1502 C 1 0000 O
(PLYRS)	8	MADISON	0000 0	Ö	DYER, G	0000 0 0 0000 0 1
12-NOV-80	1	INT'L HARVESTER				
	BD	THE PRESENT	PATTMOD	1.5	WE CHARGERS	4.5
	1	PEEL.A	RATINGS :		MADERIUA P	RATINES SCORE
		PETERSON, W	1644 28		MARCOUKA,R	1872 10 1
		KANAS, U	1288 -4		DOBR,K KUHRO.D	1734-19 0 1705 2 1
		HOTYCKA,R	1249 -5		RADAVICIUS,E	1705 2 1 1610 5 1
		JACKLIN	1193 -4		·	1597 3 1
	6	RAVANAN,S	0000 0		_	1439 0 .5
	7	OUENS	0000 0			0000 0 1

## NORTH DIVISION

17-SEP-	-80	EXCALIBERS			6	FEL-PRO		0
ROUND 1	BI		RATI	NGS	SCORE		RATINGS	-
	1	BUERGER, E	2150	0		SHAPIRO.N		
	2	WONG, P				•	0000 0	•
	3		2019			FERNANDEZ, J	1460 -2	
		KARLOVICS,S	1865			POKATILOFF, W	1416 -3	0
•	4	GREEN, H	1622		•	ELIKAN,F	0000 0	0
	5	BROTSOS, J	1578	14	1	FELBMAN, A	1560-21	0
	6	BYCKOWSKI, M	1491	5	1	ERICKSEN	1136 -5	0
	7	VOLPE, N	1275	7	1	KASPER, J	981 -7	
	8	EDWARDS, S	1224	24	1	THOMPSON, R	1241-24	
		•						·
43 050		==. ==						
17-SEP-		TELETYPE KNIGHT			4.5	ZENITH-GLENVIEW	!	1.5
ROUND 1			RATII	YGS	SCORE		RATINGS	SCORE
	1	TEGEL, F	2043-	-28	0	AGPAWA,T	1583 42	1
	2	JACOBS, N	1848	6	1	NINKOVÍC,S	1513 -6	0
	3	WAGNER, R	1707-		.5	CONUAY.F	1442 15	.5
	4	DURKEE, D	1737		1	WEIGAND, J	1366 -5	
	5	ZUERCHER, J	1385		i	KARLSSON.T		
	6	KARUS.B	0000		1		1131 -8	
	7	MANZKE,B			•	SKINNER,S	0000 0	
/TTV9 \		•	0000		•	DALKA, C	917 0	0 (TTY2
"(TTY2 )		SHUKLA,P	1299			KLENN, J	1534 9	1 (1112
(TTY2 )	9	SUE, H	1447	13	1	GERNES,L	1299-13	0 (TTY2
18-SEP-	80	SIGNODE			5	APEX TOOL WORKS		1.
ROUND 1	BD		RATTN	IGS	SCORE	W. Ch. 1005 WOLLY	RATINGS	
	1	BROSIG, A	1664	0	1	DELHAFEN,A		
	2	STENSAKER, L		-		•	0000 0	0
	3		1544		1	BOWERS, A	0000 0	0
		HUFF,S	1381	0	1	RACUTT,E	0000 0	0
	4	PASTURCZAK, RJ	1374	0	1	UHITTENHALL;SR	0000 0	0
	5	EVANS, V	0000	0	1 -	ABE,P	0000 0	0
	6	HOSKINS,E	1171	0	0	DIBERARDINO, D	0000 0	1
	7	LESNER, K	0000	0	0	ALLEN, T	0000 0	1
								•
18-SEP-	B0	MOTORDLA			4.5	KITCHENS OF SAR	A I E E	1.5
ROUND 1	Bli	•	RATIN	e e	SCORE	WEIGHT OF THE		
	1	GONCHARDEF, N	1804-			ANDEDCON N	RATINGS	
	2	NEUHARK.J			0_	ANDERSON, N	1786 24	-1_
•		<u> </u>	1725		.5	SIVULA, B	1543 11	.5
	3	GREENSPAN, P	1645	5	1	SZATHARY,F	1355 -7	0
	4	DGASAWARA, R	1614	3	1	RATAJCZAK, J	1232 -4	0
	5	HENNING, J	1581	0	1	TATRO, B	0000 0	0
	6	VICK,H		0	1F	FORFEIT	0	OF
		•						
25-SEP-8	30	APEX TOOL WORKS			0	HOTOROLA		
ROUND 2	BD	TO IAAL MANUS	RATIN	Çe		HOISKOLM	DATTURE	6
2	1	DEI HAEEN A				000000000000000000000000000000000000000	RATINGS	
		DELHAFEN, A	0000	0		GONCHAROFF, N	1788 0	1
	2	WHITTENHALL; JR	0000	0		DGASAWARA,R	1617 0	1
	3	WHITTENHALL;SR	0000	0		HENNING, J	1581 0	1
	4	RACUTT, E	0000	0		VICK,H	1539 0	1
	. 5	DIBERARDINO, D	0000	0	0	COMRDE, R	1279 0	1
	6	BOUERS, A	0000	0	0	JDELSON, A	0000 0	1 .
						•		

06-0CT-80		TELETYPE #2		2	KEMPER INSURAN	CE .	4
ROUND 1	BD		RATINGS	SCORE	Wall all all all all all all all all all	RATINGS	•
	1	SUE, H	1460 -2	0	LEONG.G	1963 2	1
	2	KLEHH, J	1543-23	0	SKUJINS,P	1541 23	•
	3	SHUKLA, P	1290 34		BARBER, H	1476-34	Ó
	4	CARPENTER, P	0000 0	0	JOHNSTON, D	1348 0	1
	5	GERNES,L	0	1 F	KARGE, W	0	0F
	6	DALKA, C	917 -11	0	CUNHUTA, P	1113 11	1
08-DCT-8	٨	KITCHENG OF	CADA LET	2.5	FF1 000		
ROUND 2		KITCHERS OF	SARA LEE		FEL-PRO		3.5
NOOND 2	1	ANDERSON, N	RATINGS		MANEAUS .	RATINGS	SCORE
	•		1810-34	0	MONZANI,A	1614 34	1
	3	CHAN,P	0000 0	1_	FERNANDEZ, J	1458 0	0
		SZATHARY,F	1348 0	.5	SHAPIRO, M	0000 0	.5
	4	HANSON,D	1333 28	1	POKATILOFF, W	1413-28	0
	5	RATAJCZAK, J	1228 -6	0	FELDHAN, A	1539 6	1
	6	TATRO, B	0000 0		ELIKAN,F	0000 0	7
09-0CT-80	)	ZENITH-GLENV	IEU	0	EXCALIBERS		6
ROUND 2	BD		RATINGS			RATINGS	-
	1	SCHULMAN,R	1836 -6	0	BUERGER, E	2150 6	1
	2	NINKOVIC,S	1507 -2		WONG.P	2021 2	.1
	3	ROBINSON, B	1315 -2		KARLOVICS.S	1868 2	•
	4	SKINNER,S	0000 0		SULLIVAN,J	1859 -0	1
	5	FOGELSON, H	1315 -8		BROTSOS, J	1592 5	1
	6	KARLSSON, T	0		BYCKOUSKI.H	.0,2 0	1 F



## MOST IMPROVED PLAYERS



BROZOVICH, J	KNGMN	42
WALHOUT, H	CRSDR	42
ANDERSON, D	ROYLS	42
PEEL,A	IHARV	42
ABPAWA,T	ZENTH	42
STANK, V	SAMBT	35
MONZANI,A	FLPRO	34
MUIR,L	ANDCD	34
HANSON, D	SARAL	28
SAMELSON, C	PLYRS	28
WILKINSON, D	FERNI	27
MARCOUKA,R	CHRGR	27

## DIVISION TOP TEN

### FAR WEST DIVISION

STREETS, D	PAUNS	2081
JAKSTAS,K	ROYLS	2075
JACKSON, J	RODKS	19370
PARKER,L	KNGHN	1885
MIHAILDVICH,S	PAUNS	1871C
BERRY, G	RODKS	18670
WILKINSON, D	FERMI	1820*
WALHDUT, M	CRSDR	1803
LEONARD, T	CRSDR	1750*
HOPPNER, P	ROYLS	1730

#### NORTH DIVISION

EXCAL	2156
EXCAL	2023
TTY	2015C
KENPR	1965
EXCAL	1870
EXCAL	18590
TTY	1854
- ZENTH	1830
HTRLA	1788C
SARAL	1776
	EXCAL TTY KENPR EXCAL EXCAL TTY - ZENTH NTRLA

### NEAR WEST DIVISION

*		
BENEDEK,R	KNGHT	2169
WARREN, J	CHRGR	20390
MARCOUKA,R	CHRGR	1882
YOUNG, C	KNGHT	1860
PETERSON, N	KNGHT	181BC
GRAFT, D	WESCH	1717
DOBR, K	CHRGR	17150
KUMRO, D	CHRGR	17070
KUBAL, C	GANBT	1681*
PETERSON, W	IHARV	1672*

## RATINGS &

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM W L D RATING
ABE,P	APEX	0	1	0	0000?	ERIČKSEN	FLPR0 0 1 0 1131=
AGPAWA,T	ZENTH	1	0	0	1625	EVANS, U	SIGND 1 0 0 0000?
ALBRECHT,R	SANBT	2	0	0	0000?	FAJKUS,J	CHRGR 2 1 0 16000
ALLEN, T	APEX	1	0	0	0000?	FELDHAN, A	FLPRO 1 1 0 1545#
ALDJADO, H	TEMAS	0	1	1	1568	FERNANDEZ, J	FLPR0 0 2 0 1458=
ANDERSON, C	PLYRS	•	Ģ	1	1548#	FISCHLER, M	FERHI 0 3 0 1452#
ANDERSON, D	ROYLS	7	^	1	1663	FOGELSON, M	ZENTH 0 1 0 1307
ANDERSON, N	SARAL			0	1776	GAINES, I	FERMI 2 1 0 1716
ARMBRUSTER, F	CPCIL	}		0	1 <i>47</i> 7+	GERNES, L	TTY2 0 1:0 1286*
BARBER, H	KEMPR	0	į	0	1442*	GESIDR, A	IHARV 0 1 0 1065#
BAURAC, D	ROOKS	1	1	1	1605	GONCHAROFF, N	MTRLA 1 1 0 17880
BENEDEK, R	KNGHT	2	0	0	2169	GRAFT, D	WESCH, 1 1 0 1717
BERRY, G	ROOKS	2	0	1	1867C	GREEN, D	PAURS 2 0 0 1648
BHOJWANI,C	ROYLS	2	1	1	1700	GREEN, H	EXCAL 1 0 0 1622
BINGLE, J	PAUNS	1	1	0	1570C	GREENSPAN, P	MTRLA 1 0 0 16500
BLAUMUELLER, F	GAMBT	0	1	0	0000?	GROSS, D	FERMI 0 1 0 00007
BLAZIE, J	KNGHN	0	. 1	1	1604	HAN.A	CRSDR 2 0 1 1527#
BOWERS, A	APEX	0	2	0	0000?	HANSON, D	SARAL 1 0 0 1361*
BROSIG,A	SIGND	1	0	0	1664	HARRINGER.R	KNGHE 0 1 0 1564
BROTSOS, J	EXCAL	2	0	0	1597C	HENNING.J	MTRLA 2 0 0 15812
BROZOVICH, J	KNGHN	1	0	1	1716	HILL.C	FERMI 0 1 1 1716#
BRUNER, B	CPCIL	0	1	0	0000?	HOLL AND	PLYRS 0- 2 0 00007
BUERGER, E	EXCAL	2	0	0	2156	HUPPHER.P	
BURBA.K	KNGHT	1	0	1	1408	HOSKINS,E	
BYAS,É	CPCIL	1	2	0	1357*	HUFF,S	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
BYCKÚWSKI,M	EXCAL	1	0	Ö	1496	JACKLIN	SIGND 1 0 0 1381
CARPENTER.P	TTY2	0	1	Ō	0000?		IHARV 1 1 0 1189=
CHAN.P	SARAL	1	0	Ö	0000? -	JACKSON, J	RODKS 1 1 1 19375
COMROE .R	HTRLA	1	0	0	1279*	JACOBS, N	TTY 1 0 0 1854
CDNWAY,F	ZENTH	Ö	Ō	1	1457	JAKSTAS, K	ROYLS 3 0 1 2075
COOVER, K	KNGHT	1	1	ò	1414*	JOELSON, A	MIRLA 1 0 0 00007
CUMMUTA, P	KEMPR	1	Ö	0	1124#	D, NOTENHOL	KEMPR 1 0 0 1348#
DALKA,C	TTY2	Ö	2	ō	906#	KANAS, W	IHARV 1 2 0 1284=
DAUSON, T	WESCH	Ŏ	2	Ö	0000?	KARLOVICS, S	EXCAL 2 0 0 1870
DEAK, T	PLYRS	1	1	1	1502*	KARLSSON, T	ZENTH 0 1 0 1123±
DECHAN,S	ROOKS	3	ò	ò	1504	KARUS, B	TTY
DEE.H	AMDCD	0	1	2	1529	KASPER, J	FLPR0 0 1 0 974=
DETHERS.J	ROYLS	1	ò	0	0000?	KELLOGG, K	KNGHT 1 1 0 1614
DEVER.D	KNGHT	1	0	1	1549	KLENN, J	TTY2 1 1 0 1520
DEWITT.6	PLYRS	1	1	Ö		KUBAL.C	GAMET 0 2 0 1681=
DIBERARDINO.D	APEX	•			1350*	KUHRO, D	CHRGR 2 1 0 17075
DISSEN.B	CHRGR	1	1	0	0000?	LACHAPELLE, G	IHARV 1 0 0 1174#
DOBR,K		1	0	0	0000?	LASSILA,K	FERMI 0 0 1 00003
•	CHRGR	2	1	0	1715C	LEE, KJ	ANDCO 0 3 0 1660
DRAGEL,G DURKEE,D	PAUNS	0	1	1	1298	LEONARU, T	CRSBR 0 2 1 1750=
DYER,G	TTY	1	0	0	1742*	LEONG.G	KEMPR 1 0 0 1965
DZABZIC,F	PLYRS	3	0	0	0000?	LESNER, K	\$1685 0 1 0 000CT
DZIEDZIC	ANDCO	0	0	2	1527*	LI,T	ROYLS 1 1 2 1280=
EDUARDS, S	IHARV Excal	1	0	0	0000?	LICHT, J	GAMBT 0 1 0 1422
EHRLICH,E		1	0	0	1248#	LUBENEC, S	CRSDR 2 0 1 1448#
ELIKAN,F	WESCH	0		-	00007	MADISON	PLYES 0 2 0 00007
ELSHEINER, B	FLPRO	1	1	0	0000?	MANZKE, B	TTY 1 0 0 00003
resuctack, b	CRSDR	3	0	0	0000?	MARCOUKA,R	CHRSR 3 0 0 1882-

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	·W	L	D	RATING
MÁV	115.55							••	•		10111110
MAY,G	MESCH		1	0		SKUJINS, P	KEMPR	1	0	0	1564*
MCHAHEL,K	KNGHN		1	0		Smith, Br	KNGHN	0	2	0	1554
MEISINGER	GANET		1	0	0000?	Spangler, k	AHOCO	1	1	1	1505*
MIGNERON,R	FERMI	0	1	0	0000?	SPLAVER, H	PLYRS	2	0	0	00007
MIHAILOVICH,S	PAUNS	1	0	1	1871C	STANN, V	SAMBT	2	0	0	16215
MONZANI,A	FLPRO	1	0	0	1648*	STEJSKAL, J	CPCIL	0	2	1	1296
MOTYCKA,R	IHARV	1	3	0	1244	STELTON, N	WESCH	1	1	0	00007
MUDDE, J	CPCIL	0	2	1	1251	STENSAKER, L	SIGND	1	0	0	1544
MUIR,L	ANDED	1	1	1	1639	STOCK, R	GAMBT	0	1	0	1223#
NEUMARK, J	MTRLA	0	0	1	17180	STREETS, D	PAUNS	2	0	0	2081
NIMRY,T	ANDCO	0	3	0	0000?	SUE, H	TTY2	1	1	0	1458=
NINKOVIC,S	ZENTH	0	2	0	1505*	SULLIVAN, J	EXCAL	1	0	0	18590
O'NEAL, J	WESCH	0	2	0	0000?	SWIDERSKI, N	CHRGR	2	0	2	1439
OELHAFEN,A	APEX	.0	2	0	0000?	SZATHARY, F	SARAL	0	1	1	1342
OGASAWARA,R	MTRLA	2	0	0	16170	TATRO, B	SARAL	0	2	0	0000?
OVENS	IHARV	0	2	0	0000?	TEGEL,F	TTY	0	1	0	20150
PARKER, L	KNGHN	0	1	0	1885	THOMAS, G	PLYRS	1	1	Ö	1322*
PARHERTER, S	CPCIL	0	2	0	1604	THOMAS, J	SAMBT	1	0	ō	1414
PASTURCZAK, RJ	SIGND	. 1	0	.0	1374	THOMPSON, R	FLPRO	0	1	0	1217#
PEEL,A	IHARV	2	1	0	1644*	TOLLENTINU, E	ANDCO	0	1	ō.	00007
PETERSON, M	KNGHT	1	0	0	1818C	UNDERWOOD, H	CRSDR	1	- 2	Ŏ	1561=
PETERSON, W	IHARV	1	1	2	1672*	URBAS, B	CPCIL	0	1	ō	1527
PFEFFER,H	FERNI	0	1	0	1447	VICK, H	MTRLA	1	0	Ô	1539=
PLANT, B	KNGHN	1	0	0	1615#	VOLPE, H	EXCAL	1	Ō	0	1282
POKATILOFF, W	FLPRD	0	2	0	1385*	WAGNER . R	TTY	0	0	1	1692=
POPPS, W	WESCH	1	1	0	1586*	WALHOUT, M	CRSDR	2	0	1	1803
PRESCOTT, F	GAMBT	2	0	0	0000?	WALKER, B	CPCIL	0	1	Ó	00003
RACUTT, E	APEX	0	2	0	0000?	WALKER, R	FERMI	0	2	1	1382
RADAVICIUS,E	CHRGR	2	1	1	1615	WALSH, U	PAUNS	1	1	0	153:
RATAJCZAK, J	SARAL	0	2	0	1222#	ward, C	PLYRS	1	2	0	16070
RAVANAH,S	IHARV	0	0	1	1220#	WARREN, J	CHRGR	2	1	0	20370
ROBINSON, B	ZENTH	0	1	0	1313#	WEIGAND, J	ZENTH	0	1	Ō	1361*
ROSEN, J	KNGHN	0	0	1	1548	WESTON, P	ROYLS	2	1	1	1420
SAMELSON, C	PLYRS	2	1	0	1644*	WETTERSTEN, H	CHRGR	2	0	0	1372
SATTERLEE, D	IHARV	0	3 -	0	1633	WHITTENHALL; JR	APEX	0	1	0	00007
SCHULNAN, R	ZENTH	0	1	0	1830	WHITTENHALL; SR	APEX	0	2	Ö	00007
SHAFF,R	KNGNN	0	1	0	1477	WIENCEK, T	RODKS	0	1	Ö	00007
SHAPIRD, H	FLPRO	0	1	1	0000?	WILKINSON, D	FERMI	1	i	1	1820=
SHIRKEY,L	ROOKS	2	0	0	1545C	WILSON, R	ROOKS	0	1	2	17250
SHUKLA, P	TTY2	1	1	0	1324*	WONG, P	EXCAL	2	0	Ō	2023
SIVULA, B	SARAL	0	0	1	1554	YOUNG, C	KNGHT	1	Ö	i	1860
SKINNER,S	ZENTH	0	2	0	0000?	ZUERCHER, J	TTY	1	0	0	1393

<sup>? -</sup> UNRATED # - 5 TO 9 GAMES \* - 10 TO 24 GAMES C - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER