**April 2005** Volume 48.5

# The Chicago Chess Player

The Official Bulletin of the Chicago Industrial Chess League

## **END OF YEAR EVENTS**

Spring Business Meeting – April 20 7PM at Motorola

CICL Playoffs/Open -- May 14 9AM Sharp (site TBA)

Awards Banquet -- June 3<sup>rd</sup> Details forthcoming

Tom Friske Bulletin Editor/Webmaster H:(847) 299-1033 1035 E Algonquin Road Bulletin@ChicagoChessLeague.org Des Plaines, IL 60016 W:{847) 914-8448 Ratings Chairman Art Olsen 714 E Algonquin Road #J102 H:(847) 437-9819 Ratings@ChicagoChessLeague.org W:(847) 719-8036 Arlington Heights, IL 60006 FAX: to SBS OTS, 22NW0644-5 at (847) 719-8151 Jim Thomson League President H:(630) 406--0180 2713 Andrews Avenue President@ChicagoChessLeague.org Batavia, IL 60510 W:(847) 538-5408 League Secretary Jerry Thomas Secretary@ChicagoChessLeague.org League Treasurer Lenny Spiegel Fermilab MS 220 H: (630) 208-4738 Treasurer@ChicagoChessLeague.org Batavia, il 60510 W: (630) 840-2809 9044 S 51st Avenue **Trophy Chairman** Marty Franek H: (708) 636-3714 Trophy@ChicagoChessLeague.org Oak Lawn, IL 60453-1730 W: (312) 353-0397 **Publicity Chairman Brian Smith** 483 Nantucket Road H: (630) 983-9316 Publicity@ChicagoChessLeague.org Naperville, il 60565-3106 Banquet Chairman **Burt Gazmen** 1614 Heather Lane H: (630) 985-1882 Banquet@ChicagoChessLeague.org Darrien, IL 60561 W: (312) 666-8100 X228 **DIVISIONAL CHAIRMEN East Division** Jim Duffy 152 Greenway H: (630) 307-2414 ChairmanE@ChicagoChessLeague.org Roselle, IL 60172 W: (312) 220-3252 Far West Division **Bob Buchner** 1316 Kallien Court H: (630) 428-7707 ChairmanFW@ChicagoChessLeague.org Naperville, IL 60540 W: (630) 979-7707 **Near West Division** Carl Reid 2289 Grand Drive ChairmanNW@ChicagoChessLeague.org Northbrook, IL 60062 W: (224) 232-2174 Art Olsen North Division ( See information for Ratings chairman above )

#### Mark Your Calendars with These Key League Dates:

Fall business Meeting Spring Business Meeting Season Playoffs CiCL Open League Awards Banquet

Last Wednesday of August (Aug 31 2005) 3.5 Weeks Before Playoffs (April 20, 2005) Second Saturday of May (May 14, 2005) Second Saturday of May (May 14, 2005) First Friday of June (June 3, 2005)

ChairmanN@ChicagoChessLeague.org

Current Standings 3

#### NEAR WEST DIVISION 03-27-2005

					GAME	MATCH		
TEAM	NAME	W	$\mathbf{L}$	D	POINTS	POINTS	PCT	PR
ARGONNE	ROOKS	9	1	0	36.0	9.0	0.900	1730
PAWNS		7	3	0	38.0	7.0	0.700	1614
CASE		1	7	2	22.0	2.0	0.200	1489
COMPUTER	R ASSOCIATES	1	7	2	16.0	2.0	0.200	1389

#### FAR WEST DIVISION 03-27-2005

				GAME	MATCH		
TEAM NAME	W	L	D	POINTS	POINTS	PCT	PR
LUCENT TECH. TYROS	7	1	0	33.5	7.0	0.875	1911
ST CHARLES CHESS CLUB	5	1	2	30.5	6.0	0.750	1761
FERMILAB	4	2	1	29.0	4.5	0.643	1822
LUCENT TECH. DRAGONS	3	5	0	20.0	3.0	0.375	1463
MOLEX	2	5	1	19.5	2.5	0.313	1539
BP CHEMICALS	0	7	0	2.5	0.0	0.000	1248

#### NORTH DIVISION 03-27-2005

					GAME	MATCH		
TEAM NAME		M	L	D	POINTS	POINTS	PCT	PR
		_		_				
MOTOROLA KNIGHTS		./	1	0	33.5	7.0	0.875	1921
EXCALIBURS		5	2	0	25.5	5.0	0.714	1777
MOTOROLA KINGS		4	4	0	25.5	4.0	0.500	1727
KEMPER INSURANCE		3	4	0	21.5	3.0	0.429	1718
NORTHROP		2	5	0	16.5	2.0	0.286	1601
UOP		2	5	0	14.5	2.0	0.286	1470
NORTHWESTERN CHESS	CTJIB	2	1	Λ	9 N	2 0	U 333	1/110

#### EAST DIVISION 03-27-2005

TEAM NAME	M	L	D		MATCH POINTS	PCT	PR
ALUMNI ACES	7	0	0	32.0	7.0	1.000	1831
GETCO	5	3	0	23.0	5.0	0.625	1694
LEO BURNETT	3	4	0	17.0	3.0	0.429	1645
CITADEL GROUP	0	8	0	16.0	0.0	0.000	1632

#### NEAR WEST DIVISION TOP TEN

#### FAR WEST DIVISION TOP TEN

BENEDEK, R	ROOKS 2	202T	MACHAJ,B	STCCC	2260
WILLIAMS, K	CASE 2	172	GARZON, G	FERMI	2257
JAKSTAS,K	PAWNS 2	133C	DORIGO,T	FERMI	2177
HILL,R	ROOKS 2	054C	STEIN, P	TYROS	2174
LATIMER, E	PAWNS 2	016T	MARSHALL, J	STCCC	2120
UNDERWOOD, W	CA 1	.936C	SPLINTER, J	STCCC	2096
SUAREZ,E	ROOKS 1	891	WIEWEL, J	STCCC	2095
FRAATS,D	CASE 1	847C	DIAZ,P	TYROS	2068C
ELLICE,W	PAWNS 1	846C	TEGEL, F	DRGNS	2034Q
BAURAC,D	ROOKS 1	.754D	RASO, P	STCCC	1980

#### NORTH DIVISION TOP TEN

#### EAST DIVISION TOP TEN

WOLF, D FRIDMAN, Y	MKING MKNGT		VOLYNSKIY,G TAMEZ,I	GETCO ALUMN	
STEVANOVIC, M		2218D	INUMERABLE, F	ALUMN	
WONG, P	EXCLB	2173C	KRAS, T	LBURN	2153
MORRIS,R	MKNGT	2169	RAUCHMAN, M	GETCO	2129*
FRISKE, T	EXCLB	2108C	BENESA, A	ALUMN	2129C
LANG, R	EXCLB	2067	WEBER, L	ALUMN	2121
DAW, P	NWEST	2030	GAZMEN,E	ALUMN	2035C
WALLACH, C	MKING	2027C	JASAITIS,A	GETCO	2026D
MELNIKOV, I	MKING	2021C	SANTIAGO, T	ALUMN	1992

#### MOST IMPROVED PLAYERS

ZADEREJ,V	MOLEX	118
ELEK,G	NORTH	116
MCGOWAN, D	MOLEX	112
LE, DUC	CITGR	106
VAIL, M	CA	104
FREIDEL, JESSE	STCCC	82
MUHS,A	CITGR	78
HAYHURST,W	CITGR	75
WIEWEL,J	STCCC	63
CEASE, H	FERMI	63

match Results					5
21-DEC-04 ALUMNI ACES		6 LEO BURN	ETT	0	
ROUND 5					
	RATINGS SCORE		RATINGS SCORE		
	0170 0 1		1004 0 0		
1 TAMEZ,I 2 BENESA,A 3 GAZMEN,E 4 MILLER,A 5 SANTIAGO,T	2170 0 I	EAMAN, K	1904 - 6 0		
2 BENESA, A	2122 1 1	SITAR, K	1563 -2 0		
3 GAZMEN,E	2031 0 1	BANNON, B	1288 -1 0		
4 MILLER,A	1427 10 1	MARSH,M	1205-10 0		
5 SANTIAGO,T	1992 0 1F		0 0 OF		
6 FRANK,M	1746 0 1F		0 0 0F		
29-DEC-04 ALUMNI ACES		4 GETCO		2	
ROUND 6					
BD	RATINGS SCORE	Ε	RATINGS SCORE		
1 INUMERABLE, F 2 TAMEZ, I 3 BENESA, A 4 GAZMEN, E 5 SANTIAGO, T	2226-20 0	RAUCHMAN, M	2105 30 1		
2 TAMEZ,I	2186 16 1	JASAITIS,A	2084-11 0		
3 BENESA, A	2123 6 1	SEET, P	1887 -9 0		
4 GAZMEN,E	2031 4 1	KRATKA, M	1683 -5 0		
5 SANTIAGO.T	1992 0 1	KOSMICKE.J	0 0 0		
6 MILLER, A	1437 0 0	TAN A	0 0 1		
O HIBBER, H	1437 0 0	17111,71	0 0 1		
20-JAN-05 GETCO		4 CITADEL	GROUP	1	
ROUND 5		. (111111111111111111111111111111111111		-	
	DAMINGO CCODI		DAMINGO CCODE		
BD	RATINGS SCORE	<u> </u>	RATINGS SCORE		
1 RAUCHMAN,M 2 JASAITIS,A 3 KRATKA,M 4 TAN,A	2135 8 1	HAYHURST, W	18// -8 0		
2 JASAITIS,A	2073 2 1	SENSAT,J	1589 -3 0		
3 KRATKA,M	1678-24 0	LE, DUC	1651 24 1		
4 TAN, A	1703 0 1	JANKE,A	0 0 0		
(* Board 5 was on	riginally sched	duled for a lat	er date. *)		
			cause the match *)		
(* was already de		oci boara o boc	, adde elle macell		
			0 0 0E		
	0 0 0F		0 0 0F		
6 COOMBES, N	1338 0 IF		0 0 0F		
10-FEB-05 LEO BURNETT		3 5 CTTADET.	CPOUD	2.5	
ROUND 6		J.J CIIADEL	GROOF	2.3	
	DIETHOO COOD!	_			
	RATINGS SCORE		RATINGS SCORE		
1 KRAS,T	2171-38 0				
2 EAMAN,R			1809 6 .5		
3 DUFFY,J		•	1586-11 0		
4 SITAR,K	1561-15 0	LE, DUC	1675 15 1		
5 FULKERSON, R			1354-15 0		
6 MARSH,M	1195 0 1	MURAGAPPAN, G	0 0 0		
16-FEB-05 LEO BURNETT		1.5 GETCO		3.5	
ROUND 7					
BD	RATINGS SCORE	Ξ	RATINGS SCORE		
1 KRAS,T					
(* Board 2 was not p	played by mutua	al agreement of	both captains *)		
2 EAMAN,R	1890 0 OF	RAUCHMAN, M	2143 0 OF		
3 DUFFY, J	1003 37 I	JASAITIS, A	1077 2 1		
4 SITAK, K	1546 -3 0	SAGALOVSKY, L	1977 3 1		
4 SITAR,K 5 FULKERSON,R 6 BANNON,B	1484 -4 0	SAGALOVSKY,L SEET,P	1878 4 1		
6 BANNON, B	1287 -4 0	TAN, A	1703 4 1		

03-MAR-05 CITADEL GROUND 8	2.5	GETCO	3.5
BD 1 HAYHURST,W 2 ONG,K 3 LE,DUC 4 SENSAT,J 5 MUHS,A	RATINGS SCORE  1907 14 .5 RAU  1815 36 1 JAS  1690 34 1 SEE  1575-14 0 TAN  1339 -6 0 KRA  0 0 0 COO	ET, P 1882-34 N, A 1707 14 ATKA, M 1654 6	.5 0 0 1
21-MAR-04 ALUMNI ACES ROUND 7	3.5	CITADEL GROUP	<b>2.</b> 5
BD 1 TAMEZ,I 2 WEBER,L 3 BENESA,A 4 SOLLANO,E	2129 0 OF LE, 1953 3 1 SEN 1746-19 .5 MUH	G,K 1851 38	0 1 1F 0
13-JAN-05 MOTOROLA KING	ss 6	NORTHWESTERN CHESS	CLUB 0
BD 1 WOLF,D 2 MELNIKOV,I	1832 0 1F LEV	EINES, B 1840 0	0F 0F 0F 0F
20-JAN-05 MOTOROLA KNIC	GHTS 4	KEMPER INSURANCE	2
BD 1 FRIDMAN,Y 2 MORRIS,R 3 THOMSON,J 4 AUGSBURGER,L 5 KARANDIKAR,S	1675 18 1 WAN	DNG,G 2000 -9 LKER,C 1776 13 STON,R 1852 -4	0 0 .5 .5
20-JAN-05 UOP ROUND 6	1.5	MOTOROLA KINGS	4.5
BD 1 BOLDINGH,E 2 LECHNICK,J 3 MICKLICH,F 4 O'BRIEN,D 5 VAN ZILE,C 6 SCHOONOVER,M	1740-17 0 PIE 1616 6 .5 BAU 1386 16 .5 GON 1300 9 .5 GRY	ICHAROFF,N 1687 -7	1 1 .5 .5

26-JAN-05 MOTOROLA ROUND 6	KNIGHTS	2.5 EXCALIB	urs	3.5
BD 1 FRIDMAN,Y 2 MORRIS,R 3 THOMSON,J 4 BALICKI,J 5 AUGSBURGER 6 KARANDIKAR	2170 -7 1984 9 1757-21 ( L 1807 5	DRE DESCRIPTION FRISKE, T SERVICE SERVICE FRISKE, T FRIS	RATINGS SCORE 2061 24	
27-JAN-05 NORTHWES:	TERN CHESS CLUB	3 NORTHRO	P	2
BD	RATINGS SCO	ORE	RATINGS SCORE	
(* Board 1 was forfe (* Northrop did not (* The North Division (* by Northwestern d	field a player fo n Chairman ruled	or board 1. that board 1 is		*) *) *)
(* (* Northwestern rep	laced their board	d 1 player with	another player	*)
<pre>(* 2) The boar (* (* 3) Nothwestern (*     moving their</pre>		een started. eir lineup in ra to board 4 and r		*) *) *) *) *) *) *) *) *) *) *)
(* The North Division (* by Northwestern (* (* Northwestern move	due to the three	rules violation	as listed below.	*) *) *) *)
(* (* 1) The visi	ting team wrote : d 1 clocks had be	in their lineup.		*) *) *) *)
(* 3) Nothwestern ( (* moving their		to board 4 and r	ating order by replacing him with a lower estimated	*) *) *) *) *) *)
(* Board 4 was rated (* game was actually		rd game on board	1 7 because this	*)
1 PATEL,A 2 GORODETSKI 3 WOHNS,N 4 DAW,P 5 LESAIN,J 6 SAKAI,T 7 DAW,P	Y,S 1796 13 1 0 0 0 2029 0 0 0 0 0	CAIRONE, B VIGANTS, A BURIAN, D FETTERMAN, M CELEK, G ROTHSTEIN, J FETTERMAN, M	1780 0 0F 1640 -9 0 1517 0 0 1325 0 1F 1139 0 1 0 0 0 1325 -1 0	

ROUND	-05 NORTHWESTERN	CHESS C	LUB .	5 UOP		3.5
1100112	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS SC	ORE
	1 GORODETSKIY,S					1
	2 KREINES, B	1840-20	0	BOLDINGH, E		1
	3 PATEL, A	0 0	0_	LECHNICK, J	1723 0	1_
	4 SAKAI,T	0 0	.5	MICKLICH, F	1622 0	
	5 6	0 0	0F 0F		0 0	OF OF
	0	0 0	OF		0 0	OF
19-FEB-	05 EXCALIBURS		3.5	KEMPER INSURA	NCE 2	2.5
ROUND	7				-	
	BD	RATINGS			RATINGS SC	ORE
	1 WONG, P	2180 -7	. 5	LEONG, G	1991 7	.5
	2 FRISKE,T 3 AROND,D 4 KOGAN,G 5 WEITZ,R 6 BROTSOS,J	2085 11	1	SIWEK, M	1995-11	0
	3 AROND, D	1795-23	0	WALKER, C	1789 23	1
	4 KOGAN,G 5 WETT7 D	1/32-10	1	EASTON, K	1848 15	1
	5 WELLZ, K	1539 15	1	OLSEN A	1531-15	0
	0 10100070	1000 10	_		1331 13	
10-FEB	-05 NORTHROP		1	5 MOTOROLA	KNIGHTS	4.5
ROUND	7					
	BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS SC	
	1 CAIRONE, B	1705 _5	0	MORRIS, R		1
	2 WALKER,A 3 VIGANTS.A	1631 -3	0	THOMSON, J	1993 5	1
	3 VIGANTS, A 4 5 FETTERMAN, M 6 ELEK, G	0 0	0F	BALICKI, J	1736 0	1F
	5 FETTERMAN,M	1324 -3	0	AUGSBURGER, L	1812 2	1
	6 ELEK,G	1139 42	1	ALFONSO, E	1598-42	0
	7 DENNISTON,E	0 0	. 5	JOSHI,B	0 0	.5 (MKING)
				DADAMDTEAD C		1
	8 SEDERLAND, E		0	KARANDIKAR,S	1660 0	1
03-MAR		0 0				4
03-MAR	-05 KEMPER INSUR	0 0			KNIGHTS	·
	-05 KEMPER INSUR	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS	2			4
	-05 KEMPER INSURA 8 BD 1 SIWEK,M	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5	SCORE 0	MOTOROLA FRIDMAN, Y	KNIGHTS  RATINGS SC 2245 8	<b>4</b> CORE 1
	-05 KEMPER INSURA 8 BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8	SCORE 0 0	MOTOROLA  FRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R	KNIGHTS  RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12	<b>4</b> CORE 1 1
	-05 KEMPER INSURA 8 BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11	SCORE 0 0 0	MOTOROLA  FRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11	<b>4</b> CORE 1 1 1
	-05 KEMPER INSURA 8 BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C 4 EASTON,R	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11 1863 -4	SCORE 0 0 0 .5	PRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J AUGSBURGER, L	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11 1814 2	<b>4</b> CORE 1 1 1 1
	-05 KEMPER INSURA 8 BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C 4 EASTON,R 5 HUGHES,N	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11	SCORE 0 0 0 .5 .5	PRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J AUGSBURGER, L	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11 1814 2 1736 -4	<b>4</b> CORE 1 1 1
	-05 KEMPER INSURA 8 BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C 4 EASTON,R	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11 1863 -4 1681 2	SCORE 0 0 0 .5 .5	FRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J AUGSBURGER, L BALICKI, J	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11 1814 2 1736 -4	<b>4</b> CORE 1 1 1 .5 .5
ROUND	-05 KEMPER INSURA 8 BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C 4 EASTON,R 5 HUGHES,N	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11 1863 -4 1681 2 1516 7	SCORE 0 0 0 .5 .5	FRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J AUGSBURGER, L BALICKI, J	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11 1814 2 1736 -4 1294-10	<b>4</b> CORE 1 1 1 .5 .5
ROUND	-05 KEMPER INSURA 8 BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C 4 EASTON,R 5 HUGHES,N 6 OLSEN,A	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11 1863 -4 1681 2 1516 7	SCORE 0 0 0 .5 .5	FRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J AUGSBURGER, L BALICKI, J MARSHALL, K	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11 1814 2 1736 -4 1294-10	4 CORE 1 1 1 .5 .5 0
ROUND  03-MAR	-05 KEMPER INSURA 8 BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C 4 EASTON,R 5 HUGHES,N 6 OLSEN,A  -05 MOTOROLA KING	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11 1863 -4 1681 2 1516 7	SCORE 0 0 0 .5 .5 1 3 SCORE	FRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J AUGSBURGER, L BALICKI, J MARSHALL, K	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11 1814 2 1736 -4 1294-10 RS	4 CORE 1 1 1 .5 .5 .5 0 2.5
ROUND  03-MAR	-05 KEMPER INSURA 8  BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C 4 EASTON,R 5 HUGHES,N 6 OLSEN,A  -05 MOTOROLA KING 8  BD 1 MELNIKOV,I	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11 1863 -4 1681 2 1516 7  SS  RATINGS 2026-12	SCORE 0 0 0 .5 .5 1	FRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J AUGSBURGER, L BALICKI, J MARSHALL, K  S.5 EXCALIBUTE FRISKE, T	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11 1814 2 1736 -4 1294-10 RS	4 CORE 1 1 1 .5 .5 .5 0 2.5 CORE 1
ROUND  03-MAR	-05 KEMPER INSURA BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C 4 EASTON,R 5 HUGHES,N 6 OLSEN,A  -05 MOTOROLA KING 8 BD 1 MELNIKOV,I 2 WALLACH,C	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11 1863 -4 1681 2 1516 7  SS  RATINGS 2026-12 2009 3	SCORE 0 0 0 .5 .5 1	FRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J AUGSBURGER, L BALICKI, J MARSHALL, K  S.5 EXCALIBUTE FRISKE, T LANG, R	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11 1814 2 1736 -4 1294-10   RS  RATINGS SC 2096 12 2071 -4	4 CORE 1 1 1 .5 .5 .5 0 2.5 CORE 1 .5
ROUND  03-MAR	-05 KEMPER INSURA BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C 4 EASTON,R 5 HUGHES,N 6 OLSEN,A  -05 MOTOROLA KING 8 BD 1 MELNIKOV,I 2 WALLACH,C 3 PIPARIA,J	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11 1863 -4 1681 2 1516 7   SS  RATINGS 2026-12 2009 3 1851 -8	SCORE 0 0 .5 .5 1 3 SCORE 0 .5 .5 .5	FRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J AUGSBURGER, L BALICKI, J MARSHALL, K  5.5 EXCALIBUTE FRISKE, T LANG, R KOGAN, G	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11 1814 2 1736 -4 1294-10   RS  RATINGS SC 2096 12 2071 -4 1722 5	4 CORE 1 1 1 1 .5 .5 .5 0 2.5 CORE 1 .5 .5
ROUND  03-MAR	-05 KEMPER INSURA BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C 4 EASTON,R 5 HUGHES,N 6 OLSEN,A  -05 MOTOROLA KING 8 BD 1 MELNIKOV,I 2 WALLACH,C	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11 1863 -4 1681 2 1516 7   SS  RATINGS 2026-12 2009 3 1851 -8 1832 19	SCORE 0 0 0 .5 .5 1 SCORE 0 .5 .5	FRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J AUGSBURGER, L BALICKI, J MARSHALL, K  S.5 EXCALIBUTE FRISKE, T LANG, R	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11 1814 2 1736 -4 1294-10   RS  RATINGS SC 2096 12 2071 -4	4 CORE 1 1 1 .5 .5 .5 0 2.5 CORE 1 .5
ROUND  03-MAR	-05 KEMPER INSURA BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C 4 EASTON,R 5 HUGHES,N 6 OLSEN,A  -05 MOTOROLA KING 8 BD 1 MELNIKOV,I 2 WALLACH,C 3 PIPARIA,J 4 CYGAN,J	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11 1863 -4 1681 2 1516 7  GS  RATINGS 2026-12 2009 3 1851 -8 1832 19 1755 11	SCORE 0 0 0 .5 .5 1 SCORE 0 .5 .5 1	FRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J AUGSBURGER, L BALICKI, J MARSHALL, K  S.5 EXCALIBUTE  FRISKE, T LANG, R KOGAN, G AROND, D WEITZ, R	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11 1814 2 1736 -4 1294-10   RS  RATINGS SC 2096 12 2071 -4 1722 5 1772-19	4 CORE 1 1 1 1 .5 .5 .5 0 2.5 CORE 1 .5 .5 0
ROUND  03-MAR	-05 KEMPER INSURA BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C 4 EASTON,R 5 HUGHES,N 6 OLSEN,A  -05 MOTOROLA KING 8 BD 1 MELNIKOV,I 2 WALLACH,C 3 PIPARIA,J 4 CYGAN,J 5 BAUMGARTNER,C	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11 1863 -4 1681 2 1516 7  GS  RATINGS 2026-12 2009 3 1851 -8 1832 19 1755 11	SCORE 0 0 0 .5 .5 1 SCORE 0 .5 .5 1	FRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J AUGSBURGER, L BALICKI, J MARSHALL, K  8.5 EXCALIBUTE FRISKE, T LANG, R KOGAN, G AROND, D WEITZ, R BROTSOS, J SUERTH, F	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11 1814 2 1736 -4 1294-10   RS  RATINGS SC 2096 12 2071 -4 1722 5 1772-19 1566 -8	4 CORE 1 1 1 1 .5 .5 .5 0 2.5 CORE 1 .5 .0 0
ROUND  03-MAR	-05 KEMPER INSURA BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C 4 EASTON,R 5 HUGHES,N 6 OLSEN,A  -05 MOTOROLA KING 8 BD 1 MELNIKOV,I 2 WALLACH,C 3 PIPARIA,J 4 CYGAN,J 5 BAUMGARTNER,C 6 GONCHAROFF,N 7 GRYPARIS,J 8 RABINOVICH,E	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11 1863 -4 1681 2 1516 7   GS  RATINGS 2026-12 2009 3 1851 -8 1832 19 1755 11 1680 -3 1441 18 1384 0	SCORE 0 0 0 .5 .5 1 SCORE 0 .5 .5 1	FRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J AUGSBURGER, L BALICKI, J MARSHALL, K  8.5 EXCALIBUTE FRISKE, T LANG, R KOGAN, G AROND, D WEITZ, R BROTSOS, J	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11 1814 2 1736 -4 1294-10   RS  RATINGS SC 2096 12 2071 -4 1722 5 1772-19 1566 -8 1554 5	4 CORE 1 1 1 1 .5 .5 0  2.5  CORE 1 .5 .5 0 0 .5
ROUND  03-MAR	-05 KEMPER INSURA BD 1 SIWEK,M 2 LEONG,G 3 WALKER,C 4 EASTON,R 5 HUGHES,N 6 OLSEN,A  -05 MOTOROLA KING 8 BD 1 MELNIKOV,I 2 WALLACH,C 3 PIPARIA,J 4 CYGAN,J 5 BAUMGARTNER,C 6 GONCHAROFF,N 7 GRYPARIS,J	0 0  ANCE  RATINGS 1984 -5 1998 -8 1812-11 1863 -4 1681 2 1516 7   GS  RATINGS 2026-12 2009 3 1851 -8 1832 19 1755 11 1680 -3 1441 18 1384 0	SCORE 0 0 0 .5 .5 1 SCORE 0 .5 .5 1 1	FRIDMAN, Y MORRIS, R THOMSON, J AUGSBURGER, L BALICKI, J MARSHALL, K  8.5 EXCALIBUTE FRISKE, T LANG, R KOGAN, G AROND, D WEITZ, R BROTSOS, J SUERTH, F	RATINGS SC 2245 8 2168 12 1998 11 1814 2 1736 -4 1294-10   RS  RATINGS SC 2096 12 2071 -4 1722 5 1772-19 1566 -8 1554 5 1502-18	4 CORE 1 1 1 .5 .5 0 2.5 CORE 1 .5 .5 0 0 .5

10-MAR-05 UOP ROUND 8		1	5 NORTHROE	?	4.5
	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS SCORE	
BD 1 BOLDINGH,E	1885-24	0	VIGANTS, A	1628 24 1	
2 LECHNICK,J	1723 4	1	FETTERMAN, M	1321 -4 0	
3 MICKLICH, F	1622-28	0	ELEK,G	1181 42 1	
4 VAN ZILE, C	1309 0	<b>.</b> 5	DENNISTON, E	0 0 .5	
2 LECHNICK, J 3 MICKLICH, F 4 VAN ZILE, C 5 O'BRIEN, D 6	1402 0	0F	ROTHSTEIN, J	0 0 1F	
6	0 0	UF	SEDERLAND, C	0 0 11	
24-MAR-05 MOTOROLA KNIG	SHTS	4	MOTOROLA	KINGS	2
ROUND 9					
BD				RATINGS SCORE	
1 FRIDMAN,Y	2253 28	1	WOLF, D	2347-28 0	
Z MORRIS, R	2180-11	. 5	MELNIKOV, I	2014 / .5 2012 15 1	
2 MORRIS,R 3 THOMSON,J 4 BALICKI,J 5 AUGSBURGER,L 6 KARANDIKAR,S 7 ALFONSO,E	1732 29	1	PTPARTAT	1843-29 0	
5 AUGSBURGER, L	1816 2	.5	CYGAN, J	1851 -3 .5	
6 KARANDIKAR,S	1660 29	1	BAUMGARTNER, (	C 1766-29 0	
7 ALFONSO, E	1556-15	0	GONCHAROFF, N	1677 7 1	
8 MARSHALL, K	1284 0	. 5	JOSHI,B	0 0 .5	
17-JAN-05 ARGONNE ROOKS	5	3	.5 CASE		2.5
ROUND 7	D A T T NICC	CCODE		RATINGS SCORE	
BD 1 BENEDEK,R 2 SUAREZ,E 3 BAURAC,D 4 DECMAN,S 5 HLOHOWSKYJ,I 6 KINSELLA,G	2191 1	1	ALEXANDER.W	1653 -2 0	
2 SUAREZ,E	1883 8	1	PARAOAN, E	1626 -6 0	
3 BAURAC,D	1729 -8	. 5	REID, C	1512 8 .5	
4 DECMAN,S	1558 9	1	DOBR,K	1401 -6 0	
5 HLOHOWSKYJ,I	0 0	0	DYCZKOWSKI,R	1357 0 1	
6 KINSELLA,G	1458-22	0	ZOELLNER, J	1295 22 1	
27-JAN-05 ARGONNE ROOKS	5	4	COMPUTER	R ASSOCIATES	1
BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS SCORE	
1 BENEDEK,R				1730 -3 0	
2 BAURAC, D	1721 4		VAIL, M	1419 -7 0	
3 YACOUT,A	1575 0		BELFOR, V	0 0 0	
4 HLOHOWSKYJ,I	0 0		MARKLEY, S	0 0 1	
5 KINSELLA,G 6	1436 0	1 0F	BYRNE, M	0 0 0 0 0 0F	
27-JAN-05 PAWNS		6	CASE		0
ROUND 8	DAMINGO	CCODE		DAMINICO COOPE	
BD 1 JAKSTAS,K	RATINGS 2142 2	SCORE 1	ALEXANDER,W	RATINGS SCORE 1651 -3 0	
2 ELLICE, W	1854 4		REID, C	1520 -4 0	
3 FRANEK,M	1723 4		DOBR, K	1395 -3 0	
4 FABIJONAS,R	1640 5		DYCZKOWSKI,R		
5 MIKULECKY, B	1446 10		ZOELLNER, J	1317-10 0	
6 O'DELL,DW	1428 0	1F		0 0 0F	

<b>03-FEB-05 PAWNS</b> ROUND 7		5	5.5 COMPUTER	RASSOCIATES	. 5
2 ELLICE,W 3 FRANEK,M 4 FABIJONAS,R 5 MIKULECKY,B 6 ABDALLAH,D	RATINGS 2144 0 1858 2 1727 3 1645-10 1456 0 1445 0	1F 1 .5 1F 1F	VAIL,M BYRNE,M MCCLENDON,L	0 0 0F 0 0 0F	
7 ABDALLAH,D	1445 23	1	MIKULECKY, B	1456-15 0 (	PAWNS)
16-FEB-05 CASE		3	3 COMPUTER	ASSOCIATES	3
ROUND 9					
BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS SCORE	
1 ALEXANDER,W	1648 -7	0	UNDERWOOD, W	1939 5 1	
2 PARAOAN,E	1620 0 1516-19	1F	DENMARK, T	1727 0 OF	
3 REID, C	1516-19	0	VAIL,M	1409 29 1	
4 DOBR,K	1392-11	0	MCCLENDON, L		
5 DYCZKOWSKI,R					
6 ZOELLNER,J	1307 0	1	BELFOR, V	0 0 0	
07-MAR-05 ARGONNE ROOKS	;	4	l PAWNS		2
BD	RATINGS	CCODE		RATINGS SCORE	
1 BENEDEK,R	2193 9	1	JAKSTAS,K	2144-13 0	
2 HILL,R	2039 15	1	LATIMER, E	2031-15 0	
3 BAURAC, D	1725 21	1		1860-21 0	
4 DECMAN, S	1567 22	1		1730-22 0	
5 NABEREZHNEV, D			FABIJONAS,R		
6	0 0	OF	O'DELL, DW	1428 0 1F	
(* A 1 game point penalty (* board 5 upper board fo	rfeit as	per t		d forfeit rule	*) *)
ROUND 10		_			
BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS SCORE	
1 UNDERWOOD, W	1944 -8		BAURAC,D	1746 8 .5	
2 DENMARK, T	1727 13	1	YACOUT, A	1575-13 0	
3 VAIL, M	1438 26	1	GRUDZINSKI, J	1485-26 0	
4 MCCLENDON, L	1391-20		KINSELLA, G	1436 13 1	
5	0 0	OF		0 0 OF	
6 BELFOR, V	0 0	1F		0 0 OF	
22_MAD_05_03CE		-	PAWNS		4
22-MAR-05 CASE ROUND 10		2	. PAWINO		4
BD	RATINGS	SCUDE		RATINGS SCORE	
1 ALEXANDER,W	1641 -3		JAKSTAS,K	2131 2 1	
2 PARAOAN,E	1620 -7		ELLICE, W	1839 7 1	
3 REID, C	1497 23		FRANEK, M	1708-23 0	
4 DOBR, K	1381 -4		FABIJONAS,R	1635 6 1	
5 ZOELLNER, J	1307 21		MIKULECKY, B	1441-21 0	
6 DYCZKOWSKI,R	1350 0	0 F	O'DELL, DW	1428 0 1F	

12-JAN-05 LUCENT TECH. ROUND 6	TYROS 5	BP CHEMICA	LS	1
	RATINGS SCORE		RATINGS SCORE	
1 DIAZ,P				
2 CMOIM7 D	10// 0 1	CUDICE C	1373 17 0	
2 DIGUNED D	1600 0 5	CHAIDDE, G	0 0 5	
3 BUCHNER, R	1689 0 .5	SUVARNAKANTI, K	1000 00 5	
4 SMITH, BR	1697-13 .5	CASTANEDA, R	1232 20 .5	
5 HAHNE, D	1594 0 1	HAYES, D	0 0 0	
2 STOLTZ,B 3 BUCHNER,R 4 SMITH,BR 5 HAHNE,D 6 KARPIERZ,J	1305 0 1	WOODS,C	0 0 0	
13-JAN-05 ST CHARLES C	urce criip 3	) 5 FFDMTIAD		2.5
	TESS CLUB	O.J FERMILAD		2.5
ROUND 6	DAMENICO COODE		DAMING GGODD	
BD	RATINGS SCORE		RATINGS SCORE	
1 MARSHALL,J		GARZON, G	2261-10 .5	
2 SPLINTER, J	2111-20 0	DORIGO,T	2157 20 1	
3 WIEWEL,J	2057 15 1	SPIEGEL, L	1941-10 0	
4 FREIDEL, JESSE	1828 22 1	GAINES, I	1819-15 0	
5 PRADT,D	1654 20 1	STAPLES, C	1618-20 0	
3 WIEWEL, J 4 FREIDEL, JESSE 5 PRADT, D 6 MCDANIEL, T 7 MCGEE, M	1435-19 0	BOLSHOV,A	1485 19 1	
7 MCGEE, M	1500-32 0	CEASE, H	1341 32 1	
8 GUTIERREZ,M	1453-27 0	DEGRAF.B	1386 27 1	
		,		
25-JAN-05 MOLEX	4	1.5 LUCENT TEC	H. DRAGONS	1.5
ROUND 6				
	RATINGS SCORE		RATINGS SCORE	
1 PETCH T	101/1 0 5	ייד בדו. ד	2047 <b>-</b> 4 5	
1 REICH, T 2 HENDRICKSON, B 3 ZADEREJ, V 4 DEICHMANN, E 5 MCGOWAN, D	1539 26 1	TEGEL, T	1500-17	
2 NADEDET V	1009 20 1	CEDMM 17	1500-17 0	
3 ZADEREJ, V	13/8 33 1	STAMM, V	1353-15 0	
4 DEICHMANN, E	1245 -9 0	EUSTACE, D	148/ 6 1	
5 MCGOWAN, D	120 / 32 1	KOMORAVOLU, K	1361-32 0	
6 RUFUS,B	0 0 1	BREYER, A	1261 0 0	
26-JAN-05 LUCENT TECH.	TYROS 3	3.5 ST CHARLES	CHESS CLUB	2.5
ROUND 7				
ROUND 7  BD 1 STEIN,P 2 DIAZ,P			RATINGS SCORE	
1 CMEIN D	2164 _5 5	СПІТИФЕВ Т	2001 5 5	
I SIEIN, F	2104 - 3 . 3	SPLINIER, O	2091 3 .3	
Z DIAZ, P	2073-13	MIEWEL, U	2072 23 I	
3 STOLTZ, B	1944 -4 .5	FREIDEL, JESSE	1850 6 .5	
4 GUIO,J	1882 7 1	FREIDEL, JER	1677-11 0	
5 BUCHNER, R	1689 0 .5	MEISSEN, B	1699 -1 .5	
6 SMITH, BR	1684 10 1	LITTLE, J	1563-15 0	
7 HAHNE, D	1594 9 1	JANSSEN,G	1445-13 0	
8 LAFORGE,W	1416-27 0	FREIDEL, D	1346 27 1	
07-FEB-05 FERMILAB	Ę	MOLEX		1
ROUND 7				
BD	RATINGS SCORE		RATINGS SCORE	
1 GARZON, G	2251 6 1	REICH, T	1923 -6 0	
2 SPIEGEL,L	1931 3 1	HENDRICKSON, B	1565 -5 0	
3 GAINES,I	1804-12 .5		1411 19 .5	
4 BOLSHOV, A	1504-15 .5	•	1239 15 .5	
5 CEASE, H	1373 14 1	DEICHMANN, E	1236-14 0	
6 DEGRAF,B	1413 0 1	CASHER, P	0 0 0	
(* The uppper board forfe (* boards 2,3, and 4. 3 0				

15-FEB-05 LUCENT TECH. ROUND 7	DRAGONS	5	5.5 BP CHEMICA	LS	-2.5
BD 1 TEGEL, F 2 MARCOWKA, R 3 BLACKMON, E 4 THOMAS, J 5 STAMM, V 6 KOMORAVOLU, K	1962 0 1694 0 1571 0	.5 1F 1F 1F	COULTER, D	0 0 0F 0 0 0F 0 0 0F	
6 KOMORAVOLO,K 7 BREYER,A	1261 -3	0	BLACKMON, E	0 0 0 1694 2 1 (DF	RGNS)
21-FEB-05 MOLEX		3	ST CHARLES	CHESS CLUB	3
ROUND 8					
BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS SCORE	
1 REICH,T					
2 FOX,R					
3 HENDRICKSON, B					
4 ZADEREJ,V	1430 36	1	HUTCHBY,C	1673-36 0	
5 MCGOWAN, D	1254 8	.5	SCARLETT, T	1379 -8 .5	
5 MCGOWAN, D 6 DEICHMANN, E	1222 29	1	KELLEY, G	1322-29 0	
7 RUFUS, B	1130 36	1		1373-36 0	
OO MAD OF THEELOW MEGH	my Dog		5 LUCENT TEC	CH. DRAGONS	1
08-MAR-05 LUCENT TECH.	TIROS	5	D LUCENT TEC	.n. DRAGONS	1
ROUND 8	D.3. III. 3. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	CCODE		DAMENICO COODE	
BD	RATINGS	SCORE		RATINGS SCORE	
1 STEIN, P 2 DIAZ, P	2159 15	1	TEGEL, F	2041 -7 0	
2 DIAZ, P	2060 8	1	PEHAS, A	1887 -8 0	
3 GUIO, J	1889 7	1	BLACKMON, E	1696 -7 0	
4 BUCHNER,R	1689 10	1	THOMAS,J	1571-10 0	
5 HAHNE, D	1603 12	1	STAMM, V		
6 KARPIERZ,J	1305-11	0	EUSTACE, D	1493 8 1	

Current Ratings 13

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D R	ATING 1	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D I	RATING
ABDALLAH, D	PAWNS	1	2	0	1468	ELLICE, W	PAWNS	5	5	0	1846C
AILES, T	FERMI	0	1	0	1685	ENGELEN, M	STCCC	1	1	0	1681
ALBERTS, W	STCCC	0	1	0	1666	EUSTACE, D	DRGNS	4	3	0	1501C
ALEXANDER, W	CASE	2	8	0	1638	FABIJONAS,R	PAWNS	5	1	3	1641T
ALFONSO, E	MKNGT	3	3	0	1541	FETTERMAN, M	NORTH	1	4	0	1317*
ALLEN, R	UOP	0	1	0	1173*	FOX,R	MOLEX	2	4	0	1571
AROND, D	EXCLB	1	3	2	1753	FRAATS, D	CASE	0	2	1	1847C
AUGSBURGER, L	MKNGT	2	0	3	1818C	FRANEK, M	PAWNS	6	3	1	1685D
BALICKI, J	MKNGT	2	2	1	1761	FRANK, M	ALUMN	1	0	2	1727C
BANNON, B	LBURN	1	3	0	1283	FREIDEL, D	STCCC	1	2	0	1337
BAUMGARTNER, C	MKING	1	1	2	1737	FREIDEL, JER	STCCC	2	2	0	1682
BAURAC, D	ROOKS	6	0	4	1754D	FREIDEL, JESSE	STCCC	3	0	2	1860
BELFOR, V	CA	0	6	0	0000/6	FREIDEL, P	STCCC	1	0	0	1879
BENEDEK, R	ROOKS	6	0	1	2202T	FRIDMAN, Y	MKNGT	5	1	2	2281
BENESA, A	ALUMN	4	1	1	2129C	FRISKE, T	EXCLB	4	0	2	2108C
BLACKMON, E	DRGNS	1	4	0	1689C	FULKERSON, R	LBURN	2	1	1	1480
BOLDINGH, E	UOP	2	3	2	1861C	GAINES, I	FERMI	4	2	1	1792D
BOLSHOV, A	FERMI	4	0	2	1489	GARDNER, M	NORTH	0	1	0	1305*
BORODYANSKIY, A	NWEST	1	0	0	1512	GARZON, G	FERMI	6	0	1	2257
BOYD, A	NORTH	0	1	0	0000/1	GAZMEN,E	ALUMN	4	0	2	2035C
BREWER,K	STCCC	1	0	0	1699	GIERTZ,C	STCCC	1	0	0	1500
BREYER,A	DRGNS	2	3	1	1258	GONCHAROFF, N	MKING	4	1	2	1684V
BROTSOS, J	EXCLB	3	2	1	1559D	GORODETSKIY, S	NWEST	1	2	1	1796
BUCHNER, R	TYROS	3	1	4	1699C	GREER, J	STCCC	1	0	0	1441
BURIAN, D	NORTH	0	3	1	1517D	GRUDZINSKI,J	ROOKS	0	1	0	1459
BYRNE, M	CA	1	5	1	1343#	GRYPARIS, J	MKING	2	1	1	1459C
CAIRONE, B	NORTH	0	1	4	1800	GUIO,J	TYROS	5	0	0	1896C
CASHER, P	MOLEX	0	1	0	0000/1	GUTIERREZ,M	STCCC	0	1	0	1426
CASTANEDA, R	BPCHM	0	6	1	1245*	HAHNE, D	TYROS	6	0	0	1615C
CEASE, H	FERMI	4	1	0	1387	HAYES, D	BPCHM	0	1	0	0000/4
CHIESA, R	BPCHM	0	1	0	0000/1	HAYHURST,W	CITGR	2	4	2	1914
CHRISSE, G	BPCHM	0	2	0	0000/1	HENDRICKSON, B	MOLEX	3	4	1	1544
COOMBES, N	GETCO	2	1	0	1338#	HERNANDEZ, F	BPCHM	0	2	0	0000/2
COULTER, D	BPCHM	2	2	3	1962	HILL,R	ROOKS	5	0	0	2054C
CYGAN, J	MKING	1	1	2	1848	HISTED, C	BPCHM	0	3	0	0000/3
DAW,P	NWEST	2	0	0	2030	HLOHOWSKYJ,I	ROOKS	1	3	0	0000/2
DECMAN, S	ROOKS	3	0	1	1589D	HUGHES, N	KEMPR	2	1	2	1683C
DEGRAF,B	FERMI	4	1	1	1413#	HUTCHBY,C	STCCC	1	1	0	1637
DEICHMANN, E	MOLEX	2	5	0	1251*	INUMERABLE, F	ALUMN	2	1	0	2206C
DENEEN, D	BPCHM	0	3	0	1429	JAKSTAS,K	PAWNS	4	2	3	2133C
DENMARK, T	CA	2	4	1	1740*	JANKE, A	CITGR	0	4	0	0000/0
DENNISTON, E	NORTH	0	0	2	0000/1	JANSSEN, G	STCCC	1	2	0	1432
DIAZ,P	TYROS	4	2	2	2068C	JASAITIS,A	GETCO	1	4	3	2026D
DOBR,K	CASE	2	5	0	1377Q	JOSHI,B	MKING	1	3	2	1284#
DORIGO,T	FERMI	3	0	1	2177	KARANDIKAR,S	MKNGT	5	1	0	1689
DUFFY,J	LBURN	4	1	1	1840	KARPIERZ,J	TYROS	1	1	0	1294
DYCZKOWSKI,R	CASE	3	2	0	1350	KELLEY,G	STCCC	0	1	0	1293
EAMAN, R	LBURN	1	2	3	1890	KINSELLA, G	ROOKS	3	1	0	1449C
EASTON, R	KEMPR	3	2	2	1859	KOGAN,G	EXCLB	1	4	1	1727C
ELEK,G	NORTH	4	2	0	1223C	KOMORAVOLU,K	DRGNS	4	3	0	1329*

<sup>/</sup>x - UNRATED; x RATED GAMES

03-27-2005

<sup># - 5</sup> TO 9 RATED GAMES

<sup>\* - 10</sup> TO 24 RATED GAMES

C - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER

D - DOUBLE CENTURION

T - TRIPLE CENTURION

Q - QUAD CENTURION

V - QUINTUPLE CENTURION

Current Ratings 14

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
KONARE, B	NWEST	0	1	0	0000/1	ODAME, K	CA	0	1	0	0000/1
KOSMICKE,J	GETCO	0	2	0	0000/4	OLSEN, A	KEMPR	3	2	0	1523C
KRAS, T	LBURN	2	1	2	2153	ONG, K	CITGR	2	4	1	1889
KRATKA,M	GETCO	2	5	0	1660	PARAOAN, E	CASE	1	5	0	1613D
KREINES, B	NWEST	1	1	0	1820	PATEL, A	NWEST	0	2	0	0000/2
KUHLMANN, S	ROOKS	0	0	2	1398#	PEHAS,A	DRGNS	0	1	0	1879C
LAFORGE, W	TYROS	2	2	0	1389	PIPARIA,J	MKING	2	3	2	1814
LAMB,J	MKING	0	1	0	0000/0	PRADT, D	STCCC	1	0	0	1674
LANE, M	NORTH	0	2	0	0000/7	RABINOVICH, E	MKING	2	1	1	1384
LANG, R	EXCLB	1	0	2	2067	RASO, P	STCCC	1	0	0	1980
LATIMER, E	PAWNS	0	2	0	2016T	RAUCHMAN, M	GETCO	2	1	1	2129*
LAUGER, L	STCCC	0	0	0	1102	RAVI,S	BPCHM	0	1	0	0000/1
LE, DUC	CITGR	4	2	1	1724*	REICH, T	MOLEX	0	4	3	1913*
LECHNICK, J	UOP	4	3	0	1727	REID, C	CASE	5	4	1	1520D
LEONG, G	KEMPR	2	2	1	1990C	REVELLON, L	UOP	1	0	0	1981
LESAIN, J	NWEST	0	2	0	0000/2	RINGENBERG, T	BPCHM	0	2	0	1343
LEVITT, B	NWEST	0	0	0	1066	ROTHSTEIN, J	NORTH	0	1	0	0000/0
LINDNER, E	STCCC	1	0	0	1601	RUFUS,B	MOLEX	3	2	0	1166#
LITTLE, J	STCCC	0	1	0	1548	SAGALOVSKY,L	GETCO	1	0	0	1980
MACHAJ,B	STCCC	1	0	0	2260	SAJBEL, P	UOP	0	1	1	1789C
MANILA, M	BPCHM	0	1	0	1195*	SAKAI,T	NWEST	1	0	1	0000/1
MARCOWKA, R	DRGNS	2	0	0	1962D	SANTIAGO, T	ALUMN	4	0	0	1992
MARES,C	GETCO	0	1	0	0000/2	SCARLETT, T	STCCC	0	0	1	1371
MARKLEY,S	CA	1	1	0	0000/1	SCHOONOVER, M	UOP	0	4	0	1247
MARSH,M	LBURN	2	3	0	1195	SEATON, E	CASE	0	1	0	1571
MARSHALL, J	STCCC	1	2	1	2120	SEDERLAND, C	NORTH	0	1	0	0000/1
MARSHALL,K	MKNGT	0	1	1	1284	SEET, P	GETCO	3	3	1	1848*
MCCLENDON, L	CA	1	2	2	1371	SENSAT, J	CITGR	1	7	0	1557
MCCOY, N	STCCC	1	0	1	1514	SITAR, K	LBURN	1	5	1	1543
MCDANIEL, T	STCCC	0	1	0	1416	SIWEK, M	KEMPR	0	3	3	1979C
MCGEE, M	STCCC	0	1	0	1468	SMITH, BR	TYROS	4	2	1	1694C
MCGOWAN, D	MOLEX	4	2	2	1262	SOLLANO, E	ALUMN	4	0	1	1956C
MEISSEN, B	STCCC	1	0	1	1698	SPIEGEL, L	FERMI	3	3	0	1934D
MELNIKOV, I	MKING	0	1	2	2021C	SPLINTER, J	STCCC	1	1	2	2096
MICKLICH, F	UOP	2	3	2	1594D	STAMM, V	DRGNS	2	3	1	1533T
MIKULECKY, B	PAWNS	3	2	1	1420C	STAPLES, C	FERMI	1	3	0	1598
MILLER,A	ALUMN	1	3	0	1437	STEIN, P	TYROS	2	2	1	2174
MILLER, T	ALUMN	1	0	0	1869	STEVANOVIC, M	UOP	0	1	0	2218D
MILLING, J	CA	1	0	0	0000/1	STINSON, T	STCCC	0	1	0	1340
MOEHS,D	FERMI	0	1	0	1377*	STOLTZ,B	TYROS	3	1	1	1940C
MOLINA, J	FERMI	3	0	1	1590*	STOSKUS,A	STCCC	1	0	0	1329
MORRIS,R	MKNGT	5	0	3	2169	STUMP, P	STCCC	0	1	0	1182
MOSSBRIDGE,A	KEMPR	2	1	0	1713	SUAREZ,E	ROOKS	3	0	0	1891
MUELLER, R	MOLEX	0	2	0	966#	SUERTH, F	EXCLB	2	1	1	1484D
MUHS,A	CITGR	1	2	4	1352	SUVARNAKANTI, R		0	1	1	0000/2
MURAGAPPAN, G	CITGR	0	1	0	0000/1	TAMEZ,I	ALUMN	5	0	2	2209
NABEREZHNEV, D	ROOKS	0	1	0	0000/1	TAN,A	GETCO	6	2	0	1721#
NEUMAN, J	CA	0	1	0	0000/1	TEGEL, F	DRGNS	0	3	4	2034Q
O'BRIEN,D	UOP	0	0	2	1402*	THOMAS, J	DRGNS	1	5	1	1561D
O'DELL, DW	PAWNS	2	2	2	1428C	THOMSON, J	MKNGT	5	1	2	1987

```
/x - UNRATED; x RATED GAMES
```

<sup># - 5</sup> TO 9 RATED GAMES
\* - 10 TO 24 RATED GAMES

C - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER

D - DOUBLE CENTURION

T - TRIPLE CENTURION

Q - QUAD CENTURION

V - QUINTUPLE CENTURION

Current Ratings 15

NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING	NAME	TEAM	W	L	D	RATING
TOWNSEND, M	NWEST	0	1	0	0000/1	WIEWEL,J	STCCC	4	0	1	2095
UNDERWOOD, W	CA	1	1	1	1936C	WILLIAMS, K	CASE	0	0	2	2172
URBON, C	CA	0	0	0	0000/0	WILLIAMS, S	GETCO	0	1	0	1201#
VAIL,M	CA	4	5	1	1464	WOHNS, N	NWEST	1	0	0	0000/1
VAN ZILE, C	UOP	0	2	2	1309	WOLF, D	MKING	0	2	0	2319
VIGANTS, A	NORTH	3	4	0	1652C	WONG, P	EXCLB	0	0	1	2173C
VOLYNSKIY,G	GETCO	0	0	1	2559	WOODS,C	BPCHM	0	6	0	0000/0
WALKER, A	NORTH	2	2	1	1790	YACOUT, A	ROOKS	3	2	0	1562
WALKER, C	KEMPR	3	1	1	1801	ZADEREJ,V	MOLEX	4	3	1	1466
WALLACH, C	MKING	2	1	4	2027C	ZIMMERMAN, F	MKING	1	0	0	0000/0
WANG, G	KEMPR	1	2	0	1589*	ZOELLNER, J	CASE	3	3	0	1328D
WEBER, L	ALUMN	0	1	0	2121	ZUBIK,J	BPCHM	1	0	0	1192#
WEITZ,R	EXCLB	3	2	0	1558C						

/x - UNRATED; x RATED GAMES

# - 5 TO 9 RATED GAMES

\* - 10 TO 24 RATED GAMES

C - CENTURY CLUB MEMBER

D - DOUBLE CENTURION

T - TRIPLE CENTURION

Q - QUAD CENTURION

V - QUINTUPLE CENTURION

03-27-2005

#### UPPER BOARD FORFEITS

Each team is allowed 2 upper board forfeits per season. After the 2nd upper board forfeit, the team is penalized one extra game point for each such forfeit in the match.

#### TEAMS WITH 2 OR MORE UPPER BOARD FORFEITS

CASE
BP CHEMICALS
NORTHWESTERN CHESS CLUB
NORTHROP
COMPUTER ASSOCIATES

#### TEAMS WITH 1 UPPER BOARD FORFEIT

LUCENT TYROS LEO BURNETT EXCALIBURS ALUMNI

## SPRING BUSINESS MEETING APRIL 20 at Motorola

For directions, consult other Meeting announcements (April 2004 bulletin).

After normal reports and end of season activity planning, there are new proposals to be considered. Consider the following:

#### **ANTI-STACKING PROPOSAL**

The team of Jim Thomson, Irwin Gaines, Tony Jasaitis, Bob Buchner, and Brian Smith propose that section IV.F.4.a "Club Team Rating Cap" be changed by adding the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> sentences below. Hence that section becomes:

For each League match, the average rating of the players on the six counting boards of a club team must not exceed the rating cap of 1900. When this calculating this average, if the 5th highest rating among the six ratings is less than 1600, then 1600 must be used in the calculation instead of the 5<sup>th</sup> highest rating. And, if the 6<sup>th</sup> highest rating is less than 1400, then 1400 must be used in the calculation instead of the 6<sup>th</sup> highest rating. The above is verified by the club team captain prior to each match (but no later then when the lineup is written on the Match Results sheet).

This prevents the possibility of clearly "stacked" lineups that mathematically meet the 1900 average cap, but avoid the spirit of it, e.g. lineups of (2300, 2200, 2100, 2000, 2000, 800) or (2500, 2500, 2400, 2400, 1000, 600).

## **ASSOCIATE TEAM PROPOSAL**

The team of Jim Thomson, Irwin Gaines, Tony Jasaitis, Bob Buchner and Brian Smith propose the following. It is expected to be voted on at the Spring 2005 Business Meeting.

## A. Principles

- 1. Single company teams are the primary and preferred type of CICL teams, as has been the case since the start of the CICL. Encouraging and retaining company teams are in the CICL's best interests.
- 2. Further, it is in the CICL's best interests to return to the original vision of the CICL in two key ways. First, all teams affiliate with a (single) organization.
- 3. Second, all teams recruit new members to the CICL from the organization they are affiliated with.
- 4. Dealing with company teams that are no longer viable has produced various means (alumni teams, merged company teams, the loaner pool) which have lead the CICL away from its original vision. They have proven inadequate and caused problems.

## B. Overview

 Overall Structure. The League will consist of three types of teams: (single) company teams; club teams; and a new type of team called associate teams. The rules for company teams and club teams are not substantially changed. Alumni teams, merged company teams, and the loaner pool are eliminated.

2. **Associate teams** are associated (i.e. *weakly affiliated*) with a company. (As opposed to company teams who represent (i.e. are *strongly affiliated* with) a single company.)

- 3. All teams can recruit members new to the CICL. Club teams recruit from their club, following today's rules. A company team recruits from their company. Associate teams designate any one company, per season, they are associated with and from which they can recruit members new to the CICL. Which company they associate with isn't limited, except it cannot be a company that has a team. Associate teams also include alumni players.
- **4. Ratings cap for associate teams.** Along with club teams, associate teams adhere to the ratings cap. This is both: to encourage company teams to remain as company teams; and to balance that associate teams (and club teams) have a larger set of players to form teams from than teams limited to a single company.

## c. Benefits

The benefits of this proposal are:

- By returning to the original vision of the CICL, the foundation is reset for the strongest future of the CICL.
- Existing teams are better able to remain robust, and growth of the League will be fostered. Recruiting players new to the CICL from companies that cannot field a full company team is broadened.
- It simplifies the rules, and facilitates the uniform application of the rules in all Divisions of the CICL.
- It addresses the rancor among League members caused by the straying from the intention of (and, in some cases, rules for) alumni teams, merged teams, and the loaner pool.

## D. Detailed rule changes

1. Add the following as a section into "IV. Team Structure":

<><< start of new section IV. Team Structure, E. Associate Team Rules >>>>>

#### E. Associate Team Rules

These rules apply to associate teams.

#### 1. Concept

- Associate teams are made up of former alumni players. This permits League members to continue to participate in CICL activities.
- Each associate team is associated (i.e. weakly affiliated) with one company per season. (As opposed to a company team who represents (i.e. is strongly affiliated with) a single company.) This allows associate teams to recruit members new to the CICL from a company that does not have enough players to field a company team. This helps keep associate teams robust.
- Associate teams preserve playing and organizational resources and lasting bonds among CICL members, and promote League growth.

## 2. Team Eligibility

a) Only if an existing company team or club team is no longer viable (not enough player-interest to field full lineups for the great majority of the season) can it apply to convert to being an associate team. It is strictly forbidden to convert to being an associate team from a viable non-associate team. The team must demonstrate the need to become an associate team, including having taken all reasonable actions to remain viable as a non-associate team. Applying to convert to an associate team when one's team is viable is improper conduct, see Section I.H "Conduct".

- b) An existing company team or club team may convert to being an associate team in the middle of a season, if they meet the above requirements and receive the approval of the League President, their Division Chairman, and a vote of all team captains. The company that they associate with for the remainder of this partial season must be the same company that they represented as a company team. Club teams that convert to being an associate team in mid-season do not associate with any company for the rest of that season.
- c) A new associate team of alumni players may also apply to form (without converting from a specific former non-associate team).
- d) If a viable company team (or club team) can be formed, including players who are members of associate team(s), this is to be done at the start of the upcoming season.

#### 3. Associated Company

- a) Before the start of a new season, each associate team designates one company (business firm, government agency, or educational institution) they are associated with.
- b) Which company they associate with is not limited, except it cannot be a company that has a team company and it cannot be a company that another associate team is associated with.
- c) At the Fall Business Meeting, if the company that an associate team is associated with is different than the previous season, a vote of team captains to approve the company is taken. A vote of team captains also is required for a new associate team's initial company.
- d) If two associate teams wish to associate with the same company in the same season and cannot come to a mutual understanding, then the issue is resolved at the Fall Business Meeting.
- e) If an associate team's choice for a company to associate with is not approved at the Fall Business Meeting, then they can, within a reasonable time, select another company, but the choice of company must first receive approval from the League President and their Division Chairman.
- f) An associate team associates with only one company per season, a midseason change to this is forbidden.

#### 4. Team Info and Changes to It

a) At the Fall Business Meeting preceding the season, each associate team (both new and returning teams) participating in the CICL during that season must submit a written team roster, which company they are associated with for that season, and their home playing site (or willingness to play all matches at their opponents' home site). The team roster lists each player's name and rating. The team roster must list an estimated rating for each unrated player.

b) By the time of the Fall Business Meeting preceding a season, each person on an associate team's player roster is to be verified to be eligible. Only people on an associate team's player roster can play for that team. This applies to both counting and non-counting boards.

c) An associate team may add players to their team roster during the course of a playing season only by consent of both the Chairman of their division and the League President. The players rating (or estimated rating for unrated players) must be provided.

#### 5. Player Eligibility

- a) Members new to the League. Players that are new to the CICL may be recruited to play for an associate team if that player is currently employed at the associate team's associate company, following the same rules for "employed at" as defined for company teams, see Section IV.D.1 a) through c).
- b) **Associate company players (current CICL players).** Players who are already members of the CICL, and who work at an associate team's associate company may choose to play for this associate team. Or instead they may continue to play with the team they have been playing for, see Section IV.E.6.a.
- c) Alumni players. A player may play for an associate team, if he has previously played in at least one official team match, and is not employed at a company with a company team. And he must meet one or more of the following:
  - played for a company team, and that company no longer fields any CICL teams.
  - played for a club team and that club that no longer fields any CICL teams.
  - played for any associate team in a previous season.
  - played for a company team, and is no longer employed at that company.

#### d) Postponement of alumni player additions.

- To promote longer association with the same company yet allow associate teams to remain robust, additional alumni players may only be recruited by an associate team during seasons when it remains associated with the same company it was the previous season.
- The above does not apply to the first season that an associate team is a member of the League. Nor does it apply to associate teams who converted to being an associate team in the middle of the previous season.
- e) **Verification.** The player eligibility rules above must be verified before a player can play for an associate team. The associate team captain must provide to the Division Chairman of his team's division (or to the League President, in the case that the Division Chairman is a member of the same associate team) the team and a year that the alumni player played in the CICL. Only after the Division Chairman (or President) has verified this, can an alumni player be included on an associate team's roster (both before the beginning of a season and during midseason).

#### 6. Changes to Eligibility.

- a) A player who has already played on any type of team in a previous season does not lose eligibility for that team due to an associate team associating with the company that player currently works at.
- b) When a company team is formed, players who work at that company and who are members of an associate team lose eligibility to play for the associate team. They are to play for their company's company team.

c) If a member of an associate team becomes an employee of a company with a team company, his eligibility for the associate team will expire at the season's end, and he would be expected to play on the company team in the following seasons.

d) Once a player has played on an associate team, he is ineligible to play on any other CICL team for that season, even if hired at a company that fields a company team.

<><< end of new section IV. Team Structure, E. Associate Team Rules >>>>>

- 2. Inside section "IV. Team Structure", eliminate sections "E. Merged Company Team Rules", "G. Alumni Teams", and "H. Loaner Pool".
- 3. Move the section about ratings cap from "IV Team Structure, F. Club Teams, 4. Club Team Rating Cap" to "V. Rules of Team Competition, L. Club and Associate Team Rating Cap". Add an a) section that says: "All club teams and all associate teams must adhere to the rating cap described below, for all League matches including League Playoffs. Club and associate teams are referred to as 'capped teams'." Change references to "club teams" in the entire "L. Club and Associate Team Rating Cap" section to "capped teams".
- 4. Eliminate section III.C.4 (which specifies that the Secretary maintains lists of who has 'alumni status', loaner pool players, etc.)
- 5. IV.A.4 Change 'four' to 'three', remove references in merged and alumni teams in **2.** and **4.**, respectively, and add to list "An associate team is comprised of alumni players, and new recruits from one company that the team is associated with.
- 6. IV.D 1<sup>st</sup> sentence, remove "(and merged company teams)"
- 7. IV.D.1, first paragraph: change first "team" to "team company"; and eliminate "from among all company teams"
- 8. Move section IV.F.2.e) about suitable playing sites to IV.B.7, and change references of "club team" to "team".
- IV.F.3.c Change "of a CICL member-company" to "at a company with a company team".